

January 12, 2021

TO: Direct Financial Institution Members

Regional Payment Association Members

**ACH Operators** 

Software Information Exchange

FROM: NACHA Network Rules

RE: 2021 Nacha Operating Rules –Errata #1-2021

# FOR YOUR INFORMATION

Due to editing errors, the effective date of Phase 2 of the ACH Contact Registry, as presented at the beginning of the Revisions section, and the file format specification for All Entries Company/Batch Header Record (Except IAT) in Appendix Three are incorrect. Corrected information appears in Errata #1-2021.

Please note that this is a limited distribution communication. Subscribers to the Nacha Operating Rules & Guidelines who purchased their subscription directly from Nacha will receive notice of the errata as part of a general distribution within 5 business days. The general errata distribution will include detailed instructions on how to download the errata via nacha.org.

Recipients of this limited distribution are permitted to share this errata with those who have purchased, or have been provided with, the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules & Guidelines or access to the 2021 Nacha Operating Rules Online Resource (website). For permission to utilize or share this supplement in any other manner, please contact Nacha directly at permissions@nacha.org.

If you have any questions or need additional information regarding the content of this supplement, you may contact Nacha's Network Rules Department at (703) 561-1100.

Attachments



January 12, 2021

# 2021 NACHA OPERATING RULES ERRATA #1-2021

Following are corrections to the text of the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules. Please be sure to note these corrections within your copy of the Rules to ensure its accuracy:

- **ORxxiv: Revisions to the Nacha Operating Rules** Due to an editing error, the effective date of Phase 2 of the ACH Contact Registry, as presented at the beginning of the Revisions section, is incorrect. The text should read:
  - o ACH Contact Registry (Phase 2 effective August 1, 2021)
- **OR89: ACH Batch Record Format for All Entries, Subpart 3.1.2** Due to an editing error, the file format specification for All Entries Company/Batch Header Record (Except IAT) is incorrect. The correct file format specification appears on the following page.

If you have any questions or need additional information regarding these corrections, please contact Nacha's Network Rules Department at (703) 561-1100.

# SUBPART 3.1.2 ACH Batch Record Format for All Entries

# ALL ENTRIES COMPANY/BATCH HEADER RECORD (EXCEPT IAT)

FIELD	-	2	ო	4	ro	9	7	80	6	10	£	12	5
DATA ELEMENT NAME	RECORD TYPE CODE	SERVICE CLASS CODE	COMPAN	COMPANY AY DISCRETIONARY DATA ID	COMPANY	STANDARD ENTRY CLASS CODE	COMPANY ENTRY DESCRIPTION	COMPANY DESCRIPTIVE DATE	EFFECTIVE ENTRY DATE	SETTLEMENT DATE (JULIAN)	ORIGINATOR STATUS CODE	ORIGINATING DFI IDENTIFICATION	BATCH
Field Inclusion Requirement	Σ	Σ	Σ	0	Σ	Σ	Σ	0	α	Inserted by ACH Operator	Σ	Σ	Σ
Contents	ý,	Numeric	Alphameric	Alphameric	Alphameric	Alphameric	Alphameric	Alphameric	YYMMDD	Numeric	Alphameric	TTTTAAAA	Numeric
Length	-	ო	16	20	10	3	10	9	9	3	1	8	7
Position	10-10	02-04	02-50	21-40	41-50	51-53	54-63	64-69	70-75	76-78	79-79	80-87	88-94

# ALL ENTRIES COMPANY/BATCH CONTROL RECORD

-		2	8	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11
RECORD SEI TYPE SEI CODE CLAS	= 10	SERVICE CLASS CODE	ENTRY/ ADDENDA COUNT	ENTRY HASH	TOTAL DEBIT ENTRY DOLLAR AMOUNT	TOTAL CREDIT ENTRY DOLLAR AMOUNT	COMPANY IDENTIFICATION	MESSAGE AUTHENTICATION CODE	RESERVED	ORIGINATING DFI IDENTIFICATION	BATCH NUMBER
	i	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	œ	0	N/A	Σ	Σ
		Numeric	Numeric	Numeric	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$	Alphameric	Alphameric	Blank	TTTTAAAA	Numeric
		3	9	10	12	12	10	19	9	8	7
10-10	O	02-04	05-10	11-20	21-32	33-44	45-54	55-73	74-79	28-08	88-94



April 8, 2021

TO: Direct Financial Institution Members

**Payments Association Members** 

**ACH Operators** 

Software Information Exchange

FROM: Nacha Network Rules

RE: 2021 Nacha Operating Rules – Supplement #1-2021

# FOR YOUR INFORMATION

On March 31, 2021, Nacha's Voting Membership approved the following amendment to the Nacha Operating Rules (Rules):

• Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million

The effective date for this amendment is March 18, 2022. Please see the supplement for details on this rule change.

To ensure compliance with the most current rules, this supplement should be used in conjunction with the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules.

Please note that this is a limited distribution communication. Subscribers to the Rules who purchased their subscriptions directly from Nacha will receive notice of the supplement as part of a general distribution within 5 business days. The general supplement distribution will include detailed instructions on how to download the supplement via nacha.org.

Recipients of this limited distribution are permitted to share this supplement with those who have purchased, or have been provided with, the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules or access to the 2021 Nacha Operating Rules Online. For permission to utilize or share this supplement in any other manner, please contact Nacha directly at permissions@nacha.org.

If you have any questions or need additional information regarding the content of this supplement, you may contact Nacha's Network Rules Department at (703) 561-1100.

Attachment



# NOTICE OF AMENDMENT TO THE 2021 NACHA OPERATING RULES

April 8, 2021 SUPPLEMENT #1-2021

Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million

Effective Date: March 18, 2022

# Supplement #1-2021 to the Nacha Operating Rules

On March 31, 2021, the Nacha Voting Membership approved an amendment to the Nacha Operating Rules on Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million. The effective date for this amendment is March 18, 2022.

This supplement provides ACH Network participants with a summary of the key components of the change, along with details regarding the technical changes to Rules language. To ensure compliance with the most current rules, use this Supplement in conjunction with the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules.

1

# Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million

# **SUMMARY**

The Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million rule will increase the per-transaction dollar limit from \$100,000 to \$1,000,000. At implementation, both Same Day ACH credits and Same Day ACH debits will be eligible for same day processing up to \$1,000,000 per transaction.

The first Same Day ACH rule incorporated a \$25,000 per transaction limit as a risk mitigation measure, which gave the industry time to gain experience with same day processing while transacting at relatively low dollar amounts. In March 2020, the Same Day ACH transaction limit was increased to \$100,000. With the \$1,000,000 limit, the percentage of ACH dollars eligible for Same Day ACH will more than double. Furthermore, an additional 3.5% of business-to-business payments will become eligible for Same Day ACH. The increase will also make large dollar business-to-consumer transactions and reversals Same Day ACH eligible.

# **IMPACT TO PARTICIPANTS**

Originators and Third-Party Senders: These ACH participants should discuss with their financial institutions whether and when originating debit and/or credit entries up to \$1,000,000 is appropriate for their businesses. If these participants choose to transmit such entries, they need to be prepared for higher dollar transactions flowing through their accounts multiple times during the business day.

*ODFIs:* ODFIs will need to determine whether and how they will implement higher limit same day transactions with their Originator and Third-Party Sender customers. Higher Same Day ACH dollar limits might impact a financial institution's assessment of its customers' credit risks. ODFIs that offer Same Day ACH will need to update internal processing applications and procedures to accommodate higher dollar limit same-day entries.

*RDFIs:* RDFIs may need to update their internal processing applications and procedures to accommodate higher limit same-day entries. RDFIs should be prepared for a larger amount of dollars settling at several times throughout the day.

*Receivers:* Receivers, particularly non-Consumer Receivers, should be prepared to receive ACH debits and credits up to the new \$1,000,000 limit. These participants need to be ready for possibility of higher dollar transactions posting to their accounts throughout the business day. Receivers should review their internal procedures to determine whether any changes are required.

# **EFFECTIVE DATE**

The Rule will be effective on March 18, 2022.

# TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Below is a summary of the impact of the Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million rule on the Nacha Operating Rules. Sections of the Rules that are affected by this amendment are also included and reflect rule language as it will read upon implementation in highlighted, italicized text.

- Article Eight, Section 8.101 (Same Day Entry) Updates definition to reflect higher per-transaction dollar limit.
- Appendix Three, Subpart 3.2.2 (Glossary of Data Elements): Settlement Date Updates description to reflect higher pertransaction dollar limit.

2

Appendix Nine, Subpart 9.4.6.2 (Responsibilities of Enforcement Panel) – Updates Rules Enforcement Panel responsibilities to reflect higher per-transaction dollar limit.

As approved March 31, 2021, effective March 18, 2022, the Rules will be amended as follows for changes related to Increasing the Same Day ACH Dollar Limit to \$1 Million:

## ARTICLE EIGHT

# Definitions of Terms Used in These Rules

# SECTION 8.101 "Same Day Entry"

An Entry for which the Effective Entry Date is the same Banking Day as the date on which the Entry is Transmitted by the ODFI to its ACH Operator, and is Transmitted by the ACH Operator's deadline for same-day processing and settlement. A Same Day Entry must be for an amount of \$100,000 or less. An IAT or ENR Entry cannot be a Same Day Entry. For purposes of fulfilling its obligations under these Rules, an RDFI may rely on the Settlement Date of an Entry, regardless of the Effective Entry Date. An Entry with a stale or invalid Effective Entry Date will also be a Same Day Entry if it is Transmitted by the ODFI to its ACH Operator by the ACH Operator's deadline for same-day processing and settlement, and is otherwise eligible for same-day processing and settlement.

An Entry for which the Effective Entry Date is the same Banking Day as the date on which the Entry is Transmitted by the ODFI to its ACH Operator, and is Transmitted by the ACH Operator's deadline for same-day processing and settlement. A Same Day Entry must be for an amount of \$1,000,000 or less. An IAT or ENR Entry cannot be a Same Day Entry. For purposes of fulfilling its obligations under these Rules, an RDFI may rely on the Settlement Date of an Entry, regardless of the Effective Entry Date. An Entry with a stale or invalid Effective Entry Date will also be a Same Day Entry if it is Transmitted by the ODFI to its ACH Operator by the ACH Operator's deadline for same-day processing and settlement, and is otherwise eligible for same-day processing and settlement.

# APPENDIX THREE

# ACH Record Format Specifications

# **SUBPART 3.2.2 Glossary of Data Elements**

Settlement Date: 3 Positions - Company/Batch Header Record - Inserted by Receiving ACH Operator (all batches)

The Settlement Date (a 3-digit Julian date) for a batch of Entries is inserted by the Receiving ACH Operator. This is the date on which the Participating DFI or its correspondent is scheduled to be debited or credited by the Federal Reserve.

The Settlement Date inserted by the Receiving ACH Operator is the same as the Effective Entry Date, except as noted below.

In the following situations, the Receiving ACH Operator will insert the Banking Day following the Banking Day of processing as the Settlement Date (i.e., the next Banking Day):

(1) The Effective Entry Date and the Originating ACH Operator's processing date are the same, but the Entry is received by the Receiving ACH Operator after its deadline for same-day settlement.

- (2) The Effective Entry Date and the Originating ACH Operator's processing date are the same, but the Entry is for an amount greater than \$100,000.
- (2) The Effective Entry Date and the Originating ACH Operator's processing date are the same, but the Entry is for an amount greater than \$1,000,000.
- (3) The Effective Entry Date and the Originating ACH Operator's processing date are the same, but the Entry bears the IAT Standard Entry Class Code.
- (4) The Entry bears the ENR Standard Entry Class Code.

Entries with invalid or stale Effective Entry Dates will be settled at the next available settlement opportunity.

Return Entries, dishonored Return Entries, and contested dishonored Return Entries are settled by the ACH Operator no earlier than the Effective Entry Date contained within the original Entry, as it appears in the Return Entry Company/Batch Header Record. The return of an Entry that contains an invalid or stale Effective Entry Date will be settled by the ACH Operator at the next available settlement opportunity (i.e., the Banking Day of processing or the next Banking Day).

Notifications of Change will be settled at the next available settlement opportunity, (i.e., the Banking Day of processing or the next Banking Day).

# APPENDIX NINE

# Rules Enforcement

# SUBPART 9.4.6.2 Responsibilities of Enforcement Panel

The ACH Rules Enforcement Panel, in accordance with these rules, is the final authority regarding each of these issues:

- the imposition of any fines or penalties recommended by the National Association;
- instances in which the National Association believes the time frames and Resolution Dates asserted by the respondent Participating DFI as necessary to resolve the problem causing a rules violation are excessive;
- instances in which the National Association believes an ODFI, Originator, or Third-Party Sender has originated Entries without proper authorization in accordance with these Rules;
- instances in which the National Association believes the Participating DFI, Originator, Third-Party Service Provider, or Third-Party Sender has committed an egregious violation of the rules;
- instances in which the National Association believes an ODFI, Originator, or Third-Party Sender has attempted to evade the limitations on Reinitiation;
- instances in which the National Association recommends, after the inquiry process, that an ODFI be required to reduce an Originator's or Third-Party Sender's applicable return rate below the Administrative Return Rate Level and/or the Overall Return Rate Level;

4

- instances in which the National Association believes an ODFI, Originator, or Third-Party Sender has attempted to evade the \$100,000 per-Entry limitation on Same Day Entries;
- instances in which the National Association believes an ODFI, Originator, or Third-Party Sender has attempted to evade the \$1,000,000 per-Entry dollar limit on Same Day Entries;
- rules violations that the National Association believes constitute Class 1, Class 2, or Class 3 Rules Violations; and
- situations in which the National Association determines that it is unclear whether a rules violation has occurred.

5



August 6, 2021

TO: Direct Financial Institution Members

**Payments Association Members** 

**ACH Operators** 

Software Information Exchange

FROM: Nacha Network Rules

RE: Changes to the 2021 Nacha Operating Guidelines – Supplement #2-2021

# FOR YOUR INFORMATION

On September 17, 2021, changes to the Nacha Operating Rules resulting from the Meaningful Modernization amendment become effective. This supplement includes updates to areas of the Nacha Operating Guidelines that are impacted by these rule changes.

This supplement includes replacement text for various sections of the 2021 Nacha Operating Guidelines that are impacted by the Meaningful Modernization Rule. In most cases, the changes included within this Supplement are shown as excerpts from various chapters and should replace corresponding sections of the Guidelines. In the cases of Chapter 16 (Relationship with Receiver and Authorization Requirements), Chapter 47 (Telephone-Initiated Entries), and Chapter 48 (Internet Initiated/Mobile Entries), broad revisions were necessary. This Supplement contains entire updated chapters for these topics.

For a detailed description of these changes and a listing of the Nacha Operating Rules impacted, see the Revisions section of the 2021 Nacha Operating Rules.

Please note that this is a limited distribution communication. Subscribers to the Nacha Operating Rules & Guidelines who purchased their subscriptions directly from Nacha will receive notice of the supplement as part of a general distribution within 5 business days. The general supplement distribution will include detailed instructions on how to download the supplement via nacha.org.

Recipients of this limited distribution are permitted to share this supplement with those who have purchased, or have been provided with, the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules & Guidelines or access to the 2021 Nacha Operating Rules Online. For permission to utilize or share this supplement in any other manner, please contact Nacha directly at permissions@nacha.org.

If you have any questions or need additional information regarding the content of this supplement, you may contact Nacha's Network Rules Department at (703) 561-1100.

Attachment



# NOTICE OF CHANGES TO THE 2021 NACHA OPERATING GUIDELINES

August 6, 2021 SUPPLEMENT #2-2021

Meaningful Modernization Guidelines Updates

Effective Date: September 17, 2021

# Supplement #2-2021 — Changes to the Nacha Operating Guidelines

On September 17, 2021, changes to the Nacha Operating Rules resulting from the Meaningful Modernization amendment become effective. This supplement includes updates to areas of the Nacha Operating Guidelines that are impacted by these rule changes.

The Meaningful Modernization rule changes are designed to improve and simplify the ACH user experience by facilitating the adoption of new technologies and channels for the authorization and initiation of ACH payments; reducing barriers to use of the ACH Network; providing clarity and increasing consistency around certain ACH authorization processes; and reducing certain administrative burdens related to ACH authorizations. Specifically, the Meaningful Modernization rules:

- · explicitly define the use of standing authorizations for consumer ACH debits;
- define and allow for oral authorization of consumer ACH debits beyond telephone calls;
- · clarify and provide greater consistency of ACH authorization standards across all payment initiation channels;
- reduce the administrative burden of providing proof of authorization; and
- · better facilitate the use of electronic and oral Written Statements of Unauthorized Debits.

This supplement includes replacement text for various sections of the 2021 Nacha Operating Guidelines that are impacted by the Meaningful Modernization Rule. In most cases, the changes included within this Supplement are shown as excerpts from various chapters and should replace corresponding sections of the Guidelines. In the cases of Chapter 16 (Relationship with Receiver and Authorization Requirements), Chapter 47 (Telephone-Initiated Entries), and Chapter 48 (Internet Initiated/Mobile Entries), broad revisions were necessary. This Supplement contains entire updated chapters for these topics.

For a detailed description of these changes and a listing of the Nacha Operating Rules impacted, see the Revisions section of the 2021 Nacha Operating Rules.

1

## **CHAPTER 7**

# **ODFI Risk Management**

# **ADDITIONAL WARRANTIES**

In addition to the warranties discussed above, ODFIs also assume a number of other warranties with respect to the origination of specific types of entries. They are as follows:

# Accounts Receivable Entries (ARC Entries)

- *Entry information is accurate* The amount of the entry, the routing number, the account number, and the check serial number accurately represent the source document.
- Source document will not be presented for payment The source document used for an ARC entry must not be presented for payment unless the ARC entry is returned by the RDFI. In addition to the RDFI, ACH Operator, and Association, this warranty runs to any other party that may be liable on the source document.
- Originator will retain a copy of the source document and related payment data The Originator will retain a reproducible and legible copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for two years from the Settlement Date of the ARC entry. The Originator must provide the ODFI with a copy of the source document upon request. In addition, the Originator must use commercially reasonable methods to securely store all ARC source documents until destruction and all banking information relating to ARC transactions.
- ODFI will provide a copy of the source document to RDFI Upon receiving a written request from the RDFI, the ODFI warrants that it will send a copy of the front of the Receiver's source document within ten banking days. The copy must indicate that it is a copy on its face. The RDFI's written request must be received by the ODFI within two years of the Settlement Date of the ARC entry.

## **Back Office Conversion Entries (BOC Entries)**

- *ODFI verification of Originator or Third-Party Sender* the ODFI employs commercially reasonable procedures to verify the identity of the Originator or Third-Party Sender of a BOC entry.
- Documentation of Originators The ODFI has procedures to maintain the following information with respect to each Originator of BOC entries:
  - company name;
  - address;
  - telephone number;
  - contact person;
  - taxpayer identification number; and
  - a description of the nature of the business of each Originator.
- Provision of Originator information to RDFI The ODFI has procedures to provide the RDFI with the information identifying an Originator of BOC entries to the RDFI within 2 banking days of receipt of the RDFI's written request for such information. The RDFI's written request must be received by the ODFI within 2 years of the Settlement Date of the BOC entry.
- Verification of Receiver's Identity The Originator has employed commercially reasonable procedures to verify the identity of the Receiver.

2

- Customer Service Telephone Number The Originator maintains a working telephone number that is answered during
  the Originator's normal business hours for Receiver inquiries regarding BOC transactions. This telephone number
  must be displayed on the required notice.
- *Entry information is accurate* The amount of the entry, the routing number, the account number, and the check serial number accurately represent the source document.
- Source document will not be presented for payment The source document used for an BOC entry must not be presented for payment unless the BOC entry is returned by the RDFI. In addition to the RDFI, ACH Operator, and Association, this warranty runs to any other party that may be liable on the source document.
- Originator will retain a copy of the source document and related payment data The Originator will retain a reproducible and
  legible copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for two years from the Settlement Date of the BOC
  entry. The Originator must provide the ODFI with a copy of the source document upon request. In addition, the
  Originator must use commercially reasonable methods to securely store all BOC source documents until destruction
  and all banking information relating to BOC transactions.
- ODFI will provide a copy of the source document to RDFI Upon receiving a written request from the RDFI, the ODFI warrants that it will send a copy of the front of the Receiver's source document within ten banking days. The copy must indicate that it is a copy on its face. The RDFI's written request must be received by the ODFI within two years of the Settlement Date of the BOC entry.

# International ACH Transactions (IAT Entries)

- Compliance with U.S. Legal Requirements The Originator and ODFI are in compliance with U.S. Legal Requirements, including their obligations under programs administered by the U. S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN).
- Compliance with Foreign Laws or Payment System Rules Regarding Authorization If the laws or payment system rules of the
  receiving country require authorization with respect to an IAT Entry, the ODFI warrants that the authorization of
  the IAT Entry complies with the laws and payment system rules of the receiving country.

# Point-of-Purchase Entries (POP Entries)

- Return of voided source document to Receiver The Originator voided the source document used to initiate the POP entry and returned it to the Receiver at the time of the transaction.
- Source document not used for prior POP entry The source document used for the POP entry was not used by the Receiver for initiating any prior POP entry.

# Re-presented Check Entries (RCK Entries)

- Good title to the returned item The ODFI has good title or is entitled to enforce the item related to the RCK entry.
   Alternatively, the ODFI is authorized to obtain payment on behalf of someone who has good title or is entitled to enforce the item.
- Signatures are genuine All signatures on the item are authentic and authorized.
- *Item not altered* The item has not been altered.
- No defenses The item is not subject to a defense or claim that can be brought against the ODFI.
- No knowledge of insolvency The ODFI is unaware of any insolvency proceeding involving the maker or acceptor of the item.

3

- Entry accurately reflects the item The item is drawn on or payable through the RDFI. The re-presented check entry accurately reflects the amount of the item, the item number and the account number on the item.
- Item will not be presented Unless the RCK entry is returned by the RDFI, neither the item nor any copy of the item will be presented to the RDFI.
- Encoding is correct The information encoded after issue in magnetic ink on the item is correct.
- Restrictive endorsement is void or ineffective The Originator agrees that any restrictive endorsement placed on the item is void or ineffective once the RCK entry is initiated.

# **Destroyed Check Entries (XCK Entries)**

- Good title to the check The ODFI has good title or is entitled to enforce the item related to the XCK entry. Alternatively,
  the ODFI is authorized to obtain payment on behalf of someone who has good title or is entitled to enforce the
  item.
- Signatures are genuine All signatures on the item are authentic and authorized.
- *No alterations* The item has not been altered.
- No defenses The item is not subject to a defense or claim that can be brought against the ODFI.
- No knowledge of insolvency The ODFI is unaware of any insolvency proceeding involving the maker or acceptor of the item.
- Item drawn on RDFI The item is drawn on or payable through the RDFI.
- Entry accurately reflects the item The destroyed check entry accurately reflects the amount of the item, the item number and the account number on the item.
- Item will not be presented Neither the item nor any copy (including any image) of the item has been presented, and will not be presented, to the RDFI.
- Authority and eligibility The ODFI has all necessary authority to initiate the XCK entry, and the item satisfies the eligibility requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules, Subsection 2.5.18.2 (XCK Eligible Items).

# Machine Transfer Entries (MTE), Point-of-Sale Entries (POS) and Shared Network Entries (SHR)

 For MTE, POS and SHR Entries, the ODFI warrants that the Originator complies with the American National Standards Institute's (ANSI) Accredited Standards Committee (ASC) X9.8 concerning PIN Management and Security when a personal identification number (PIN) is required in connection with the authorization for the entry.

## **Reclamation Entries**

Ensuring that information contained within reclamation entries is accurate – For reclamation entries, the ODFI must ensure
that:the information in the entry applies to the Receiver and account identified in the reclamation entry; the entry
falls within the timing requirements governing reclamations; the entry satisfies defined prerequisites to origination;
the entry is authorized by applicable legal requirements or the agreement governing the benefits to which the entry
relates; and that any payments subject to reclamation are made with no restriction on the number of parties having
an interest in the account.

4

# **Return Fee Entries**

For Return Fee Entries, the ODFI warrants that the Originator imposing the Return Fee has not, and will not, impose any other Return Fee in relation to the underlying Entry or item that was returned unpaid. (NOTE: This warranty applies to all Return Fee Entries and is in addition to any other warranties specific to the Standard Entry Class Code used to initiate the Return Fee Entry.)

# **PIN Requirements**

For Machine Transfer Entries (MTE), Point-of-Sale Entries (POS) and Shared Network Entries (SHR), the ODFI warrants that the Originator complies with the American National Standards Institute's (ANSI) Accredited Standards Committee (ASC) X9.8 concerning PIN Management and Security when a personal identification number (PIN) is required in connection with the authorization for the entry.

**CHAPTER 10** 

# ODFIs and Return, Dishonored Return and Contested Dishonored or Corrected Return Entries

# RECEIPT OF RETURN ENTRIES

The ACH Network supports the capability to return entries for specific reasons. The process allows various participants in the Network to exercise their respective rights not to accept an entry and to return it to the Originator through the ACH Network. When the return has been transmitted by the RDFI and subsequently flows through the ACH Network to the ODFI, the ODFI has the right to dishonor the return under certain circumstances. The RDFI may react to that dishonor by accepting the dishonor, contesting the dishonor, or by correcting the return. The procedure is as follows:

- 1. The RDFI receives an ACH entry and returns it to the ODFI.
- 2. The ODFI evaluates and processes the return. In some situations, the ODFI may choose to dishonor the return if the return is untimely; contains incorrect information; is misrouted; is a duplicate; or results in an unintended credit to the Receiver related to the reversal process.
- 3. The RDFI either accepts the dishonored return, contests the dishonored return, or corrects the return.

This sequence can take place only once; there is no further recourse available to the parties within the ACH Network. Any disagreement beyond this point must be handled outside the ACH process.

Returns are transmitted by the ACH Operator to the ODFI (or its receiving point) and are included in regular ACH files. Therefore, the ODFI's receiving software must be able to recognize returns and sort them appropriately for action by the ODFI.

Returns can be initiated from one of two sources:

ACH Operator – entries that cannot be processed through the ACH Network will be returned to the ODFI by
the ACH Operator and will carry Return Reason Codes used only by ACH Operators. (A complete list of Return
Reason Codes used by the ACH Operator appears in Appendix Two of the Nacha Operating Rules.)

These entries were never received by the RDFI. The Originator should be notified immediately that entries were returned by the ACH Operator so that it can initiate a corrected entry or contact the Receiver about using an alternate method of payment.

• RDFI – entries are returned by the RDFI for specific reasons. (A complete list of Return Reason Codes used by the RDFI can be found in Appendix Four of the Nacha Operating Rules.)

Once returns are identified at the ODFI, the ODFI may:

- Forward the return to the Originator for action.
- Reinitiate an entry for the Originator if it has been returned for R01 (Insufficient Funds) or R09 (Uncollected Funds). (NOTE: The number of times that an entry returned for R01 or R09 can be reinitiated must not exceed the limits established by the Nacha Operating Rules.)
- Dishonor the Return. (See Dishonor of Returned Entries below.)

All ODFIs must be aware of specific processing windows offered by ACH Operators for the processing of returns. ODFIs may have returns available from ACH Operators toward the end of the business day; they should make sure that the files containing these returns are processed prior to the close of business for proper posting and handling.

When an ODFI receives a return bearing Return Reason Code R05, R07, R10, R11, R37, R51, or R53, the RDFI has warranted that it has obtained a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit from the Receiver stating that the Receiver did not authorize the transaction or that the entry was improperly originated. The ODFI has up to one year from the return of the original entry to request, in writing, that the RDFI provide a copy of the Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit. ODFIs should establish procedures to accept requests from their Originators for copies of Written Statements of Unauthorized Debit and for making those requests to RDFIs.

# ODFI Agreement to Accept Return in Lieu of Authorization

The Rules require the ODFI to provide the original, copy, or other accurate record of the Receiver's authorization in every instance in which it receives a written request for the authorization from an RDFI. However, to reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, ODFIs and Originators may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide the authorization to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI.

Even when the ODFI has accepted the return entry or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the ODFI must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. ODFIs and their Originators that choose to take advantage of this alternative should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

# **CHAPTER 16**

# Relationship with Receiver and Authorization Requirements

The type of authorization arrangement entered into between the Receiver and the Originator, and the degree of detail required for that authorization, depends upon who the parties to the transaction are (either consumer, non-consumer) and the nature of the relationship between those parties. Corporate payments, where the Originator and Receiver have entered into a trading partner agreement, could require more intricate authorization and payment terms than consumer payments. For example, the corporate trading partner relationship could include the processing of payment related data or may be used to transfer large dollar amounts.

# GENERAL AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL ENTRIES

As a general rule, and regardless of the nature of the relationship or parties involved, certain minimum authorization standards apply to all ACH payments. Before transmitting one or more entries to any Receiver's account, the Originator must obtain the Receiver's authorization to originate those entries, except for credit entries where both the Originator and Receiver are natural persons. All authorizations must comply with any applicable legal requirements, must be readily identifiable as an ACH authorization, and must have clear and readily understandable terms. A purported authorization for any entry that is not clear and readily understandable as to its terms, or that is otherwise invalid under applicable legal requirements, does not meet the requirements of a valid authorization. The authorization must indicate whether it relates to entries directed to a demand deposit account, a savings account, a loan account or a general ledger account. Additional minimum standards for authorization apply based on whether an ACH entry is destined to a consumer account or a non-consumer account. These are discussed in detail within the consumer and non-consumer sections of this chapter.

It is important to note that the authorization requirements specified within the Rules address the minimum requirements needed for authorization of various types of ACH entries. The Rules permit ACH participants to obtain authorization in a manner that exceeds the minimum requirements, provided that all other requirements for that particular type of entry are met. As an example, the rule provisions related to certain types of electronic check transactions (e.g. ARC and BOC) permit Originators to obtain authorization by providing notice to the Receiver. In such cases, Originators may also obtain a signed, written authorization, provided that all other requirements for the type of entry are met. Originators will need to consider the impact of other requirements on any change in the manner of authorization chosen for a particular type of payment to ensure that they are also compliant with those requirements. For instance, although written authorization is permissible for BOC Entries, notice would still be required to comply with Regulation E.

# CONSUMER RECEIVERS

# **Authorization for Credit Entries to Consumer Accounts**

An Originator of a credit entry to a Receiver's consumer account may obtain the Receiver's authorization in any manner permitted by applicable legal requirements. Regardless of how the Originator obtains the Receiver's authorization for a credit, the Originator must ensure that the credit authorization is readily recognizable as an ACH authorization and has clear and readily understandable terms.

Consumers may provide authorizations for credit entries in writing, or they may be provided orally or by other non-written means. If both the Originator and Receiver are natural persons, no authorization from the Receiver is required.

# **Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts**

An Originator of a debit entry to a Receiver's consumer account must obtain a written authorization that is signed or similarly authenticated by the Receiver, except as otherwise expressly permitted by the Rules. In addition to meeting the general requirements for all authorizations, as discussed above, the Originator must ensure that each consumer debit authorization includes the following minimum information:

- Language clearly stating whether the authorization obtained from the Receiver is for a Single Entry, multiple entries
  initiated under the terms of a standing authorization, or recurring entries;
- The amount of the entry or entries, or a reference to the method of determining the amount of the entry(ies);
- The timing of the entries, including the start date, number of entries, and frequency of the entries;
- The Receiver's name or identity;
- The account to be debited and whether the account is a demand deposit account or a savings account;

- The date of the Receiver's authorization; and
- Language that instructs the Receiver how to revoke the authorization directly with the Originator. This must include
  the time and manner in which the Receiver must communicate the revocation to the Originator. For a single entry
  authorized in advance, the right of the Receiver to revoke authorization must provide the Originator a reasonable
  opportunity to act on the revocation instruction prior to initiating the entry.

Where an authorization is a standing authorization for the initiation of subsequent entries, the Originator may meet these requirements through a combination of the standing authorization and the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a subsequent entry.

In any case where the Rules permit an Originator to obtain the Receiver's authorization for a debit by notice to the Receiver, the Originator also may choose, at its discretion, to obtain the Receiver's authorization by a signed, written authorization that meets the requirements described above.

Authentication of Authorization – With the exception of ARC, BOC, RCK, and Return Fee Entries, the authorization must be signed or similarly authenticated by the consumer.

# Copy of Authorization to Receiver

An Originator must provide the Receiver with an Electronic or hard copy of the Receiver's authorization. The copy may be provided to the consumer via mail, internet/online network, in person or any other method allowable under applicable legal requirements. In circumstances where the consumer signs the written authorization or, alternatively, uses the telephone to similarly authenticate the written authorization by speaking or key entering a code for identification, the consumer has a paper authorization in his possession, which should be retained as the copy of the authorization. The consumer can also request an additional hard copy of the authorization from the Originator. For the Internet/online network alternative, the consumer reads the authorization that is displayed on the computer screen or other visual display. The consumer should print the authorization from his computer screen and retain this copy. The Originator must be able to provide the consumer with a hard copy of a debit authorization if requested to do so.

# Similarly Authenticated

The similarly authenticated standard permits signed, written authorizations to be provided electronically. These writing and signature requirements are satisfied by compliance with the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. 7001 et seq.).

To satisfy the requirements of Regulation E and the Nacha Operating Rules, the authentication method chosen must evidence both the consumer's identity and his assent to the authorization.

Examples of methods used to similarly authenticate an authorization include, but are not limited to, the use of digital signatures, codes, shared secrets, PINs, etc. Authentication of an authorization is strongest when the authorization and the authentication of that authorization occur simultaneously or nearly simultaneously. Although an initial website session log-in may constitute adequate authentication for a click-through authorization as part of the same session, Originators and ODFIs should consider the strength of the association of an initial log-in with a later authorization. The Originator and ODFI bear the burden of demonstrating that the authentication process is sufficiently linked to the authorization.

# Retention of Authorization

The Originator must retain an original or copy of a written authorization, and readily and accurately reproducible records evidencing any other form of authorization. The record of authorization must be retained by the Originator for a period of two years following the termination or revocation of the authorization. The authorization may be retained as an electronic record that (1) accurately reflects the information in the record, and (2) is capable of being accurately

reproduced for later reference, whether by transmission, printing, or otherwise. Standing and oral authorizations have specific retention requirements that are discussed in their respective sections below.

# Standing Authorizations

A Standing Authorization is an advance authorization obtained from a Receiver for one or more future entries (referred to as subsequent entries) that require the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate. An Originator of a standing authorization must meet the minimum standards for a consumer debit authorization identified above, but it may do so through a combination of the standing authorization and the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate each subsequent entry.

As part of the terms of a standing authorization, the Originator must clearly specify the action(s) that the Receiver can take to initiate a subsequent entry. These actions can include, but are not limited to, a telephone call, an internet interaction, or a text message.

Examples of standing authorizations include, among others:

- *Bill payment* A standing authorization could allow a consumer to initiate payments on a credit card account intermittently and via various channels (phone, online, mobile app, text, virtual assistant technology, etc.)
- E-wallet /personal financial management A consumer could provide a standing authorization for future debits related to using an e-wallet or other personal financial management service
- Personal or home virtual assistants A standing authorization could be used in conjunction with services and apps that
  allow future e-commerce and payments to be initiated via virtual voice assistant or similar functionality
- Account transfers A consumer could provide a standing authorization to authorize funding debits to a brokerage
  account based on investment activity

For a standing authorization, an Originator must retain the original or a copy of each standing authorization for two years following the termination or revocation of the authorization. The Originator must also retain proof that the Receiver affirmatively initiated each payment in accordance with the terms of the standing authorization for two years following the Settlement Date of the entry.

# Receiver Account Information

In any case where the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a subsequent entry involves the communication or confirmation of any of the Receiver's banking information (such as routing number, account number, PIN, or other identification symbol) via an unsecured electronic network, the Originator must comply with ACH data security requirements.

# Standard Entry Class Codes for Subsequent Entries

In certain cases, an Originator may identify a subsequent entry using the Standard Entry Class Code appropriate either to (1) the manner in which the standing authorization was obtained from the Receiver, or (2) the manner in which the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate the subsequent entry was communicated to the Originator. However, the Rules do not allow an Originator that obtains the Receiver's standing authorization using the WEB or TEL Standard Entry Class Codes to identify subsequent entries using the PPD Standard Entry Class Code. For more information on selecting Standard Entry Class Codes, see Proper Use of Standard Entry Class Codes for Subsequent Entries later in this chapter.

# **Oral Authorizations**

The Nacha Operating Rules permit Originators to obtain debit authorizations from consumers orally using a variety of verbal interactions and voice-related technologies. Examples of how oral authorizations could be used under the Rules include:

- the consumer's oral authorization communicated to the Originator via a traditional telephone call;
- the consumer's voice interactions with home digital assistants ("Voice Assistant, pay my bill");
- the consumer's oral authorization of a bill payment via a video chat via the Internet.

Each oral authorization must meet the minimum standards for all consumer debit authorizations identified above, regardless of the communication device or channel used to convey the Receiver's authorization. However, the requirement that an Electronic authorization must be visually displayed in a manner that enables the consumer to read the communication does not apply when the consumer's authorization is an oral authorization. In addition, where the Receiver's oral authorization is communicated (other than via a telephone call) over an Unsecured Electronic Network, the Originator must also comply with the security requirements specific to the secure transmission of ACH information over an Unsecured Electronic Network.

# See Chapter 4 of these Guidelines for a discussion of an Unsecured Electronic Network.

# Additional Requirements for Oral Authorization for Single Entries

- Form of Authorization For a single entry authorized by the Receiver orally, the Originator is required to either make an audio recording of the consumer Receiver's oral Authorization or provide the consumer with written notice confirming the oral authorization prior to the settlement of the entry.
- Retention of Authorization The Originator is required to retain the original or a duplicate audio recording of the oral authorization, or the original or a copy of the written notice confirming the oral authorization, for two years from the date of the authorization.

# Additional Requirements for Oral Authorization for Recurring Entries

- Form of Authorization For a recurring entry authorized by the Receiver orally, the Originator must comply with the
  requirements of Regulation E for the authorization of preauthorized transfers, including the requirement to send a
  copy of the authorization to the Receiver.
- Retention of Authorization The Originator must retain the original or duplicate audio recording of the oral authorization, as well as evidence that a copy of the authorization was provided to the Receiver in compliance with Regulation E, for two years from the termination or revocation of the authorization.

# Additional Requirements for Oral Authorizations that are Standing Authorizations

- Form of Authorization For an oral authorization that is a standing authorization, the Originator must either make an audio recording of the consumer Receiver's oral authorization or provide the consumer with written notice confirming the oral authorization prior to the settlement of the first subsequent entry.
- Retention of Authorization The Originator is required to retain the original or a duplicate audio recording of the
  standing oral authorization, or the original or a copy of the written notice confirming the standing oral authorization, for two years from the termination or revocation of the standing oral authorization. The Originator must also
  retain proof that the Receiver affirmatively initiated each payment in accordance with the terms of the standing
  oral authorization for two years following the settlement date of the entry.

# Additional Authorization Requirements for ARC, BOC, POP, and RCK Entries

In addition to the meeting the requirements above, ARC, BOC, and POP, entry authorizations consist of notice from the Originator to the consumer and the receipt of the consumer's source document (for ARC and BOC entries) or item (for RCK entries) by the Originator.

For ARC entries for an in-person bill payment at a manned location and for BOC entries, the Originator must provide a copy of the notice or substantially similar language to the Receiver at the time of the transaction. For POP entries, the Originator must obtain the Receiver's written authorization, as discussed above, and must also provide the Receiver with notice at the point of purchase or manned bill payment location.

# Please refer to Chapters 37, 38, 44, and 46 on ARC, BOC, POP, and RCK entries, respectively, for specific notice requirements.

# Additional Authorization Requirements for TEL Entries

In addition to the requirements above, TEL entry authorizations may be obtained orally via the telephone for debits where there is (1) an existing relationship between the Originator and the consumer, or (2) no existing relationship between the Originator and the consumer, but the consumer has initiated the telephone call to the Originator. TEL entry authorizations must include a telephone number for Receiver inquiries that is answered during normal business hours.

Originators of TEL entries must establish and implement commercially reasonable procedures to verify that (1) the identity of the Receiver; and (2) the routing number used in the TEL entry is valid.

# See Chapter 47 of these Guidelines for more information about TEL entries.

# Authorization for Return Fee Entries

For a Return Fee Entry – that is, a debit entry to a consumer's account for the purpose of collecting a Return Fee – the Originator must obtain the Receiver's authorization prior to initiating the Return Fee Entry.

This can be accomplished in either of two ways:

- (1) Authorization by Notice Originators may obtain authorization for a Return Fee Entry by providing the Receiver/check writer with notice that conforms to the requirements of Regulation E at the time that the underlying ACH debit is authorized or the underlying check is accepted. Please refer to the chapter on Return Fee Entries for specific notice requirements.
- (2) Authorization other than by Notice Originators may also obtain authorization for a Return Fee Entry in any other form permitted by the Rules, dependent upon the Standard Entry Class Code used for the debit Entry to a Consumer Account (e.g., written authorization for PPD or WEB, oral authorization for TEL).

# Please refer to Chapter 54 for more detailed information on authorization and specific notice requirements for Return Fee Entries.

# Notice of Change in Amount/Change in Debiting Date for Recurring Debits to Consumer Accounts

When the amount of a recurring debit to a consumer account varies, specific requirements apply. If a preauthorized debit transfer varies from the immediately preceding transfer relating to the same authorization or from a fixed preauthorized amount, the Originator must send the Receiver written notification of the amount and the date on or after which of the transfer will be debited at least ten calendar days before the scheduled transfer date. Additionally, if the Originator informs the consumer of the right to receive notice of all varying transfers, the consumer may elect to receive notice only when a transfer does not fall within a specified range of amounts. Alternatively, the consumer may elect to receive notice only when a transfer differs from the most recent transfer by more than an agreed upon amount.

If the Originator changes the date on or after which a recurring debit entry to a consumer account is scheduled to be debited, the Originator must send the Receiver written notification of the new date. The Originator must send the notice at least seven (7) calendar days before the first entry to be affected by the change is scheduled to be debited to the Receiver's account.

# Copy of Authorization

The Originator, upon request of the ODFI, must present the original, copy or other accurate record of the customer's authorization to an ODFI for its use or use by the RDFI. The RDFI should not ask for the customer authorization as a normal course of business but only if an exception is expected or has occurred.

In lieu of providing proof of authorization to the RDFI, the ODFI may agree to accept a return entry. However, if the RDFI subsequently still requests evidence of authorization of a debit entry to a consumer account, the ODFI must provide the original, copy, or other accurate record to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. The ODFI must provide this information to the RDFI without charge.

# Data Passing

The Restore Online Shoppers' Confidence Act prohibits a merchant from initiating an Internet transaction unless the merchant has obtained certain authorization information, including account number and consumer's name and address, directly from the consumer. This law also prohibits a merchant from disclosing a customer's account number and other billing information to another merchant for use in an Internet-based sale.

The Nacha Operating Rules protect customers from such potentially confusing practices. Nacha's rule is similar to those currently in effect in major card brand rules. The rule is broader in scope than the requirements of The Restore Online Shoppers' Confidence Act in that it is not limited to Internet transactions and applies to all Receivers.

The Rules 1) prohibit an ODFI from disclosing a Receiver's account number or routing number to any third party for use in initiating a debit Entry that is not part of the original authorization; and 2) require the ODFI to ensure that the Originator and any Third-Party Service Provider do not disclose such information for use in initiating a debit Entry that is not part of the original authorization.

# **ACH Data Security Requirements**

In addition to the ACH Data Security requirements discussed in Chapter 4, Originators have additional obligations under the Rules regarding the secure storage and destruction of banking information.

The Rules require that Originators of ARC and BOC entries employ commercially reasonable methods to securely store all related source documents until these source documents are destroyed by the Originator. Originators are also obligated to use commercially reasonable methods to securely store all banking information related to ARC and BOC entries. Banking information includes, but is not limited to, an entry, entry data, a routing number, an account number, PINs and other identification symbols, etc. (Note: Where the Receiver's instruction to initiate the entry is communicated via the Internet, data security requirements for secure communication apply regardless of the SEC Code used.)

# PROPER USE OF STANDARD ENTRY CLASS CODE

Where entries are authorized in a particular way or through a specific communication channel, the Rules specify the minimum requirements that Originators must follow for each entry initiated in that particular manner and require the use of the appropriate Standard Entry Class Code for such entries. For instance, an Originator that wishes to convert a check received at the point of purchase to an ACH debit during back office processing may only use the BOC Standard Entry Class Code and must comply with the Rules related to such entries. No other Standard Entry Class Code may be used for such purposes. Similarly, an Originator that accepts ACH debit authorizations for Recurring or Single Entries from consumers via the Internet or via a mobile app must identify such entries as WEB debits and comply with appropriate data security standards.

However, where a consumer Receiver's Standing Authorization is involved, the Rules provide a degree of flexibility in an Originator's use of Standard Entry Class Code for Subsequent Entries. Except where noted below, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry to a consumer account using the Standard Entry Class Code that is appropriate

to either (i) the manner in which the Receiver's Standing Authorization was communicated to the Originator, or (ii) the manner in which the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate the Subsequent Entry was communicated to the Originator.

# Exceptions:

- An Originator must use the POS, MTE, or SHR SEC Code, as appropriate, to identify a Subsequent Entry to a Consumer Account initiated at an "electronic terminal" (as that term is defined in Regulation E), regardless of the manner in which the Originator obtained the Receiver's Standing Authorization. Use of these formats is necessary for an Originator to identify the electronic terminal used at the point of sale (POS) or at an ATM location (MTE), as required by Regulation E.
- An Originator is prohibited from using the PPD SEC Code for a Subsequent Entry if it obtained
  the Receiver's Standing Authorization (i) as an Oral Authorization via a telephone call, or (ii) via the
  Internet or a Wireless Network.

SEC Codes for Subsequent Entries - Example #1:

An Originator obtains a Standing Authorization from a consumer Receiver in paper form with a wet signature. The terms of the Standing Authorization specify that the consumer Receiver may affirmatively initiate a Subsequent Entry via an Internet communication to the Originator, or via a telephone call to the Originator. The Originator may choose to identify Subsequent Entries as either PPD, WEB, or TEL.

SEC Codes for Subsequent Entries - Example #2:

An Originator obtains a consumer Receiver's Standing Authorization orally via a telephone call. The terms of the Standing Authorization specify that the consumer Receiver may affirmatively initiate a Subsequent Entries via an Internet communication to the Originator. The Originator may identify Subsequent Entries as either TEL or WEB.

SEC Codes for Subsequent Entries – Example #3

An Originator provides a debit card to a consumer that can be used for a variety of transactions in accordance with the terms of a Standing Authorization obtained from the Receiver in paper form with a wet signature. The Originator must assign the Standard Entry Class Code to each Subsequent Entry debit as follows:

- Each use of the debit card at a point-of-sale terminal must be initiated as a separate POS entry to convey terminal information required for the consumer's statement;
- Each use of the debit card at an ATM must be initiated as an MTE transaction; and
- Each use of the debit card to make purchases on the internet may be initiated using either the PPD
  or WEB SEC Code.

SEC Codes for Subsequent Entries – Example #4

An Originator provides a debit card to a consumer that can be used for a variety of transactions in accordance with the terms of a Standing Authorization obtained from the Receiver via the Internet. The Originator must assign the Standard Entry Class Code to each Subsequent Entry debit as follows:

- Each use of the debit card at a point-of-sale terminal must be initiated as a separate POS entry to convey terminal information required for the consumer's statement;
- Each use of the debit card at an ATM must be initiated as an MTE transaction; and
- Each use of the debit card to make purchases on the internet must be initiated using the WEB SEC
   Code.

# CORPORATE RECEIVERS

# Agreements/Authorizations for Corporate Transactions

As with consumer entries, the business Receiver must authorize all ACH credits and debits to its account. The Originator must ensure that the authorization complies with applicable legal requirements, is readily identifiable as an authorization, and has clear and readily understandable terms. The Originator may obtain the business Receiver's authorization in any manner permitted by applicable legal requirements.

An Originator must enter an agreement with each business Receiver of entries (other than ARC, BOC and POP Entries to non-consumer accounts) under which the Receiver has agreed to be bound by the Nacha Operating Rules. The nature of the agreement for corporate transactions can vary depending upon the complexity of the application and the relationship between the Originator and the Receiver. The Originator that is collecting or disbursing funds to its own subsidiaries, for example, may require an entirely different agreement for the funds transfer than it would if it were entering into a trading partner agreement with another corporation.

Originators of corporate debits to Receivers other than their own subsidiaries need to be aware of the sensitivity of this application. Many corporate Receivers are reluctant to allow debit activity to their accounts; therefore, it is imperative that the agreement that supports this type of activity is complete and accurate. Originators may be required to provide some proof that debit activity was, in fact, authorized if a transaction is questioned by the Receiver.

The ODFI's agreement with its Originator should address the Originator's requirement to provide an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization or the contact information (specified below) to the ODFI upon request. The record or information must be provided in such a manner and time as to enable the ODFI to deliver the information to the requesting RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's request.

Upon receipt of an RDFI's written request for evidence of authorization for a CCD, CTX, or Inbound IAT to a non-consumer account, the ODFI must provide either (1) an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization, or (2) the contact information for the Originator that, at a minimum, includes (i) the Originator's name, and (ii) the Originator's phone number or email address for inquiries regarding authorization of entries. This record of authorization or contact information must be provided to the RDFI within ten banking days of receipt of the request without charge.

In lieu of providing proof of authorization to the RDFI, the ODFI may agree to accept a return entry. However, if the RDFI subsequently still requests evidence of authorization of a CCD, CTX or Inbound IAT to a non-consumer account, the ODFI must provide the original, copy, or other accurate record to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. The ODFI must provide this information to the RDFI without charge.

# Authorization for ARC and BOC Entries to Non-Consumer Accounts

With respect to ARC and BOC entries, authorization consists of notice from the Originator to the business Receiver and the receipt of the business' Eligible Source Document. For POP entries, authorization is comprised of both the Receiver's written authorization and notice regarding the check conversion policy provided to the Receiver by the Originator at the point of purchase or manned bill payment location.

Specific information on authorization and notice requirements for ARC, BOC, and POP entries can be found in Chapters 37, 38 and 44, respectively, of these Guidelines.

# Authorization for Return Fee Entries to Non-Consumer Accounts

As with other entries, a Return Fee Entry to a non-consumer account must also be authorized by the Receiver. For a Return Fee related to an ARC, BOC or POP Entry, the Originator may obtain the Receiver's authorization by providing the Receiver/check writer with notice that conforms to the requirements of Regulation E at the time that the underlying ACH debit is authorized or the underlying check is accepted. Any notice meeting the form, process, and content permissible under Regulation E satisfies this authorization requirement, even though the account to be debited is a non-Consumer account.

Please see chapter 54 on Return Fees for specific authorization and notice requirements.

# Remittance Information/Non-Monetary Entries

The nature of the agreement between the Originator and Receiver will include additional terms if the application includes the processing of payment-related data along with the payment.

Non-Monetary Entries are entries that carry no settlement value but do include payment-related remittance data. Examples of Non-Monetary Entries include CTX and CCD entries that carry remittance information indicating a credit position of the Originator to the Receiver or relating to a period of time during which no funds are owed by the Originator to the Receiver. Originators must ensure that corporate trading partner agreements include provisions for remittance data to be sent via the ACH Network for either live dollar or Non-Monetary Entries.

# **ORIGINATING ACH ENTRIES**

Originators that use the various ACH applications must be sure to comply with the requirements associated with the particular application. Each entry type has specific conditions that must be met in order for the entry to be considered properly authorized. These requirements are discussed in the chapter in these Guidelines dedicated to each SEC Code.

# **Originating ARC Entries**

Prior to originating an ARC Entry, an Originator must:

- Prior to accepting each check, provide the Receiver with a conspicuous notice that has clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements;
- Provide a copy of the notice, or language that is substantially similar, to the Receiver at the time of the transaction
  when the source document for the ARC Entry is provided by the Receiver in-person for payment of a bill at a
  manned location;
- Obtain an eligible source document (i.e., a check) via the U.S. mail, dropbox, delivery service or in person for payment of a bill at a manned location;
- Use a reading device to capture MICR information;
- Retain a copy of the front of the Eligible Source Document for 2 years, and provide it to the ODFI upon request;
   and
- Securely store the Eligible Source Document until destroyed.

# **Originating BOC Entries**

Prior to originating a BOC Entry, an Originator must:

- Provide the Receiver with a conspicuous notice that has clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements;
- Provide a copy of the notice or substantially similar language to the Receiver at the time of the transaction;
- Obtain an Eligible Source Document at the point of the in-person transaction;
- Verify the identity of the Receiver;
- Use a reading device to capture MICR information;
- Retain a copy of the front of the Eligible Source Document for 2 years, and provide it to the ODFI upon request;
- · Securely store the Eligible Source Document until destroyed; and
- Maintain a telephone number for customer inquiries.

# **Originating CCD Entries**

Prior to originating a CCD Entry to a non-consumer account, an Originator must:

- Obtain the corporate Receiver's authorization to originate entries to the Receiver's account that meets the minimum authorization requirements and
- Obtain the corporate Receiver's agreement to be bound by the Nacha Operating Rules.

# **Originating POP Entries**

Prior to originating a POP Entry, an Originator must:

- Provide the Receiver with a conspicuous notice that has clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements;
- Obtain an Eligible Source Document at the point of the in-person transaction;
- Use a reading device to capture MICR information;
- Void the Eligible Source Document and return it to the Receiver;
- · Obtain a written, signed authorization; and
- Provide a copy of the notice at the time of the transaction.

# **Originating POS Entries**

Prior to originating a POS debit Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

- Provide the Receiver with a written authorization that is readily identifiable as an ACH debit authorization and
  contains clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements.
- Obtain the Receiver's agreement to the terms of the authorization via his signature or electronic signature equivalent (i.e., the authorization must be similarly authenticated).
- Provide the Receiver with a disclosure explaining the difference between the ACH card issued by the Originator and
  a debit card issued by the Receiver's own financial institution.

# For sample POS disclosure language, please refer to Appendix M of these Guidelines.

# **Originating PPD Entries**

Prior to originating a PPD debit Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

- Provide the Receiver with a written authorization that is readily identifiable as an ACH debit authorization and contains clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements.
- Obtain the Receiver's agreement to the terms of the authorization via his signature or electronic signature equivalent (i.e., the authorization must be similarly authenticated).

When originating a PPD Entry for a Return Fee Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

• Obtain the Receiver's authorization for a Return Fee Entry originated using the PPD Standard Entry Class Code by either (1) obtaining the Receiver's written authorization, or (2) providing the Receiver with the required notice.

For detailed information on Return Fee Entries and authorization requirements, please refer to Chapter 54 within the Special Topics section of these Guidelines.

When originating a PPD credit Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

• Obtain an authorization from the Receiver that is readily identifiable as an authorization and has clear and readily understandable terms. Authorization for a PPD credit entry is not required to be in writing.

# **Originating RCK Entries**

Prior to originating an RCK Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

- Agree with its ODFI that any restrictive endorsement made by the Originator or its agent on the item to which the RCK Entry relates is void or ineffective upon initiation of the RCK Entry.
- Provide the Receiver with a conspicuous notice that has clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements.
- Use an eligible item.
- Retain a copy of the front and back of the eligible item for 7 years, and provide it to the ODFI upon request. If the item has been paid, the copy provided to the ODFI must be so marked.
- Not reinitiate an RCK entry more than one time within 180 days of the Settlement Date of the original entry, provided that the item to which the RCK relates has been presented no more than one time through the check collection system, and one time as an RCK entry.

# **Originating TEL Entries**

Prior to originating a TEL Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

- Obtain oral authorization from the Receiver via the telephone. The authorization must be readily identifiable as an authorization and must have clear and readily understandable terms that meet the minimum authorization requirements.
- Provide the Receiver with a telephone number for inquiries that is answered during normal business hours.
- · Verify the identity of the Receiver.

· Verify that the routing number is valid.

# **Originating WEB Entries**

Prior to originating a debit WEB/Mobile Entry to a consumer account, an Originator must:

- Obtain written authorization from the Receiver that meet the minimum authorization requirements (1) via the
  Internet or a wireless network, except for an oral authorization via a telephone call; or (2) in any manner permissible
  under the Rules, if the Receiver's instruction for the initiation of the debit entry is designed by the Originator to be
  communicated, other than orally via a telephone call, via a wireless network.
- Use a fraudulent transaction detection system to screen each debit WEB entry that, at a minimum, validates the account to be debited for the first use of the account number, and for any subsequent change to the account number.
- Verify the Receiver's identity.
- Verify that the routing number is valid.
- Conduct annually an audit of data security practices for Receivers' financial information.

### CHAPTER 26

# **Initiation of Return Entries by RDFI**

## TIMING OF RETURNS

In general, return entries must be received by the RDFI's ACH Operator by its deposit deadline for the return entry to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the second banking day following the Settlement Date of the original entry. For RCK entries, the RDFI must transmit the return entry to its ACH Operator by midnight of the second banking day following the banking day of receipt of the presentment notice. Common exceptions to these general timing requirements are described below.

For credit entries that are refused by the Receiver, the credit return must be made available to the ODFI by opening of business on the second banking day following the RDFI's notification from the Receiver that it has refused the entry.

For credit entries subject to Article 4A of the Uniform Commercial Code, the RDFI must transmit the return entry to its ACH Operator prior to the time the RDFI accepts the credit entry as provided in Article 4A, subject to certain exceptions.

For a CCD or CTX entry with respect to which the RDFI has received written notification from a a Receiver that the debit was not authorized, the RDFI may transmit a return entry to the ODFI if the ODFI agrees, either orally or in writing, to accept the late return.

If a return entry is being initiated for R05, R07, R10, R11, R33, R37, R38, R51, R52, R53, the RDFI must transmit the extended return entry so that it is made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the banking day following the sixtieth calendar day following the Settlement Date of the original entry.

RDFIs need to be aware of return processing schedules offered by their ACH Operator in order to meet these requirements. All RDFIs should also be aware that ACH Operators offer return processing schedules that will allow return entries to be processed and settled quickly. For example, an RDFI could initiate a return the same day as the settlement of the original entry and receive settlement for the return on that day.

# REQUEST FOR COPY OF AUTHORIZATION; ODFI AGREEMENT TO ACCEPT RETURN ENTRY IN LIEU OF PROVIDING COPY OF AUTHORIZATION

An RDFI that requires a copy of the Receiver's authorization must send a written request for such a copy to the ODFI. Upon receipt of the RDFI's written request, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with the original, copy, or other accurate record of the Receiver's authorization within ten banking days. However, to reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, ODFIs and Originators may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide the authorization to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI.

Even when the ODFI has accepted the return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request to the ODFI for evidence of the Receiver's authorization. The ODFI then must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Because RDFIs may receive different responses to their requests for copies of authorization, RDFIs may wish to review their practices and procedures to ensure that they are prepared to send subsequent requests for proof of authorization in cases where a copy is still needed if ODFI has agreed to accept the return in lieu of providing the authorization.

# WRITTEN STATEMENTS OF UNAUTHORIZED DEBIT

An RDFI should establish internal procedures to obtain a signed or similarly authenticated Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit when necessary. If the similarly authenticated requirements are satisfied, an account holder does not need to sign the Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit in person at the financial institution. By initiating the extended return entry for the transaction in question, the RDFI warrants that, when required, it has obtained a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit from the Receiver that complies with the requirements of the Rules, including that it is dated on or after the Settlement Date of the original entry. The RDFI indemnifies each ODFI, ACH Operator and Gateway against any and all claims, demands, losses, liabilities, or expenses resulting from the breach of this warranty. An RDFI may refer to the specific definition of what constitutes an unauthorized entry in its evaluation of a consumer's claim that an entry was not authorized.

RDFIs should be aware, when recrediting their consumers for unauthorized or improper debit entries, that the requirement to obtain a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit is the minimum requirement under the Nacha Operating Rules. At its discretion, an RDFI may choose to obtain an affidavit from its account holder. When making the decision to use a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit or an affidavit, it is important for RDFIs to note that some state laws require that all affidavits be notarized. With respect to a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit, other states preclude a person from being charged with perjury unless he or she has taken an oath before an authorized public official, which, in most cases is a notary. An RDFI may wish to consult its legal counsel to determine which document is most appropriate for its use.

The Rules explicitly state that an RDFI may obtain a consumer's Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit as an Electronic Record, and an RDFI may accept a consumer's Electronic Signature, regardless of its form or the method used to obtain it. WSUDs may be obtained and signed electronically, which could include the same methods permissible for obtaining a consumer debit authorization, such as orally via a telephone call or via a writing over the internet.

Appendix I of these Guidelines contains a sample Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit.

**CHAPTER 37** 

# Accounts Receivable Entries (ARC)

# **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

# Retention/Secure Storage of Source Documents and Payment Information

Each Originator of ARC entries must retain a reproducible image or other copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for a period of two years from the Settlement Date of the entry. Originators may also choose, at their discretion, to retain a copy of the back of the Receiver's source document. The Originator must be prepared to provide such a copy to the ODFI, as the ODFI is required to send a copy of the front of the source document to the RDFI within 10 banking days of receipt of the RDFI's written request, provided that the RDFI's request is received within two years of the Settlement Date of the entry.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, Originators and their ODFIs may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization (notice plus a copy of the front of the source document) to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization for an ARC entry. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the Originator must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization (the notice and a copy of the front of the source document) to its ODFI for provision to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Originators and ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

The Rules do not explicitly require an Originator to destroy the source document to which the ARC entry relates. Although an Originator may use its discretion in determining how long to retain the original source document, Originators are encouraged to establish policies and procedures to destroy ARC source documents as soon as is reasonable to protect against the risk of fraud or erroneous entry of the check into the check processing system. Until such time that the source document is destroyed by the Originator, it must be securely stored using commercially reasonable methods.

The Rules do require Originators to use commercially reasonable methods to securely store all banking information related to the ARC transaction. Banking information includes, but is not limited to, an entry, entry data, a routing number, an account number, PINs and other identification symbols, etc.

# **RESPONSIBILITIES OF ODFIS**

# Retention/Secure Storage of Source Documents and Payment Information

The ODFI is required to provide the RDFI with a copy of the front of the Receiver's source document, along with the required notice, to the RDFI, within 10 banking days of receipt of a written request by the RDFI, provided that the RDFI's request is received within two years of the Settlement Date of the entry. ODFIs should establish procedures with their Originators to obtain necessary copies of ARC source documents.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, ODFIs and their Originators may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization (notice plus a copy of the front of source document) to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization for an ARC entry. In

these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the ODFI must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization (the notice and a copy of the front of the source document) to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications their business processes may be necessary.

The ODFI warrants that its customer (the Originator) has employed commercially reasonable methods to securely store (1) all source documents until destruction, and (2) all banking information related to ARC Entries. ODFIs should work closely with their Originators to ensure that these secure storage and destruction obligations are met. Although the Rules do not explicitly require an Originator of ARC entries to destroy the source document to which the ARC entry relates, it is recommended that the Originator destroy ARC source documents as soon as is reasonable to protect against the risk of fraud or erroneous entry of the check into the check processing system. Until destroyed, however, Originators must use commercially reasonable methods to securely store such source documents, as well as any banking information related to an ARC entry. Refer to the Obligations of Originator section of this ARC chapter for guidance on secure storage and destruction of such information.

# **RESPONSIBILITIES OF RDFIS**

# **Copy of Source Document**

RDFIs may send the ODFI a written request to provide a copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for an ARC entry, provided such request is made within two years of the settlement date of the ARC entry. The ODFI must provide the copy of the source document and required notice to the RDFI within ten banking days of receipt of the RDFI's written request unless it agrees to accept the return of the entry in lieu of providing the copies. When the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the ODFI must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization (the notice and a copy of the front of the source document) to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. RDFIs should expect to receive varying responses from ODFIs to their requests for proof of authorization and will need to develop practices and procedures to send subsequent requests for proofs of authorization in cases where a copy is still needed when the ODFI has agreed to accept the return in lieu of providing the copy.

RDFIs should be aware that the Originator is only required to retain a reproducible image or other copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for a period of two years from the Settlement Date of the entry; a copy of the back of the source document is not required. The Originator may, however, choose to retain a copy of the back of the Receiver's source document at its discretion.

CHAPTER 38

# **Back Office Conversion Entries (BOC)**

# **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

# Retention/Secure Storage of Source Documents and Payment Information

Each Originator of BOC entries is required to retain a reproducible, legible image or other copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for a period of two years from the Settlement Date of the entry. Originators may also choose, at their discretion, to retain a copy of the back of the Receiver's source document. The Originator must be prepared to provide such a copy to the ODFI, as the ODFI is required to send a copy of the front of the source document to the RDFI within 10 banking days of receipt of a written request for such copy by the RDFI, provided that the RDFI's written request is received by the ODFI within two years of the settlement date of the BOC entry.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, Originators and their ODFIs may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization (notice plus a copy of the front of the source document) to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization for a BOC entry. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the Originator must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization (the notice and a copy of the front of the source document) to its ODFI for provision to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Originators and ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

The Rules do not explicitly require an Originator to destroy the source document to which the BOC entry relates. Although an Originator may use its discretion in determining how long to retain the original source document, Originators are encouraged to establish policies and procedures to destroy BOC source documents as soon as is reasonable to protect against the risk of fraud or erroneous entry of the check into the check processing system. Until such time that the source document is destroyed by the Originator, it must be securely stored using commercially reasonable methods.

The Rules also require Originators to use commercially reasonable methods to securely store all banking information related to the BOC transaction. Banking information includes, but is not limited to, an entry, entry data, a routing number, an account number, PINs and other identification symbols, etc.

Secure storage requirements may also be governed by state or Federal laws and regulations. Originators should be familiar with any such laws when determining the commercial reasonableness of their storage methods.

When choosing a commercially reasonable method for secure data storage, Originators should consider the following guidance provided by the Federal Trade Commission for complying with the Safeguards Rule, which implements security measures within the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act.

- know where sensitive information is stored and that it is stored securely;
- · ensure that only authorized personnel have access to sensitive data;
- · ensure that storage areas are protected against destruction or damage from physical hazards such as fire or floods;
- store records in a room or cabinet that is locked when unattended;
- when information is stored on a server or other computer, ensure that the computer is accessible only with a strong password and that it is kept in a physically secure area;
- avoid storing sensitive information on an electronic device with an Internet connection;
- maintain secure backup records and keep archived data secure by storing it off-line and in a physically secure area;
- maintain a careful and accurate inventory of the company's electronic devices and of the storage location of any other sensitive information.

When destroying BOC source documents or other banking information, Originators should establish reasonable measures for destroying such information that may include:

- burning, pulverizing, or shredding papers that contain such information so that the information cannot be read or reconstructed;
- · the use of an outside disposal company that has been certified by a recognized industry group;
- the destruction and/or erasure of data when disposing of computers, disks, CDs, magnetic tapes, hard drives, laptops, smartphones, cell phones, or any other electronic media or hardware containing banking information.

# **RESPONSIBILITIES OF ODFIS**

# Retention/Secure Storage of Source Documents and Payment Information

The ODFI is required to provide the RDFI with a copy of the front of the Receiver's source document within 10 banking days of receipt of a written request by the RDFI, provided that the RDFI's request is received within two years of the Settlement Date of the entry. ODFIs should establish procedures with their Originators to obtain necessary copies of BOC source documents.

The ODFI warrants that its customer (the Originator) has employed commercially reasonable methods to securely store (1) all source documents until destruction, and (2) all banking information related to BOC Entries. ODFIs should work closely with their Originators to ensure that these secure storage and destruction obligations are met. Although the Rules do not explicitly require an Originator of BOC entries to destroy the source document to which the BOC entry relates, it is recommended that the Originator destroy BOC source documents as soon as is reasonable to protect against the risk of fraud or erroneous entry of the check into the check processing system. Until destroyed, however, Originators must use commercially reasonable methods to securely store such source documents, as well as any banking information related to a BOC entry. Refer to the Obligations of Originator section of this BOC chapter for guidance on secure storage and destruction of such information.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, Originators and their ODFIs may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization (notice plus a copy of the front of the source document) to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization for a BOC entry. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the Originator must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization (the notice and a copy of the front of the source document) to its ODFI for provision to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Originators and ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

For a discussion on the concept of commercial reasonable standards, please refer to the chapter on General Rules within the General Information section of these Guidelines.

# **RESPONSIBILITIES OF RDFIS**

# Copy of Source Document

RDFIs may send the ODFI a written request to provide a copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for a BOC entry, provided such request is made within two years of the settlement date of the BOC entry. The ODFI must provide the copy to the RDFI within ten banking days of receipt of the RDFI's written request unless it agrees to accept the return of the entry in lieu of providing the copies. When the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the ODFI must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization to the RDFI within

ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. RDFIs should expect to receive varying responses from ODFIs to their requests for proof of authorization and will need to develop practices and procedures to send subsequent requests for proofs of authorization in cases where a copy is still needed when the ODFI has agreed to accept the return in lieu of providing the copy.

RDFIs should be aware that the Originator is only required to retain a reproducible image or other copy of the front of the Receiver's source document for a period of two years from the Settlement Date of the entry; a copy of the back of the source document is not required. The Originator may, however, choose to retain a copy of the back of the Receiver's source document at its discretion.

**CHAPTER 39** 

# Corporate Credit or Debit and Corporate Trade Exchange Entries (CCD & CTX)

# **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

# Agreement with Receiver

With business-to-business payments, ACH transactions originated by a buyer are credit transactions because the buyer (the party that owes funds) "pushes" funds to the seller's (party that is owed the payment) account. ACH transactions originated by a seller are debit transactions because the seller "pulls" funds from the buyer's account.

As with all ACH transactions, the Originator of a CCD or CTX entry must receive the Receiver's authorization to debit or credit the Receiver's account. The Nacha Operating Rules do not require the CCD/CTX authorization to be in a specific form, however, the authorization must be readily recognizable as an authorization and have clear and readily understandable terms. In addition, the Rules require the Originator and Receiver to have an agreement that binds the Receiver to the Rules. This trading partner agreement should contain the authorization requirements and procedures as determined by the parties; the companies negotiate the terms.

In some instances a seller may have specialized data remittance requirements for an electronic credit payment (e.g., specific data elements and formats) and/or may require that remittance be sent through a specific channel (e.g., the ACH, or a private remittance network — sometimes referred to as a value-added network). If the buyer is unable to meet the exact specifications for making a credit ACH payment, it is possible that the seller will not be able to post the payment correctly, meaning the buyer's account will not be properly credited. The seller may, therefore, only allow the buyer to originate a credit ACH payment once the buyer agrees to the remittance requirements. As above, the terms of the agreement would be dictated by the trading partner agreement.

Sellers and buyers should discuss special payment requirements prior to conducting business. The range of payment terms and conditions should be covered in their trading partner agreement.

The agreement should also specify the manner in which dispute resolution will be handled.

# Provision of the Record of Authorization for a CCD or CTX Entry

Upon receipt by the ODFI of an RDFI's written request for evidence of authorization for a CCD or CTX entry, the ODFI must provide either (1) an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization, or (2) the contact information for the Originator that, at a minimum, includes (i) the Originator's name, and (ii) the Originator's phone number or email address for inquiries regarding authorization of entries. This record of authorization or contact information must be provided to the RDFI within ten banking days of receipt of the request without charge.

The Originator must be able to provide an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization or the contact information as stated above to the ODFI upon request. The record or information must be provided in such a manner and time as to enable the ODFI to deliver the information to the requesting RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's request.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, Originators and their ODFIs may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the Originator must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization to its ODFI for provision to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Originators and ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

#### **CHAPTER 44**

#### Point of Purchase Entries (POP)

#### **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

#### **Authorization/Notification Requirements**

Originators are required to provide notice to the Receiver and to obtain a written authorization from the Receiver to satisfy the authorization requirement for a POP entry. (For specific details on the minimum requirements for written authorization, please refer to Chapter 16 – Relationship with Receiver and Authorization Requirements – within these Guidelines.) The provision of the notice by the Originator to the Receiver, the receipt of the source document and the written authorization from the Receiver together constitute authorization for the POP entry. The check is used solely as a source document for capturing the Receiver's routing number, account number, and check serial number for the entry. The rules governing POP incorporate Regulation E safe harbor language into the required notice, requiring that the notice include the following, or substantially similar, language:

"When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us either to use information from your check to make a onetime electronic fund transfer from your account or to process the payment as a check transaction."

The Originator must post the notice in a prominent and conspicuous location and a copy of such notice, or similar language, must be provided to the Receiver at the time of the transaction.

At the Originator's discretion, the receipt and the authorization required for POP Entries may be provided to the Receiver on the same document or on different documents.

NOTE: Originators should be aware that some Receivers may choose to opt out of check conversion activity by declining to sign a written authorization at the point of purchase. In other cases, Receivers may have chosen to opt out of check conversion activity by having their checks reprinted to include an Auxiliary On-Us Field in the MICR line. In both of these situations, Originators may not convert the check to a POP entry and are encouraged to work with these customers to establish alternative payment methods.

#### Retention and Provision of Copy of Authorization

Each Originator of POP entries must retain the original or a copy of the Receiver's authorization for a minimum of two years following the settlement date of the entry. At the request of its ODFI, the Originator must provide the original or copy to the ODFI for its use, or for the use of an RDFI requesting the information, in such time and manner so that the ODFI is able to deliver the authorization to the requesting RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's request.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, Originators and their ODFIs may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the Originator and its ODFI have accepted a return or have agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization for a POP entry. In these situations, the RDFI must submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the Originator must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization to its ODFI for provision to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Originators and ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

#### **CHAPTER 45**

#### Prearranged Payment and Deposit Entries (PPD)

#### **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

#### **Authorization Requirements**

As with any ACH transaction, the Originator must obtain the Receiver's authorization to initiate PPD entries through the ACH Network to the Receiver's account. For PPD debit entries, the authorization must

- 1. be in writing;
- 2. be readily identifiable as an ACH authorization;
- 3. have clear and readily understandable terms;
- 4. meet the minimum authorization requirements as discussed in Chapter 16 of these Guidelines; and
- 5. be either signed or similarly authenticated by the consumer. (Refer to the discussion below on the use of the similarly authenticated standard with PPD entries.)

The Originator must provide the Receiver a copy of the authorization for all debit entries.

For credit entries to a consumer account, the authorization may be obtained in writing, or it may be obtained orally or by other non-written means.

The Rules do not require the consumer's authorization to initiate reversing entries to correct erroneous transactions. However, Originators should consider obtaining express authorization of credits or debits to correct errors.

An Originator must retain the original or a reproducible copy of the Receiver's authorization for two years from the termination or revocation of the authorization and must be able to provide the ODFI with an accurate copy within the time period required by the ODFI.

To reduce the costs and time needed to resolve some exceptions in which proof of authorization is requested, Originators and their ODFIs may agree to accept the return of the debit rather than provide a copy of the authorization to the RDFI. In these cases, the ODFI must provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI. Even when the ODFI has accepted a return or has agreed to accept the return of the entry, it is still possible that the RDFI may require a copy of the Receiver's authorization. In these situations, the RDFI will need to submit a subsequent request for evidence of the Receiver's authorization to the ODFI, and the Originator must provide the original, copy or other accurate record of the authorization to its ODFI for provision to the RDFI within ten banking days of the RDFI's subsequent request. Originators and ODFIs that choose to take advantage of this alternative to providing proof of authorization should consider whether any changes or modifications to their business processes may be necessary.

#### **CHAPTER 47**

#### Telephone-Initiated Entries (TEL)

Telephone-Initiated Entries (TEL) are consumer debit transactions. The Nacha Operating Rules permit TEL entries when the Originator obtains the Receiver's authorization for the debit entry orally via the telephone. An entry based upon a Receiver's oral authorization provided during a telephone call must utilize the TEL (Telephone-Initiated Entry) Standard Entry Class (SEC) Code.

#### INITIATING A TEL ENTRY - AN OVERVIEW

A TEL Entry is initiated by an Originator in response to a Receiver's oral authorization that is spoken over the telephone and includes certain elements of the Receiver's banking information as specified in the Rules. Based on the Receiver's oral authorization, an ACH debit is initiated to the Receiver's account to collect payment for goods or services. TEL Entries may be used for debit transactions only. Originators may not utilize the TEL SEC Code to transmit credit entries to the Receiver's account, unless those entries are credits to reverse erroneous debits.

#### **Existing Relationship**

A TEL Entry may be transmitted only in circumstances in which:

- 1. there is an existing relationship between the Originator and the Receiver, or
- 2. there is not an existing relationship between the Originator and the Receiver, but the Receiver initiated the telephone call to the Originator.

The Originator and the Receiver are considered to have an existing relationship when either:

- 1. there is a written agreement in place between the Originator and the Receiver for the provision of goods or services (e.g., the Receiver has an insurance policy with the Originator), or
- 2. the Receiver has purchased goods or services from the Originator within the past two years.

#### No Relationship

A TEL Entry may not be used by an Originator when there is no existing relationship between the Originator and the Receiver, and the Originator has initiated the telephone call. For purposes of the Rules, an Originator is not deemed to have such an existing relationship with the Receiver with respect to TEL entries on the basis of a pre-existing relationship of one of its affiliates.

#### **Oral Authorization**

An oral authorization is one that is spoken by the Receiver. The Receiver's oral authorization for a TEL entry may be provided during a conversation with the Originator, or the Receiver's spoken authorization may be captured through the use of voice-related technologies, such as an automated voice response system.

 Response Unit (VRU): A Voice Response Unit is also commonly referred to as Interactive Voice Response Unit or IVR, which is interactive technology that allows a computer to detect voice and keypad inputs.

#### **RISK MANAGEMENT**

The Nacha Operating Rules require Originators to implement specific risk management procedures relating to TEL entries.

#### Verification of Identity of Receiver

Originators of TEL entries are required to establish and implement commercially reasonable procedures to verify the identity of the Receiver (e.g. name, address, and telephone number). Originators need to establish a commercially reasonable method (e.g., use of a directory, database, etc.) to comply with this requirement. The Originator is also advised to further verify the Receiver's identity by verifying pertinent information with the Receiver (e.g., past buying history, mother's maiden name, Caller ID information, shared secrets, account passwords, challenge responses, credit bureau information, etc.)

#### **Verification of Routing Numbers**

Originators of TEL entries are required to establish commercially reasonable procedures to verify that routing numbers are valid. A TEL entry is a debit entry in which the Receiver is responsible for providing his routing number. In most instances, the Receiver provides the routing number by reading it from a source document (e.g., the Receiver's check) or mobile banking application, which increases the potential for Receiver error in providing accurate information.

In some instances, the MICR information on the Receiver's check may not be appropriate for ACH processing resulting in increased exception processing. Originators can minimize the potential for exception processing by employing commercially reasonable procedures to verify that routing numbers are valid.

Verifying the validity of routing numbers can be accomplished by:

- a component of a fraudulent transaction detection system,
- through a separate database or directory (either commercial or proprietary), or
- through other methods devised by the Originator, for example manual intervention such as calling the Receiver's financial institution.

Although TEL entries provide a streamlined method for Receivers to authorize ACH debit entries, this process may be subject to misuse through the origination of unauthorized ACH debit transactions. TEL entries are susceptible to origination that is the result of deceptive and fraudulent telemarketing practices by Originators that use fraudulent intent to:

- Debit the Receiver without obtaining the Receiver's authorization for such a transaction;
- · Cold call consumers with whom they have no existing relationship and subsequently debit the Receiver; and/or
- Use mail solicitations to instruct the consumer to initiate the telephone call to the Originator and subsequently attempt to sell goods or services using deceptive marketing practices.

#### **Commercially Reasonable**

For discussion on the concept of commercially reasonable standards, please refer to Chapter 4 of these Guidelines.

#### **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

#### Agreements with ODFIs

Originators that wish to use the ACH Network to transmit TEL entries should consider modifications to their agreements with their ODFIs to address the origination of this type of transaction. At a minimum, additions to the ODFI/Originator agreement should include, but not be limited to:

- the Originator's responsibilities and obligations with respect to the provision of specific information to the Receiver during the telephone call;
- the Originator's requirement to audio record the oral authorization or provide written confirmation of the Receiver's authorization for Single-Entry TEL entries;
- the Originator's requirement to audio record the Receiver's oral standing authorization or provide written confirmation of the Receiver's oral standing authorization prior to the settlement date of the first subsequent entry.
- the Originator's requirement to comply with Regulation E with respect to recurring TEL entries, including its requirement to audio record the oral authorization and provide a written copy of the Receiver's authorization;
- · verification of the identity of the Receiver; and
- · verification of routing numbers.

The agreement should also address the allocation of liability between the Originator and ODFI for any failure on the part of the Originator to comply with these and other requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules.

#### **Authorization Requirements**

Originators of TEL entries must obtain the Receiver's explicit oral authorization prior to initiating a debit entry to a consumer's account. The authorization must meet minimum authorization requirements for a consumer debit entry(ies) and evidence the Receiver's identity and the Receiver's assent to the authorization. As part of the oral authorization process for TEL single entries, recurring TEL entries, and for a Receiver's standing authorization obtained orally via a telephone call, the Originator must clearly state during the telephone conversation that the consumer is authorizing one ore more ACH debit entries to his account. The Originator must ensure that the Receiver explicitly express consent. Silence is not express consent.

For more information on the minimum authorization requirements for consumer debit entries, see Chapter 16 of these Guidelines.

#### Single Entry TEL Entries

Originators of SingleEntry TEL entries are obligated either to audio record the Receiver's oral authorization or to provide, prior to the settlement of the entry, written notice to the Receiver that confirms the oral authorization. When the Originator of a Single Entry TEL entry elects to provide the Receiver with written notice confirming the Receiver's oral authorization, that notice must include the minimum authorization requirements for a consumer debit entry. The Originator should disclose to the Receiver the method by which written notice will be provided if this option is used by the Originator.

#### Standing TEL Authorizations and Subsequent Entries

Originators that obtain standing TEL authorizations must either audio record the Receiver's oral authorization or provide, prior to the settlement of the first subsequent entry, written notice to the Receiver that confirms the oral authorization. When the Originator that obtains a standing TEL authorization elects to provide the Receiver with written notice confirming the Receiver's oral authorization, that notice must include the minimum authorization requirements for all consumer debit entries. The Originator should disclose to the Receiver the method by which written notice will be provided if this option is used by the Originator.

When initiating a subsequent entry, the Originator must retain proof that the Receiver affirmatively initiated each payment in accordance with the terms of the standing authorization. The Originator must retain this proof for two years following the Settlement Date of the subsequent entry.

## For more information on standing authorizations and subsequent entries, see Chapter 16 of these Guidelines.

#### Recurring TEL Entries

Originators of recurring TEL entries are obligated to both audio record the Receiver's oral authorization and to provide a written copy of the authorization to the Receiver, to the extent required by Regulation E. The Originator should disclose to the Receiver the method by which the written copy will be provided. The authorization must meet the minimum authorization requirements for consumer debit entries and include a telephone number that is available to the Receiver and answered during normal business hours for customer inquiries.

Authorizations for recurring TEL Entries need to meet the writing and signature requirements of Regulation E for preauthorized transfers, which can be done by conforming to the e-Sign Act. However, neither Regulation E nor its Commentary provides additional guidance as to how ODFIs and Originators can comply with e-Sign. Although Nacha cannot formally interpret Regulation E, the guidance below provides additional information on how to comply with the Rules for authorization of recurring TEL entries. This guidance is not intended to be legal advice regarding compliance with Regulation E. ODFIs and Originators using recurring TEL entries are responsible for determining their own compliance with Regulation E and the e-Sign Act.

#### Authorization of Recurring TEL Entries under Regulation E and e-Sign Act

Under the Rules, an ODFI is responsible for the compliance of the telephone authorization process with applicable law and for the validity of any authorization obtained using such a process. To facilitate ACH participants' understanding of such processes, the following provides high-level outlines of two distinct situations that Originators and ODFIs might face when considering whether to permit consumers to authorize recurring ACH debits from their accounts via the telephone. The first scenario is based on the Rules as they existed prior the effective date of the recurring TEL rule (September 16, 2011), and results in "telephone-initiated PPD transactions" in which a written authorization is electronically signed. This remains a permissible transaction format for institutions that follow the process outlined below. The second scenario is based on the current rule for recurring TEL payments with an oral authorization. These are merely two examples; there are many other variations of the scenarios below that Originators and ODFIs may wish to consider.

Scenario 1 – Telephone-Initiated PPD Entries by Electronically Signed Authorization

The consumer has received the clear and readily understandable terms of the preauthorized transfer in writing (either in a physical writing or in an electronic manner that satisfies the e-Sign Act or other applicable law) prior to the telephone call. The writing includes spaces for the consumer to record any variable information (e.g., transaction amount, transaction frequency, account number and/or routing number). The consumer then initiates a telephone call to the Originator, during which the consumer authorizes a recurring debit to his or her consumer account, and "signs" the written authorization either by inputting a code into the telephone keypad or by providing the code orally to a customer service representative on a recorded line. This scenario could apply to an existing billing relationship, in

which the billing company regularly sends bills in writing (either paper or electronic) to an existing customer. The bill would contain the clear and readily understandable terms of the preauthorized transfers, and a code for the customer to input during the telephone call.

#### Scenario 2 – Recurring TEL Entries by Oral Authorization

The consumer has not received the terms of the preauthorized transfer in writing (either in a physical writing or in an electronic manner that satisfies the e-Sign Act or other applicable law) prior to the telephone call. The consumer initiates a telephone call to the Originator, during which the consumer authorizes a recurring debit to his or her consumer account. The consumer provides his or her authorization, including his or her "signature" or "authentication" of the authorization, via a recorded conversation. The consumer either repeats or expressly confirms the authorization, including the account to be debited, the timing of the debits (e.g., monthly on the 1st business day of the month), and the amount (e.g., \$500 per month), as well as other required elements of the authorization. The Originator provides a written copy of the authorization to the consumer (either in a physical writing or in an electronic manner that satisfies e-Sign Act or other applicable law). This scenario could apply to both: 1) an existing billing relationship in which terms of the preauthorized transfer are not contained in writing on a bill; and 2) a new billing relationship in which a new customer wants to authorize recurring payments during the same telephone call that establishes a new service (e.g., a new car insurance policy), provided that the authorization is the result of an inbound customer call.

#### Other Considerations for TEL Entry Authorizations

Originators should understand that the term "provide" is intended to mean that the Originator has utilized a medium (e.g., U.S. mail, fax, or other mail delivery method) to send the written notice to the Receiver. Any written notice or disclosure required by the Nacha Operating Rules, including those for TEL entries, may be provided in electronic form (e.g., e-mail and SMS text message to a smartphone or mobile device). However, state and federal laws may require Receiver consent before using electronic notices/disclosures.

The term "provide" does not imply receipt of such notice by the Receiver. Originators that send a copy of the written authorization or use a written notice to confirm the authorization must afford the Receiver the right to contact the Originator to correct any erroneous information contained within the notice using a provided telephone number. Compliance with the Nacha Operating Rules does not eliminate the obligation to comply with other applicable laws, such as the e-Sign Act.

An Originator using a voice response unit (VRU) to capture a Receiver's authorization for a TEL entry must understand that key-entry responses by the Receiver to input data and to respond to questions does not qualify as an oral authorization. A VRU may be used by the Receiver to key enter data and to respond to questions, provided that the actual authorization by the Receiver is provided orally.

#### Retention of Record of Authorization for TEL Entries

For Single Entry TEL entries, the Originator must retain either the original or a duplicate audio recording of the Receiver's oral authorization or the original or a copy of the written notice confirming the Receiver's oral authorization for two years from the date of the authorization. With respect to Standing Authorizations, the Originator must retain the original or duplicate audio recording of the standing oral authorization for two years from the termination or revocation of the standing oral authorization, as well as proof that the Receiver affirmatively initiated each payment in accordance with the terms of the standing authorization for two years following the settlement date of the entry. For recurring TEL entries, an Originator must retain for two years from the termination or revocation of the authorization (i) the original or a copy of the oral authorization, and (ii) evidence that a copy of the authorization was provided to the Receiver in compliance with Regulation E. At the request of the ODFI, the Originator must provide a copy of the Receiver's authorization.

#### Formatting Requirements

The Payment Type Code field in the Entry Detail Record may be used to indicate whether a TEL entry is a recurring entry, a single entry, or a subsequent entry initiated as part of a standing authorization. At their discretion, Originators

may identify a recurring entry, single entry, or subsequent entry through the use of an optional indicator of "R," "S," or "ST," respectively. Originators may also use this field to include other codes, of significance to them, to enable specialized handling of an entry.

The Individual Name Field of the Entry Detail Record of a TEL entry is a mandatory field. Originators must ensure that the name of the Receiver is included within each TEL entry. Any TEL entry where the Individual Name Field contains all spaces or all zeros will be rejected and returned by the ACH Operator.

NOTE: The inclusion of all spaces or all zeros in any other mandatory filed will also cause the entry to be returned by the ACH Operator.

#### **OBLIGATIONS OF ODFIS**

#### **Return of TEL Entries**

#### Return by ACH Operator

The Individual Name Field within the TEL Entry Detail Record is a mandatory field and any TEL entry in which the Individual Name Field contains all spaces or all zeros will be rejected and returned by the ACH Operator. The inclusion of all spaces or all zeros in any other mandatory field will also cause the entry to be returned by the ACH Operator.

#### Return by RDFI

TEL entries may be returned by the RDFI for any valid reason. RDFIs are subject to the typical return time frames for transmitting TEL entry returns. Specifically, an RDFI must transmit a returned TEL entry to its ACH Operator by the ACH Operator's deposit deadline for the return entry to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the second banking day following the Settlement Date of the TEL entry.

In the event that a Receiver claims that he did not authorize the Originator to transmit a TEL entry, the RDFI may transmit a return for the TEL entry to its ACH Operator by the ACH Operator's deposit deadline for the return to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the banking day following the sixtieth calendar day following the Settlement Date of the TEL entry.

In circumstances in which an entry was not authorized, the RDFI must obtain a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit from the Receiver before returning the entry. Any subsequent dispute regarding an unpaid debt must be addressed between the Originator and Receiver outside of the ACH return process.

#### **OBLIGATIONS OF RDFIS**

#### **Return of TEL Entries**

RDFIs are subject to the typical return time frames for transmitting TEL entry returns. Specifically, an RDFI must transmit a TEL return entry to its ACH Operator by the ACH Operator's deposit deadline for the return entry to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the second banking day following the Settlement Date of the TEL entry.

In the event that a Receiver claims that he did not authorize the Originator to transmit a TEL entry, the RDFI may transmit a return for the TEL entry to its ACH Operator by the ACH Operator's deposit deadline for the return to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the banking day following the sixtieth calendar day following the Settlement Date of the TEL entry.

In circumstances in which an entry was not authorized, the RDFI must obtain a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit from the Receiver before returning the entry. Any subsequent dispute regarding an unpaid debt must be addressed between the Originator and Receiver outside of the ACH return process.

#### Stop Payments on TEL Entries

The Nacha Operating Rules for stop payments require Receivers to place a stop payment order on a recurring debit at least three banking days prior to the scheduled date of the entry. In the case of Single Entry TEL entries, the Originator generally processes TEL entries quickly; therefore, Receivers are usually unable to meet the three day advance notice requirement for placing a stop payment order on such entries.

To ensure that a Receiver has the ability to place a stop payment order on a Single-Entry TEL entry or a subsequent TEL entry initiated in accordance with the terms of a standing authorization, the Nacha Operating Rules allow a Receiver to provide a stop payment order to his financial institution so long as it is given in such a time and manner that allows the RDFI a reasonable opportunity to act on the stop payment order prior to acting on the TEL entry.

#### **CHAPTER 48**

#### **Internet Initiated/Mobile Entries (WEB)**

Internet Initiated/Mobile Entries (WEB entries) are entries transmitted to a consumer Receiver's account. These entries can be either debits (when the Internet or mobile devices are used to initiate the payment) or credits (when payments are exchanged between consumers). WEB entries may be single entry, recurring entry, or subsequent entry transactions and must meet the following consumer payment requirements.

Debit WEB entries are used by non-consumer Originators to debit a consumer based on an authorization that is communicated, other than by an oral communication via a telephone call, from the Receiver to the Originator via the Internet or a Wireless Network. This Standard Entry Class Code also includes debit entries authorized under any form of authorization when the instruction for the initiation of the entry is designed by the Originator to be communicated, other than orally via a telephone call, over a Wireless Network.

Credit WEB entries are used for the origination of credit entries between consumer accounts (Person-to-Person or P2P transactions), regardless of the manner in which the consumer Originator communicates the payment instruction to his financial institution or payment service provider. While most P2P payments are originated electronically via the Internet or using a mobile device, P2P payments may also be originated by other means, such as an in-person instruction provided at a bank branch, and a credit WEB entry is appropriate in either case.

The manner in which the consumer Originator funds its bank or service provider for the credit WEB entry transmitted on the consumer's behalf is not addressed as part of these P2P credit WEB rules. These funding transactions are handled in accordance with the agreement between the consumer originator and the bank or P2P service provider. (NOTE: WEB credit entries may not be used by a non-consumer Originator to transmit a credit entry to a consumer account, even when the consumer's authorization for the credit is provided via the Internet or mobile device.)

Use of the WEB SEC Code for debit entries includes requirements for added security procedures and obligations to help address the following unique risk characteristics inherent to the Internet and Wireless payment environments:

- 1. the anonymity of the Internet environment in which parties are not certain with whom they are doing business poses unique opportunities for fraud,
- 2. the Internet as an open network requires special security procedures to be deployed to prevent unauthorized access to Receiver financial information, and
- 3. the sheer speed with which a large number of payments can be transacted over the Internet (volume and velocity).

Technical solutions and business practices to support WEB payments continue to evolve. Therefore, the Nacha Operating Rules balance the need for security with the desire to maintain some flexibility regarding the methods ACH Network participants use to comply with the Rules. These Operating Guidelines recommend methods ACH participants can use to implement and comply with the Nacha Operating Rules for WEB entries. (NOTE: In any case where these key components are not specifically required under the Nacha Operating Rules, all are recommended by Nacha as sound business practices.)

#### INITIATING A WEB ENTRY - AN OVERVIEW

#### When to Use the SEC Code WEB:

- 1. WEB is appropriate for a non-Consumer Originator to use when initiating debit entries that have been authorized by a consumer Receiver via the Internet or a Wireless Network.
  - Example: A consumer's authorization for a debit entry is obtained over the Internet accessed from a device that uses a wired or Wireless Network.
- 2. WEB is appropriate if the consumer Receiver's instructions for initiation of the debit entry are communicated to the Originator via a Wireless Network, even if the authorization has been given in some other manner.
  - Example: An authorization was obtained from the Receiver in person or via a telephone call, but the Receiver sends a text message to communicate when to initiate the debit entry.
- 3. WEB is appropriate to use when initiating credit entries transmitted between consumers or between consumer accounts belonging to the same person, regardless of the manner in which the payment is initiated.
  - Example: A consumer's payment instruction to send funds to another consumer is obtained from a consumer over the Internet accessed from a device that uses a wired or Wireless Network, or via in-person instruction at a bank branch.
- WEB is appropriate if the consumer's authorization for the debit entry is provided orally, other than via a telephone
  call, over a Wireless Network.
  - Example: A consumer provides a payment instruction during a video chat with a power company, or a consumer provides an oral instruction to a virtual voice assistant to pay a bill or place an order for goods or services.
- 5. WEB may be used in certain situations involving the initiation of a subsequent entry under the terms of a standing authorization if either the Receiver's standing authorization was obtained via the Internet, or if the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate the payment is communicated via the Internet.
  - Example: An Originator obtains a consumer Receiver's standing authorization orally via a telephone call. The terms of the standing authorization specify that the consumer Receiver may affirmatively initiate a subsequent entries via an Internet communication to the Originator. The Originator may identify subsequent entries as either TEL or WEB.

Note: The flexibility for an Originator to use the WEB SEC Code for subsequent entries initiated under the terms of a standing authorization may be superseded by other SEC Code requirements if subsequent eare initiated at an electronic terminal.

#### When NOT to use the SEC Code WEB:

1. WEB is not appropriate if the consumer's authorization for the debit entry is provided orally via a telephone call.

Example: Authorization is given during a telephone conversation via a device over a Wireless Network.

- 2. WEB is not appropriate to initiate entries to non-consumer (business) accounts, even when the non-consumer Receiver (business) provided authorization for the transaction via the Internet.
- 3. WEB is not appropriate if the POS code would otherwise apply, because the WEB format does not contain the necessary fields for communication of terminal identification information.
  - Example: A Receiver uses a near field communication mobile payment service to initiate a debit to his or her bank account to pay for goods at the point-of-sale The merchant (Originator) must use the POS SEC code so that information regarding the merchant identity and terminal location can be properly communicated to the RDFI.
- 5. WEB is not appropriate to initiate credit entries from a consumer to a non-consumer (business) account. The CIE SEC Code should be used for a bill payment credit from a consumer to a business (this includes a consumer-initiated credit to a loan account).
- 6. WEB is not appropriate to initiate credit entries between accounts owned by the same party if one account is titled as a consumer account and the account at the other financial institution is titled as a non-consumer (business) account. When an ACH credit entry is originated from the owner's consumer account at one financial institution to his business account at the other financial institution, the entry must be coded as a CIE entry. Conversely, when a credit entry is originated from the owner's business account at one financial institution to his consumer account at the other financial institution, the entry must be coded as a PPD entry.

## Other Considerations on When to Use WEB Rather Than Other SEC Codes for Scenarios Involving Mobile Devices

Mobile technologies are creating new mechanisms for initiating ACH entries. The following discussion and scenarios provide additional guidance on when it is, or is not, appropriate to use the WEB SEC code.

When a consumer Receiver key enters information into a mobile device or computer as a means to communicate that information over the Internet to the Originator's servers, the transaction should be coded as a WEB entry, even if the mobile device or computer is owned by the Originator. An example of this scenario is when a consumer enters his or her account information on an insurance company's web page to authorize a debit to pay for an insurance premium, even if the consumer does so on an insurance agent's laptop or tablet computer. The WEB SEC code should be used in this scenario regardless of the physical location where the consumer and the agent meet (e.g., consumer's home, agent's office, local coffee shop). The fact that the consumer and the agent are meeting together does not result in the use of the POS SEC code because the agent's laptop is being used as a means to communicate information over the Internet, not as a POS device.

By contrast, a Point of Sale (POS) Entry is a debit entry to a Consumer Account that is initiated by a Receiver to pay for a purchase of goods or services at an "electronic terminal" at the point of sale or to receive cash back at such a location. This term is intended to be interpreted as defined in Regulation E, and the reference to "point of sale" means the Entry must be initiated by the Receiver in-person at the Originator's electronic terminal. (Adjustments and other credit Entries related to an original POS debit Entry are also coded as "POS," but may be initiated through back office reconciliation processes.)

Examples of electronic terminals for this purpose are traditional terminals at stationary point-of-sale locations such as grocery store cash registers or automated gasoline pumps. The term also includes mobile devices owned or leased by a merchant that are used as mobile check-out terminals, even if the merchant uses the mobile device at a location that is not owned or rented by the merchant. For example, a mobile tablet device that is used by a farmer to accept payments at various farmers' markets around the state would be a point-of-sale electronic terminal for this purpose, and ACH transactions initiated at such a device should be coded as POS.

It is also important to differentiate for this purpose the proper use of the POS, MTE, POP and BOC SEC codes. The following scenarios would not result in the use of the WEB SEC code, even if any part of the transaction utilizes a mobile device:

- If a transaction at a point-of-sale electronic terminal is initiated with an "access device" (as that term is defined in Regulation E) or with account and routing and transit information that is not machine-read from the MICR line, then POS is the correct SEC code to use.
- If the electronic terminal is an ATM (automated cash dispensing machine), then MTE is the appropriate SEC code to use.
- If the transaction is initiated by capturing information from the MICR line of a check through a reading device at the point-of-purchase and returning the check to the consumer, then POP is the correct SEC code to use.
- If the transaction is initiated by capturing information from the MICR line of a check through a reading device at
  the point-of-purchase itself or later for subsequent conversion during back-office processing, and the check is not
  returned to the Receiver, then BOC is the correct SEC code to use.

#### UNSECURED ELECTRONIC NETWORK

The Internet is an unsecured electronic network, even though secure transmissions may be made over that otherwise unsecure network.

A network, public or private, is an unsecured electronic network if:

- 1. it is not located entirely within a single, contiguous, physical facility, and
- 2. transmits data via circuits that are not dedicated to communication between two end-points for the duration of the communication, or
- 3. transmits data via wireless technology
  - excluding a communication that begins and ends with a wireline connection, but that is routed by a telecommunications provider for a portion of the connection over a wireless system.

In addition to the obligations of ACH participants to protect the security and integrity of certain ACH data throughout its lifecycle, the Nacha Operating Rules also impose specific data security requirements for all ACH transactions that involve the exchange or transmission of banking information (which includes, but is not limited to, an entry, entry data, a routing number, an account number, and a PIN or other identification symbol) via an Unsecured Electronic Network. Refer to Chapter 4 of these Guidelines for more detailed information on ACH participants' obligations with respect to ACH security.

#### **COMMERCIALLY REASONABLE**

For all debit WEB entries, each Originator is obligated to ensure that certain aspects of the transaction have been handled in a commercially reasonable manner. Those aspects include commercially reasonable methods of authentication to verify the identity of the Receiver, fraudulent transaction detection systems, methodology to establish a secure Internet session, and procedures to verify the validity of the RDFI's routing number.

For a discussion on the concept of commercially reasonable standards, please refer to Chapter 4 of these Guidelines.

#### SINGLE, RECURRING AND SUBSEQUENT ENTRIES

The WEB Standard Entry Class Code applies to single-entry (i.e., one-time), recurring entry, and subsequent Internet/Mobile debit entries, as well as Person-to-Person (P2P) credits. At their discretion, non-consumer Originators and service providers may choose to identify a recurring entry, a single entry, or a subsequent entry by placing the value "R," "S," or "ST", respectively, within the Payment Type Code field of a Single Entry.

 A Single-Entry WEB entry is a credit or debit initiated by an Originator based on the Receiver's authorization for a one-time transfer of funds to or from the Receiver's account.

Example: A Single-Entry WEB transaction would be initiated if a consumer purchases a book online.

A recurring WEB entry is an entry that has been set up to occur at regular intervals without any additional
intervention by the Receiver. These instructions are based on a consumer Originator's instructions to pay another
consumer (WEB credit) or a consumer Receiver's authorization for a debit, where the authorization is provided to
the non-consumer Originator via the Internet or a Wireless Network (WEB debit).

Example: A monthly debit to the Receiver's account for a mortgage payment.

A subsequent entry is originated individually upon the affirmative action of the Receiver, based on a standing
authorization provided by the Receiver that establishes a relationship with the Originator for a specific type of
activity.

Example: An instruction via mobile device to a broker to purchase securities.

For more information on standing authorizations and subsequent entries, see Chapter 16 of these Guidelines.

#### **OBLIGATIONS OF ORIGINATORS**

#### Agreements with ODFIs

Originators choosing to utilize the ACH Network for initiating debit WEB transactions should consider modifications to their agreements with their ODFIs to address the origination of these entries. These modifications should address:

- the extent to which the Originator and ODFI will share liability for debit WEB transactions, and
- should define any specific processing obligations relating to such transactions

Example: The Nacha Operating Rules require an Originator of debit WEB entries to conduct or have conducted on its behalf, annual audits to ensure that the financial information it obtains from Receivers is protected by security practices and procedures.

The Originator of a P2P entry is not required to enter into an origination agreement with the ODFI in order to transmit credit WEB entries. The requirements of an ODFI/Originator agreement are not suitable to transactions in which a consumer is the Originator and thus are not applicable. This is consistent with the requirements for CIE Entries, which are also exempted from the requirements for an ODFI/ Originator agreement because the Originator is a consumer.

For more information on agreements, refer to Chapter 5 in these Guidelines.

#### **Authorization Requirements**

#### Credit WEB Entries

A Person-to-Person (P2P) entry is intended to involve a credit transmitted on behalf of one natural person (i.e., a consumer Originator) to the account of another natural person (i.e., a consumer Receiver), or between accounts belonging to the same natural person. For these credit WEB entries, no authorization by the Receiver is required.

#### **Debit WEB Entries**

Non-consumer Originators of debit WEB entries must obtain the Receiver's authorization prior to initiating a debit entry under this application. Although the Nacha Operating Rules do not prescribe specific authorization language for

the WEB application, the authorization must conform to the requirements of the Rules, which require that:

- 1. the authorization must be in a writing that is signed or similarly authenticated by the Receiver via the Internet or a Wireless Network, or
- 2. the authorization is obtained in any manner permissible for other Standard Entry Class Codes, but the Receiver's instructions for the initiation of the debit entry is communicated via a Wireless Network (other than by an oral communication), and
- 3. the authorization includes the minimum required information for a consumer authorization.

To meet the first requirement that the authorization be in writing, in the context of WEB entries, the Receiver must be able to read the authorization language displayed on a computer screen or other visual display. The Originator should prompt the Receiver to print the authorization and retain a hard copy or electronic copy. The Originator must be able to provide the Receiver with a hard copy of the authorization if requested to do so. Only the Receiver may authorize the WEB transaction, and not a Third-Party Service Provider on behalf of the Receiver.

The Nacha Operating Rules allow the use of a digital signature or code to similarly authenticate a written authorization. Examples of methods used to similarly authenticate an authorization include, but are not limited to, the use of digital signatures, codes, shared secrets, PINs, biometrics, etc. To satisfy the requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules, which parallel Regulation E, the authentication method chosen must identify the Receiver and demonstrate the Receiver's assent to the authorization.

Originators should understand the distinction between authenticating a Receiver for general use on a website (or marketing purposes, etc.) and authentication in the context of an authorization. Authentication of an authorization is strongest when the authorization and the authentication of that authorization occur simultaneously or nearly simultaneously. Although an initial website session log-in may constitute adequate authentication for a click-through authorization as part of the same session, Originators and ODFIs should consider the strength of the association of an initial log-in with a later authorization. The burden of demonstrating that the authentication process is sufficiently linked to the authorization will be on the Originator and ODFI.

## For a discussion on the concept of similarly authenticated, please refer to Chapter 16 within these Guidelines.

One of the practical considerations for an Originator is how to present an authorization to a Receiver over the Internet that both meets the requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules and is easily understood. As long as the required information is included in the authorization language, Originators have the flexibility to draft the language in any way that is user-friendly for their customers.

Originators must retain records of a Receiver's authorization in accordance with the requirements discussed in Chapter 16 of these Guidelines. In the physical world this record would be an original or copy of the signed authorization. In the electronic world where the authorization will be similarly authenticated, the Originator must keep a copy of the authorization and a record of the authentication. The Originator must also be able to provide these records to the ODFI upon its request. The ODFI may request these records either for its own use or to forward to the RDFI (the Receiver's financial institution).

In the event that an Originator must demonstrate proof of a Receiver's authorization for a debit WEB entry, it should provide documentation that provides transaction details including Receiver information.

Example: Originators can provide a screen shot of the authorization language and then the date/timestamp of the Receiver login and the authorization process that evidenced both the consumers' identity and his assent to the authorization.

#### **RISK MANAGEMENT**

To help mitigate the added risk associated with Internet/Mobile payments, Originators are obligated to comply with stringent risk management requirements when originating debit WEB entries. At a minimum, Originators of such entries must implement the following risk management techniques:

#### **Authentication**

The best way Originators can minimize the potential for fraudulent Internet/Mobile initiated ACH transactions is to employ robust authentication methods to verify the identity of the Receiver before accepting ACH debit authorizations online. The more robust the authentication, the less likely the transaction will be fraudulent and the less likely the payment will be returned to the Originator as unauthorized. Since the Originator may ultimately be responsible for unauthorized or fraudulent ACH transactions when those transactions are returned, it is to their benefit to incorporate adequate levels of authentication into their online ACH payment processes.

When considering which authentication methods to use, Originators should determine whether their debit WEB entry transactions will be conducted with existing customers, new customers or both. Originators with an established business relationship with the Receiver — whether established online, in person, over the telephone, or some other method — can usually authenticate those customers using shared secrets such as a PIN, password or previous transaction history.

The Originator has the responsibility to choose an appropriate solution for authentication that will minimize the potential for fraudulent transactions. Common examples in use today include asking for several forms of identifying information and checking that information against databases; asking challenge questions based upon credit bureau or other information; or sending the Receiver a specific piece of information, either online or offline, and then asking the Receiver to verify that information as a second step in the authentication process.

Originators should understand financial industry trends in the adoption of multifactor authentication and layered security, or additional risk mitigation controls to verify the identity of the Receiver. The Federal Financial Institution Examination Council (FFIEC) released guidance to financial institutions in October 2005, Authentication in an Internet Banking Environment, to which it issued a supplement in 2011. The guidance provides information on several of the authentication techniques, processes and methodologies that are widely available in the marketplace. Originators should periodically refer to the FFIEC for any updates to this guidance.

Though user ID/PIN/password are still the most common solution for online authentication, there is a growing trend toward replacing passwords with more robust authentication such as use of additional authentication factors or securities layers.

Multifactor authentication uses multiple characteristics to determine a Receiver's identity, for example by obtaining and verifying more than one of the following:

- something the Receiver knows (password),
- something the Receiver has (a personal computer),
- · something the Receiver is (voice or fingerprint), and
- someplace the Receiver is (geolocation).

Some other factors to consider in selecting an authentication method that is commercially reasonable include typical transaction amount, type of goods offered, method of delivery, and control of goods or funds. It is important to note that it will never be considered commercially reasonable to have done nothing. Similarly, simply assigning a password without validation of user identity and allowing the Receiver to use that password in the same Internet session as the sole method of authenticating the Receiver is also not commercially reasonable.

#### Fraudulent Transaction Detection Systems

Using fraudulent transaction detection systems to screen debit WEB entries reduces the potential for fraudulent ACH transactions. Fraudulent transaction detection systems employ different methodologies and different features at varying costs. At a minimum, the system must validate the account number to be debited upon the number's first use and for any subsequent changes to the account number. The choice of which other features should be included in a fraudulent transaction detection system for a particular Originator is generally a decision to be made by the Originator.

Examples of fraudulent transaction detection systems are systems that ensure the account is open and available for ACH processing, track payment history, behavior, purchase type, delivery information, etc. Factors to consider when choosing a fraudulent transaction detection system for debit WEB entries include, but are not limited to:

- the number of transactions processed by the Originator,
- the average dollar size of each transaction,
- · the typical relationship with the Receiver (existing or new), and
- · the type of goods or services being sold.

An important element of a commercially reasonable fraudulent transaction detection system is the adoption of risk-based mechanisms designed to confirm the validity of an account to be debited. For example, the use of an ACH prenotification entry or an ACH micro-deposit confirmation would result in a return entry indicating "No Account" (R03) or similar return reason, thereby indicating that the related live entry should not be sent. Other available account validation methods also can provide a similar indication that there would be a problem with the underlying live entry. The greater the value, volume or velocity of transactions, the more robust the account validation system needs to be.

#### Guidance for ODFIs and their Originators of Debit WEB entries

- In compliance with the Nacha Operating Rules, Originators must utilize a commercially reasonable fraudulent transaction detection system that includes account number validation to screen debit WEB entries.
  - ODFIs should communicate with their Originators of debit WEB entries regarding this requirement, including internal Originators (card, mortgage, lending, account opening, etc.).
  - ODFIs should consider risk-based affirmative outreach to Originators most likely to be exposed to the above activity.
- Identify and act on red flags for debit WEB entries. Examples related to this specific scenario include:
  - Large numbers of customers changing the routing number for payments.
  - A significant increase in the use of a specific routing number over a short period of time.
  - An early payoff of a loan (e.g., a student loan), in conjunction with a change in the source of payment.
  - Atypical large-dollar funding of a new or existing account.
  - Multiple payment attempts by the same person.
  - An overpayment of a bill or tax payment.
- Use an appropriate commercially reasonable method of account validation

- ACH prenotifications and micro-deposits can be accelerated through the use of Same Day ACH processing.
- Commercial account validation solutions are available, including those enabled by APIs .
- Act on returns of prenotifications and micro-deposits, or the results of other account validation methods, prior to sending any related live entries.
- Monitor the use of Federal Reserve and U.S. government routing numbers when used for originating debit payments;
   and monitor returns of those debits.
  - The receipt of a return with Return Reason Code R34 (Limited Participation DFI) is a red flag. R34 is a return from the ACH Operator, and means that the RDFI for the routing number is limited in its ACH participation.
- RDFIs may use Return Reason Code R17 (File Record Edit Criteria/Entry with Invalid Account Number Initiated
  Under Questionable Circumstances) with QUESTIONABLE in the Addenda Information field to highlight these
  potential abuses of the system. Accordingly, although there are some other uses of the R17 code, receiving debit
  entries returned from RDFIs with Return Reason Code R17 with QUESTIONABLE in the Addenda Information
  field indicates a different scenario from other routine administrative returns.
- Assess, and act on in good faith, a request from an RDFI to temporarily block the use of its routing number in originating consumer debit transactions.

A fraudulent transaction detection system must be used no matter how small the transaction amount or type. To not deploy any method or procedure to detect transaction fraud and validate the account number used in a WEB entry is not considered commercially reasonable.

#### **Annual Data Security Audits**

Data loss or compromise not only hurts the Receiver, but can also damage a business's reputation. Receiver trust is a key factor in building loyalty. It is in the Originator's best interest to develop and deploy practices that protect the integrity of Receiver information and the transaction, and to ensure that these practices are audited for their effectiveness.

The Nacha Operating Rules for debit WEB transactions require Originators to conduct an annual data security audit to ensure that Receivers' financial information is protected by security practices and procedures that ensure the financial information the Originator obtains from Receivers is protected by commercially reasonable security practices that include adequate levels of:

- 1. physical security to protect against theft, tampering, or damage,
- 2. administrative, technical, and physical access controls to protect against unauthorized access and use, and
- 3. network security to ensure secure capture, transmission, storage, distribution and destruction of financial information.

While the Nacha Operating Rules only require Originators of debit WEB Entries to conduct an audit of their security practices and procedures once a year, many companies are now opting to audit these practices bi-annually or even quarterly due to the rapid change of technology and security risks. It is therefore highly recommended that Originators of debit WEB entries conduct more frequent audits.

This audit requirement can be met in several ways. It can be a component of a comprehensive internal or external audit, or it can be an independent audit that uses a commercially reasonable generally accepted security compliance program. An Originator that is already conducting an audit of these practices and procedures for another area of its

business is not required to have two separate audits. However, the audit should address adequate levels of data security for the Originator's ACH operations.

The following sections detail the minimum components that need to be audited in order to be in compliance with the audit requirement. (NOTE: In any case where these key components are not specifically required under the Nacha Operating Rules, all are recommended by Nacha as sound business practices.)

- 1. Physical security to protect against theft, tampering or damage
  - Critical network, server, and telecommunications equipment should be placed in physically secure locations that permit access only to authorized personnel.
  - Firewalls must be fully deployed with secured processes for administering those firewalls.
  - Firewalls must protect websites from inappropriate and unauthorized access.
  - · Disaster recovery plans must be developed and reviewed periodically.
- 2. Personnel and access controls to protect against unauthorized access and use
  - A formal set of security policies and procedures must be developed that clearly outline the corporate rules governing access to sensitive financial data.
  - Hiring procedures should be developed that will, at a minimum, verify application information and check references on new employees that will have access to Receiver financial information.
  - Relevant employees must be educated on information security and company practices and their individual responsibilities.
  - · Access controls should be in place to ensure adequate administrative, technical, and physical controls:
    - Limit employee access to secure areas and to documents/files that contain Receiver financial information.
    - Ensure that terminated employees have no access to secure information and areas.
    - Permit visitors only when absolutely necessary to these areas and information and ensure they are accompanied
      by an employee at all times.
    - Authenticate all access to any database containing sensitive ACH information such as financial information (e.g., passwords or passphrase, multifactor authentication such as token devices, smart cards, biometrics, or public keys).
    - Implement key-management procedures to require split knowledge for dual control of keys (e.g., requiring two or three people (or processes or procedures) to cooperate in gaining authorized access to a system resource (data, files, devices) a separation of duties).
    - Establish policies and procedures to monitor and audit all user activity for personnel with access to Receiver information in order to detect exceptions.
- 3. Network security to ensure secure capture, transmission, storage, distribution, and destruction.
  - Install and maintain a firewall configuration to protect all Receiver financial information, including but not limited
    to the company network and databases, and portable electronic devices (e.g., employee laptops, smartphones,
    etc.)

- Install and update anti-virus software on a regular basis.
- Ensure all system components have the latest vendor-supplied security patches installed.
- Change vendor-supplied defaults before installing a system on the network.
- Minimize retention and/or storage of all Receiver financial information.
- Develop a data retention and disposal policy and schedule to include a process (manual or automatic), to remove, at least on a quarterly basis, any unnecessary Receiver financial information. Monitor these retention schedules regularly.
- Receiver financial information should only be stored permanently if it is required by law, regulation, rule, or a
  governing organization.
- Limit distribution of Receiver financial and personal information and implement procedures and policies to govern the distribution of sensitive financial information.
- Review data distribution policies and procedures periodically.
- Encrypt Receiver data and financial information at all points in the transaction lifecycle from transmission to storage via a secure, electronic means that provides a commercially reasonable level of security compliant with current, applicable regulatory guidelines.
- Render account numbers used in the origination and transmission of ACH transactions unreadable when stored electronically.
- Regularly test security systems and processes (e.g., vulnerability scans, external and internal penetration testing, intrusion detection, file integrity monitoring).

It is important to note that for transactions that involve some use of the Internet but are not defined as WEB transactions, Originators must incorporate the security and risk management principles of the WEB rules, as applicable. For example the Originator is required to authenticate the Receiver and conduct a data security audit to ensure the Receiver's data is stored securely.

#### **Verification of Routing Numbers**

Many debit WEB entries are Single-Entry payments, and Receivers frequently enter their routing numbers manually using a keyboard. To minimize exception processing related to debit WEB entries, each Originator is required to employ commercially reasonable procedures to verify that routing numbers are valid. Originators should try to ensure that the Receiver enters the routing number correctly and that it is a valid RDFI routing number for ACH transactions.

Verifying the validity of routing numbers can be accomplished by:

- · a component of a fraudulent transaction detection system,
- through a separate database or directory (either commercial or proprietary), or
- through other methods devised by the Originator, for example manual intervention such as calling the Receiver's financial institution.

#### **RESPONSIBILITIES OF ODFIS**

#### **Agreements with Originators**

ODFIs are not required to have origination agreements with the consumer Originators of P2P entries in order to transmit credit WEB entries. The requirements of an ODFI/Originator agreement are not suitable to transactions in which a consumer is the Originator and thus are not applicable. This is consistent with the requirements for CIE Entries, which are also exempted from the requirements for an ODFI/Originator agreement because the Originator is a consumer.

However, ODFI/Originator agreements are required for Originators of debit WEB entries. Each ODFI that chooses to transmit debit WEB entries on behalf of its Originators should make modifications to its agreements with its Originators to address the origination of these entries. These modifications should address:

- the allocation of liability between the Originator and ODFI for WEB transactions, and
- any specific processing obligations relating to such transactions.

In addition, these agreements should address the procedures, practices, and systems Originators are using to comply with their obligations under the Nacha Operating Rules governing debit WEB entries.

For example, the agreement may need to address the authentication methods and the fraudulent transaction detection systems the Originators are using for debit WEB entries. ODFIs may also want to see proof of the Originator's annual data security audit prior to or as a condition of transmitting debit WEB entries for the Originator.

Additional issues that should be considered in the agreements between the Originator and the ODFI are provided in Appendix C of these Guidelines.

#### Additional ODFI Indemnification for Credit WEB Entries

In addition to the general indemnifications the ODFI makes to the RDFI with respect to any ACH Entry, the ODFI of a credit WEB entry also indemnifies and holds harmless any RDFI that suffers any loss or liability from accurately providing the contents of the Payment Related Information field to the Receiver.

#### Formatting Requirements

As with all ACH entries, ODFIs must ensure that, prior to transmission to the ACH Operator, WEB entries comply with all technical specifications and formatting requirements in accordance with the Nacha Operating Rules. ODFIs must ensure that:

- "WEB" must appear within the Standard Entry Class Code Field of the Company/Batch Header Record;
- the Individual Name Field of the Entry Detail Record of a WEB transaction includes the name of the Receiver; and
- a WEB entry may be accompanied by one optional addenda record (type "05").

At their discretion, Originators may identify a recurring entry, single entry, or subsequent entry through the use of an optional indicator code of "R," "S," or "ST" in the Payment Type Code Field of the Entry Detail Record.

For credit WEB entries only:

 P2P service providers (i.e., ODFIs or Third-Party Service Providers) must identify the consumer Originator (the sender) of the P2P payment within the Individual Identification Number field of the WEB credit's Entry Detail Record.

- the P2P service provider (i.e., the consumer's ODFI or the Third-Party Service Provider) must identify itself within the Company Name and Company Identification fields of the Company/Batch Header Record.
- The Company Entry Description field within the Company/Batch Header Record must contain a descriptive statement that identifies the P2P transaction in a way that is meaningful to the consumer. For example, the text could use "P2P," or it could refer to the trade name of a specific P2P payment service.
- ODFIs may transmit up to 80 characters of plain-text remittance information with a credit WEB entry. While ODFIs
  and/or Third Party Senders must ensure that valid characters are used within the Payment Related Information
  field of the credit WEB entry's addenda record (see ACH File Exchange Specifications within Appendix One of
  the Rules), ODFIs and Third Party Service Providers do not need to ensure that remittance data is conveyed within
  ANSI ASC X12 data segments or Nacha-endorsed banking conventions.

#### **Return of WEB Entries**

WEB entries, like other ACH entries, may be returned for a variety of valid reasons in accordance with the return time frames prescribed by the Nacha Operating Rules.

For further guidance on return entries, please refer to Appendix Four of the Nacha Operating Rules.

#### Return by ACH Operator

Any WEB entry that contains all spaces or all zeros in the Individual Name Field will be rejected and returned by the ACH Operator because the Individual Name Field within the WEB Entry Detail Record is a mandatory field. [NOTE: The inclusion of all spaces or all zeros in any other mandatory field will also cause the entry to be returned by the ACH Operator.]

#### Return by RDFI

ODFIs should be aware that the Receiver may:

- 1. request his RDFI to stop payment on a debit WEB entry (Return Reason Code R08);
- 2. request his RDFI to return an unauthorized debit WEB entry (Return Reason Code R10);
- 3. request his RDFI to return a debit WEB entry authorization revoked (Return Reason Code R07).

Occasionally the financial information the Receiver provides to the Originator for a WEB entry is incorrect. When this occurs, the entry may be returned using the following Return Reason Codes:

- R03 (No Account/Unable to Locate Account), or
- R04 (Invalid Account Number).

In this situation, for a debit WEB entry, the ODFI must handle the return according to its agreement with the Originator. If a credit WEB entry is returned R03 or R04, the ODFI must handle the return according to its agreement with the P2P provider. Because the Originator of a P2P transaction is a consumer customer, the Originator of a credit WEB entry is not likely to be in a position to receive ACH return data.

#### Stop Payments on WEB Entries

ODFIs should be aware that for recurring debit WEB Entries, the Nacha Operating Rules regarding ACH stop payments require Receivers to place a stop payment order on a debit at least three banking days prior to the scheduled date of the entry. The RDFI may, at its discretion, honor such a stop payment order received within such three banking day period.

To ensure that a Receiver has the ability to place a stop payment order on a Single-Entry debit WEB transaction, the Nacha Operating Rules allow a Receiver to provide a stop payment order for Single-Entry debit WEB entries to his financial institution so long as it is given in such a time and manner that allows the RDFI a reasonable opportunity to act on the stop payment order prior to acting on the debit entry.

#### Reversals

The Nacha Operating Rules do not address the use of reversals for credit WEB Entries. However, Nacha recommends that ODFIs consider prohibiting a consumer Originator of a credit WEB entry from originating a reversal for the entry without the intervention of the ODFI or its Third Party Service Provider, since doing so would result in one consumer debiting another consumer's account. Instead, Nacha recommends that third party service providers or ODFIs originate any necessary reversing entries if such corrections are warranted and in accordance with the Rules.

#### Notifications of Change (NOCs) for P2P WEB Credit Entries

When the ODFI receives a Notification of Change in response to a recurring credit WEB entry, the ODFI must make the changes itself, or provide the P2P provider with the necessary information within two banking days of the Settlement Date of the NOC or corrected NOC. Because a consumer customer is the Originator of the Entry, the Originator is not likely to be in a position to receive or make the changes contained within the NOC. As a result, it is the financial institution and/or third-party P2P service provider that should be using the information in the NOC to update its systems. As with any other NOC for recurring entries, the ODFI or the P2P provider must make the changes specified in the NOC or corrected NOC within six banking days of receipt of the NOC information or prior to initiating another entry to the Receiver's account, whichever is later. For Single-Entry P2P WEB credits, action on an NOC is at the discretion of the ODFI or P2P service provider .

#### **Periodic Statements**

Because a credit WEB entry is originated by a consumer customer of the ODFI (similar to CIE), the ODFI is required to satisfy periodic statement requirements in accordance with Regulation E and Nacha Operating Rules by providing certain transaction information to the consumer Originator of a WEB credit. This information includes the posting date of the offsetting debit to the consumer Originator's account; the dollar amount of the entry; the payee name, etc.

#### **RESPONSIBILITIES OF RDFIS**

#### **Return of WEB Entries**

WEB entries may be returned for a variety of valid reasons in accordance with the requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules. With the exception of entries for which the Receivers claims there was no authorization, the RDFI must transmit WEB entry returns by its ACH Operator's deposit deadline for the return entry to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the second banking day following the Settlement Date of the original entry.

For a debit entry that the Receiver claims is unauthorized (Return Reason Code R10) or for which authorization was revoked (Return Reason Code R07), the RDFI must transmit the return by its ACH Operator's deposit deadline for the return to be made available to the ODFI no later than the opening of business on the banking day following the 60th calendar day following the Settlement Date of the original entry. For the return of these entries, the RDFI must obtain a written statement of unauthorized debit from the Receiver stating that the entry was not authorized prior to returning the entry.

#### **Stop Payment on WEB Entries**

For recurring debit WEB Entries, the Nacha Operating Rules regarding ACH stop payments require Receivers to place a stop payment order on a debit at least three banking days prior to the scheduled date of the entry. The RDFI may in its discretion honor such a stop payment order received within this three banking day period.

Originators generally process Single-Entry debit WEB transactions quickly; therefore Receivers are usually unable to meet the three day advance notice requirement for placing a stop payment order on such entries.

To ensure that a Receiver has the ability to place a stop payment order on a Single-Entry debit WEB entry, the Nacha Operating Rules allow a Receiver to provide a stop payment order to his financial institution so long as it is given in such a time and manner that allows the RDFI a reasonable opportunity to act on the stop payment order prior to acting on the debit entry.

#### **Periodic Statements**

For each entry to a consumer account, the RDFI must provide or make available to the consumer Receiver specific information concerning the entry, including, among other things, the dollar amount of the entry, the date the entry was posted to the consumer's account, and the name of the Originator of the entry. (Please refer to the Nacha Operating Rules for a detailed listing of information that RDFIs must provide regarding ACH entries to a consumer's account.)

Like any other entry, RDFIs must also properly identify the sender of a credit WEB entry on the Receiver's periodic statement and other transaction reports (e.g., online statement) in order to comply with Regulation E. For credit WEB Entries, the sender of the funds is identified within the Individual Identification Number field of the Entry Detail Record rather than the Company Name field of the Company/Batch Header Record. RDFIs need to provide the contents of the Individual ID Number field on the Receiver's periodic statement and other transaction reports. Because the placement of the sender's name in a credit WEB entry differs from other ACH entries, RDFIs will need to ensure their software has the appropriate coding to accommodate this placement of this information on consumers' periodic statements.

Some Originators make a practice of including a consumer's SSN or ITIN or other personally identifiable information in the Individual ID Number field for other SEC Codes. While the contents of this field must be provided for credit WEB Entries, Nacha generally recommends for other types of entries that RDFIs avoid, to the extent possible, displaying the contents of this field on documents that may be mailed or otherwise delivered to a consumer in an unsecure manner.

NOTE: While the RDFI is not required to provide the consumer Receiver with any Payment Related Information that may be transmitted with a credit WEB entry, it may do so at its discretion. If it does so, the ODFI indemnifies the RDFI against any loss or liability associated with the accurate provision of that remittance information.

**CHAPTER 56** 

#### **Rules Compliance Audits**

#### **ODFI AUDIT REQUIREMENTS**

#### **Proof of Authorization**

- Does the ODFI:
  - provide to the RDFI, upon receipt of the RDFI's written request, the original, a copy, or other accurate Record
    of the Receiver's authorization with respect to a Consumer Account within ten Banking Days of receipt of the
    request without charge? (NOTE: For entries other than XCK entries)
  - provide to the RDFI, upon receipt of the RDFI's written request, an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization, or the contact information for the Originator (that at a minimum, includes (a) the Originator's name and (b) the Originator's phone number or email address) within ten Banking Days of receipt of the request without charge)? (NOTE: for CCD, CTX, or Inbound IAT entries to a Non- Consumer Account)

- When the ODFI agrees to accept the return of an entry in lieu of providing the original, copy, or other accurate record of the Receiver's authorization to the RDFI, does the ODFI
  - provide the RDFI with written confirmation that the ODFI has agreed to accept the return of the entry at any time within ten banking days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI?
  - provide the RDFI with the original, copy, or other accurate record of the Receiver's authorization within ten banking days if the RDFI submits a subsequent request for a copy of the authorization?

Reference: Article Two, Subsection 2.3.2.7 – Retention and Provision of the Record of Authorization; Subsection 2.5.18.6 – Rules Exceptions for XCK entries; and Article Two, Subsection 2.3.3.3 – Provision of the Record of Authorization

48

APPENDIX G

#### Sample Authorization for Direct Payment via ACH (ACH Debit)

#### CONSUMER AUTHORIZATION FOR DIRECT PAYMENT VIA ACH (ACH DEBITS)

Direct Payment via ACH is t	he transfer of funds from	a a consumer account for the purpose of making a payment.
I (we) authorize	[Company Name]	("COMPANY") to electronically debit my (our) account (and, if
necessary, electronically co	redit my (our) accour	nt to correct erroneous debits <sup>1</sup> ) for (select one)
☐ a single (one-time	e) entry	
☐ recurring entries future entries)	s (that recur at substa	antially regular intervals without my affirmative action to initiate
*	es (initiated under the those future entries	e terms of my standing authorization) that require my affirmative
as follows:		
_	_	nt (select one) at the depository financial institution named below ensactions I (we) authorize comply with all applicable laws.
Depository Name		
Routing Number	Acc	ount Number
, ,		ag amount of debit(s) [or specify range of acceptable dollar amounts
Date(s) including the start	date and/or frequer	ncy of debit(s): <sup>2</sup>
Action(s) the Receiver mu		absequent entry to a standing authorization <sup>3</sup>
manner of revocation, i.e	is authorization will e., in writing, by pho	remain in full force and effect until I (we) notify COMPANY [insertione, location, address, etc.] that I (we) wish to revoke this authorization. least [X days/weeks] prior notice in order to cancel this authorization.
Receiver's Name(s)		
Date	Signature(s)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Nacha Operating Rules do not require the consumer's express authorization to initiate Reversing Entries to correct erroneous transactions. However, Originators should consider obtaining express authorization of debits or credits to correct errors.

<sup>2</sup> That this information will be defined by the Originator.

 $<sup>^3</sup>That\ this\ information\ will\ be\ defined\ by\ the\ Originator.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Written debit authorizations must provide that the Receiver may revoke the authorization only by notifying the Originator in the time and manner stated in the authorization. The reference to notification should be filled with a statement of the time and manner that notification must be given in order to provide company a reasonable opportunity to act on it (e.g., "In writing by mail to 100 Main Street, Anytown, NY that is received at least three (3) days prior to the proposed effective date of the termination of authorization").

APPENDIX H

#### **Proper Use of SEC Codes for Consumer Entries**

#### **EXPLANATION OF SEC CODE ALLOCATIONS**

This appendix provides users of the ACH Network with guidance on the proper use of Standard Entry Class (SEC) Codes for common types of Single Entries, Recurring Entries and Subsequent Entries (pursuant to a Standing Authorization) to Receivers' Consumer Accounts. The charts below are not intended to provide exhaustive guidance on SEC Code selection for all possible scenarios. Further, the charts below are intended to provide guidance on SEC Code selection and do not provide a restatement of all requirements applicable to the Entry, including those related to compliance with the E-Sign Act, Regulation E or the Telemarketing Sales Rule.

Comprehensive details on SEC Codes used for entries to consumer accounts can be found in Article Two, Section 2.5 (Provisions for Specific Types of Entries) and Article Eight (Definitions of Terms Used in These Rules). Complete information on authorization requirements for entries to consumer accounts (including requirements related to oral authorizations) is located within Article Two, Section 2.3 (Authorization and Notice of Entries) of the Nacha Operating Rules.

#### Single and Recurring Debit Entries

The chart below identifies the appropriate Standard Entry Class Codes to be used for the transmission of debit single entries and debit recurring entries and is based on the manner/communication channel used to convey the Receiver's authorization for the debit(s) to the Originator. A single entry debit to a consumer account is initiated by an Originator in accordance with the Receiver's authorization for a one-time transfer of funds from the Receiver's account. A recurring entry debit to a consumer account is one that is initiated by an Originator in accordance with the Receiver's authorization to debit the Receiver's account on a recurring basis at substantially regular intervals, without further affirmative action by the Receiver to authorize those future entries.

The chart identifies appropriate Standard Entry Class Codes for single entries and recurring entry debits for which enrollment/authorization occurred in physical form via hard copy (Box A), via the internet (Box B), or via the telephone (Box C). SEC Codes for transactions relying on physical enrollment/authorization via hard copy (including telephone-based acceptance of a hard copy authorization form) or via the Internet are the general codes that apply based on the form of enrollment/authorization for the service — WEB for internet-based enrollment/authorization, and PPD for all others. The TEL Code applies to orally-provided telephone-based authorizations except when the terms of a previously-provided written authorization are being signed via telephone (by entry of a code or orally).

#### SINGLE AND RECURRING DEBIT ENTRIES TO CONSUMER ACCOUNTS

TRANSACTION INITIATION METHOD	AUTHORIZATION METHOD			
	Physical Authorization	Authorization Via Internet	Authorization Via Telephone	
Single Entry and Recurring Entries (i.e., no additional direct action from Receiver required for initiation of entries)	Examples:  Customer executes a written authorization in person or delivers a written authorization via mail for a one-time (single entry) debit or a recurring monthly ACH (recurring entry) debit to pay a bill  Customer executes a written authorization in person or delivers a written authorization via mail for a monthly ACH debit to transfer funds into another account (recurring entry)  Proper SEC Code: PPD	Examples: Customer executes an authorization on a biller's web site for a one-time (single entry) debit or a monthly ACH (recurring entry) debit to pay a bill Customer executes an authorization on a bank's web site for a monthly transfer into a savings account (recurring entry)  Proper SEC Code: WEB	Examples:  Customer receives in writing the terms of an ACH authorization in a billing statement and "signs" the authorization to pay the bill (single entry) or future recurring bills (recurring entry) by entering a code into the biller's VRU  Customer receives in writing the terms of an ACH authorization in a billing statement and "signs" the authorization to pay the bill (single entry) or future recurring bills (recurring entry) by providing an orally-authenticated signature into a recorded line  Proper SEC Code: PPD  Customer has not received written terms of ACH authorization, calls into a biller customer service line, is provided the terms of the authorization orally and orally authorizes a one-time debit (single entry)  Customer has not received written terms of ACH authorization, initiates a call into a recorded biller customer service line, is provided the terms of the authorization orally, and orally authorizes monthly debits (recurring entry)  Proper SEC Code: TEL	
	Box A	Вох В	Вох С	

#### Standing Authorizations and Subsequent Entries

The following chart addresses Standard Entry Class Codes appropriate for the transmission of subsequent entries initiated in accordance with the terms of a standing authorization. A standing authorization is an advance authorization by a Receiver for future entries (that is, subsequent entries) to the Receiver's consumer account, where the Receiver must take further affirmative action to initiate each of those subsequent entries. The terms of a standing authorization must clearly specify the action(s) that the Receiver must take to initiate a subsequent entry.

Where a standing authorization is involved, the Rules allow for some flexibility in how an Originator may identify subsequent entries. In general and subject to certain exceptions described below, an Originator may identify a subsequent entry using the Standard Entry Class Code that is appropriate to either (i) the manner in which the Receiver's standing authorization was communicated to the Originator, or (ii) the manner in which the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate the subsequent entry was communicated to the Originator.

#### **Exceptions:**

- An Originator must use the POS, MTE or SHR SEC Code, as appropriate, to identify a subsequent entry to a
  Consumer Account initiated at an "electronic terminal" (as that term is defined in Regulation E), regardless of the
  manner in which the Originator obtained the Receiver's standing authorization. Use of these formats is necessary
  for an Originator to identify the electronic terminal used at the point of sale (POS), at an ATM location (MTE) or
  at the point of sale in a shared network where the ODFI and RDFI have an agreement in addition to these Rules
  to process entries (SHR), as required by Regulation E.
- An Originator **may not** use the PPD SEC Code for a subsequent entry if it obtained the Receiver's standing authorization (i) as an Oral Authorization via a telephone call, or (ii) via the internet or a wireless network.

#### Subsequent Entries Initiated via the Internet or Telephone

Boxes D, E, and F address ACH products that are used on the internet.

Boxes G, H, and I address the authorization of ACH transactions over the phone pursuant to a previously obtained standing ACH authorization.

When an ODFI (or Originator) has a pre-existing standing authorization obtained through a physical channel (e.g., a physically signed authorization for insurance payments), the fact that the customer later uses the ODFI's or Originator's internet or telephone service to confirm an individual payment does not require conversion from PPD to a WEB or TEL code. Many of the risks associated with remote-based transmission of the original account information are not present in such circumstances.

#### Subsequent Entries Initiated at the POS or over a Shared Network

The second row of the chart addresses products that are physically used at the point-of-sale for retail purchases, including when initiated in a shared network where the ODFI and RDFI have an agreement in addition to the Rules to process the Entries.

Box J addresses products for which both enrollment and use occurs at the point-of-sale. This is the primary type of transaction for which the POS code was originally developed.

Box K addresses products for which the original enrollment occurred on the internet, but which are then used at the physical point-of-sale. The Rules require that such transactions be treated as POS because this more specific SEC code is more closely related to the nature of the transaction being initiated by the consumer at the time of use of the card. As noted above, use of this code results in the delivery of appropriate information to RDFIs to enable risk management and customer service. Accordingly, the POS code must be used when a Receiver uses his or her mobile device enabled with near-field communication or similar technologies to authorize Entries at the point-of-sale.

Box L addresses products for which enrollment occurred over the telephone, e.g., via the entry of a code through a VRU, but which are then used at the physical point-of-sale. As with the other boxes in this row, the POS code is the correct code, enabling the communication of the individual transaction data to the RDFI.

#### Subsequent Entries Initiated via the ATM

Boxes M, N, and O address the use of ACH products at the ATM and raise issues very similar to the boxes related to POS and SHR in the second row of the chart. In short, in order to manage risks associated with access to their accounts at ATMs, RDFIs need to have the information communicated through the MTE transaction code, regardless of how the consumer originally enrolled in the service.

#### STANDING AUTHORIZATION WITH SUBSEQUENT ENTRIES

SUBSEQUENT	ENROLLMENT/STANDING AUTHORIZATION METHOD			
ENTRY TRANSACTION INITIATION METHOD	Standing Authorization Obtained Via Physical Enrollment	Standing Authorization Obtained Via Internet Enrollment	Standing Authorization Obtained Via Telephone Enrollment	
Subsequent Entry Initiated via the Internet or Wireless Network	Examples:  Customer opens account at a bank branch and authorizes in writing debits to transfer funds into the account, and initiates such debits via the bank's web site  Customer enrolls in writing in biller's or service provider's bill payment service via mail, and initiates individual bill payments at the biller's or service provider's web site  Customer enrolls in writing at a merchant store, a bank branch or in response to a mail solicitation for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make purchases at a web site  Proper SEC Code: PPD or WEB	Examples:  Customer executes at a bank's web site an authorization to transfer funds into a savings account, and initiates each transfer via the bank's web site  Customer enrolls at a biller's or service provider's web site to pay bills, and initiates individual bill payments at the web site  Customer enrolls at a merchant or bank website for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make a purchase at a web site  Customer enrolls via a mobile device in his/her biller's mobile bill presentment and payment service, and initiates individual bill payments via the mobile device  Customer enrolls via the Internet with a virtual assistant service provider, and initiates individual, oral instructions to the virtual assistant via voice recognition technology to make online purchases  Customer enrolls with a mobile payment and digital wallet service over the Internet or Wireless Network and initiates individual payments at a merchant's website  Proper SEC Code: WEB	Examples:  Customer orally enrolls through a biller's or service provider's telephone system to pay bills, and initiates individual bill payments at the biller's or service provider's web site  Customer orally enrolls through a merchant or bank telephone system for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make a purchase at a web site  Proper SEC Code: TEL or WEB	
	Box D	Вох Е	Box F	

SUBSEQUENT	ENROLLMENT/STANDING AUTHORIZATION METHOD			
ENTRY TRANSACTION INITIATION METHOD	Standing Authorization Obtained Via Physical Enrollment	Standing Authorization Obtained Via Internet Enrollment	Standing Authorization Obtained Via Telephone Enrollment	
Subsequent Entry Initiated via Telephone	Examples:  Customer opens account at a bank branch and authorizes in writing debits to transfer funds into the account, and initiates such debits via the bank's telephone payment system  Customer enrolls in writing in biller's or service provider's bill payment service via mail, and initiates individual bill payments through the biller's or service provider's telephone payment system  Customer enrolls in writing at a merchant store or a bank branch for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make purchases over the phone  Proper SEC Code: PPD or TEL	Examples:  Customer executes at a bank's web site an authorization to transfer funds into a savings account, and initiates each transfer via the bank's telephone system  Customer enrolls on a biller's or service provider's web site to pay bills, and initiates individual bill payments via the biller's or service provider's telephone system  Customer enrolls on a merchant or bank website for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make a purchase over the phone  Proper SEC Code: WEB or TEL	Examples:  Customer receives a written ACH authorization with a billing statement and "signs" the authorization to pay future bills by entering a code into the biller's VRU or providing an orally-authenticated signature into a recorded line and initiates each initiates each subsequent bill payment orally over the telephone.  Proper SEC Code: PPD or TEL	
	Box G	Вох Н	Box I	
Subsequent Entry Initiated at Point-of-Sale	Examples:  Customer enrolls in writing at a merchant store, a bank branch or in response to a mail solicitation for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make purchases at a POS terminal  Proper SEC Code: POS or SHR (if initiated in a shared network)	Examples:  Customer enrolls at a merchant or bank web site for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make purchases at a POS terminal  Customer enrolls via a mobile device for an ACH-based near-field communication debit service on the device, and uses the mobile device to make purchases at a POS terminal  Proper SEC Code: POS or SHR (if initiated in a shared network)	Example:  Customer enrolls through a merchant or bank telephone system for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card to make purchases at a POS terminal  Proper SEC Code: POS or SHR (if initiated in a shared	
	Box J	Вох К	Box L	
Subsequent Entry Initiated at ATM	Examples:  Customer enrolls in writing at a merchant store, a bank branch or in response to a mail solicitation for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card at an ATM to withdraw cash	Examples:  Customer enrolls at a merchant or bank web site for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card at an ATM to withdraw cash  Proper SEC Code: MTE	Example:  Customer enrolls through a merchant or bank telephone system for an ACH-based debit card, and uses the card at an ATM to withdraw cash  Proper SEC Code: MTE	
	Proper SEC Code: MTE  Box M	Box N	Вох О	

APPENDIX I

#### Sample Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit (ACH)

Following is a sample Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit. This sample written statement is provided for illustrative purposes only. The RDFI's legal department should review any written statement it develops to ensure that it meets the needs of the organization and is in compliance with the Nacha Operating Rules.

#### [FINANCIAL INSTITUTION NAME]

#### SAMPLE WRITTEN STATEMENT OF UNAUTHORIZED DEBIT (ACH)

Account/Transaction Information
ecount Number
mount of Debit
ate of Debit
rty Debiting the Account
Statement
the undersigned) hereby attest that (i) I have reviewed the circumstances of the above electronic (ACH) debit to my count; (ii) the debit was not authorized, or did not conform to the terms of my authorization; and (iii) the following, the best of my ability to identify, is the reason for that conclusion.
lid not authorize the debit to my account.
☐ I do not know or did not authorize the party listed above to debit my account.
☐ The signature of a check that was processed electronically is not my signature.
authorized the party listed above to debit my account, but the entry does not conform to the terms my authorization.
☐ My account was debited before the date that I authorized.
☐ My account was debited for an amount different than I authorized.
$\square$ My account was debited by an authorized third party, but that third party failed to make my payment as instructed.
☐ My check was improperly processed electronically.
☐ A debit to my account that was previously returned was improperly reinitiated.
☐ A debit to my account was an improper reversal.
authorized the party listed above to debit my account, but:
☐ I revoked the authorization I had given to the party to debit my account before the debit was initiated.
□ Other (must specify)
Signature
am an authorized signer, or otherwise have authority to act, on the account identified in this statement. I attest that e debit above was not originated with fraudulent intent by me or any person acting in concert with me.
have read this statement in its entirety and attest that the information provided on this statement is true and correct.
gnature Date

#### SAMPLE WRITTEN STATEMENT OF UNAUTHORIZED DEBIT

The sample on the preceding page is intended as a guide for developing a written statement form that is as easy as practicable for an RDFI and its customer to complete.

In Section 1, the statement must show the customer's name, account number, the amount of the debit, the date the debit posted to the customer's account, and the identity of the party debiting the account. For the purpose of completing the statement, the date of the debit and the party debiting the account can be identical to the information that is provided to the customer via a paper or electronic account statement.

In Section 2, the customer must state the reason the debit is unauthorized, to the best of his or her ability. A reason must be provided in this section in order for this form to be considered complete. The reasons offered on this sample generally correspond to the reasons provided in the Nacha Operating Rules as to why a debit would be considered unauthorized, but a selection for "Other" is offered as well. If "Other" is selected, additional information must be included in the space shown.

In Section 3, the form must be dated and signed by the customer. The date must be on or after the date of the debit as indicated in Section 1.

An RDFI may obtain a consumer's Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit as an electronic record and may accept a consumer's electronic signature, regardless of the form or method used to obtain it. Written Statements of Unauthorized Debits may be obtained and signed using the same methods permissible for obtaining a consumer debit authorization.

RDFIs can use other formats for a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit, but the statement must meet the minimum information requirements of the new rule.

An RDFI may document more than one unauthorized debit Entry from a single Originator on a Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit, provided that all of the information detailed above is provided for each debit Entry for which the Receiver is seeking recredit.

An RDFI may also use a single form to document both unauthorized debits and stop payment orders. As long as such a form meets the minimum information requirements, it would be considered a valid Written Statement of Unauthorized Debit.



December 3, 2021

TO: Direct Financial Institution Members

Payments Association Members

**ACH Operators** 

Software Information Exchange

FROM: Nacha Network Rules

RE: 2021 Nacha Operating Rules – Supplement #3-2021

#### FOR YOUR INFORMATION

On September 16, 2021, the Nacha Voting Membership approved two amendments to the Nacha Operating Rules on the following topics:

- Third-Party Sender Roles and Responsibilities (two amendments) effective September 30, 2022.
- Minor Topics Rules Changes (six amendments) effective September 17, 2021

This supplement provides ACH Network participants with a summary of the key components of the changes, along with details regarding the technical changes to Rules language. To ensure compliance with the most current rules, use this Supplement in conjunction with the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules.

Supplement #3-2021 also contains the 2022 Network Administration Fees, as approved by Nacha's Board of Directors. The new fee schedule is effective January 1, 2022.

Please note that this is a limited distribution communication. Subscribers to the Rules who purchased their subscriptions directly from Nacha will receive notice of the supplement as part of a general distribution within 5 business days. The general supplement distribution will include detailed instructions on how to download the supplement via nacha.org.

Recipients of this limited distribution are permitted to share this supplement with those who have purchased, or have been provided with, the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules or access to the 2021 Nacha Operating Rules Online. For permission to utilize or share this supplement in any other manner, please contact Nacha directly at permissions@nacha.org.

If you have any questions or need additional information regarding the content of this supplement, you may contact Nacha's Network Rules Department at (703) 561-1100.

Attachment



# NOTICE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE 2021 NACHA OPERATING RULES

December 3, 2021 SUPPLEMENT #3-2021

Minor Topics Rule Changes

Effective Date: September 17, 2021

Network Administration Fees

Effective Date: January 1, 2022

Third-Party Sender Roles and Responsibilities

Effective Date: September 30, 2022

## Supplement #3-2021 to the Nacha Operating Rules

On September 16, 2021, the Nacha Voting Membership approved two amendments to the Nacha Operating Rules on the following topics:

- Third-Party Sender Roles and Responsibilities (two amendments) effective September 30, 2022
- Minor Topics Rules Changes (six amendments) effective September 17, 2021

This supplement provides ACH Network participants with a summary of the key components of the changes, along with details regarding the technical changes to Rules language. To ensure compliance with the most current rules, use this Supplement in conjunction with the 2021 edition of the Nacha Operating Rules.

Supplement #3-2021 also contains the 2022 Network Administration Fees, as approved by Nacha's Board of Directors. The new fee schedule is effective January 1, 2022.

1

#### Third-Party Sender Roles and Responsibilities

The overarching purpose of the two amendments that comprise the Third-Party Sender Roles and Responsibilities rule changes is to clarify the roles and responsibilities of Third-Party Senders (TPS) in the ACH Network by:

- addressing the existing practice of Nested Third-Party Sender relationships, and
- making explicit and clarifying the requirement that a TPS conduct a risk assessment.

The effective date of each amendment is September 30, 2022, with a 6-month additional grace period for certain aspects of each rule, as described below.

#### **NESTED THIRD-PARTY SENDERS**

#### **Summary**

"Nested" Third-Party Senders play an active role in a variety of ACH processing models, but this arrangement is not currently addressed in the Rules. The lack of a formal definition for a Nested TPS that is a step removed from the ODFI can result in confusion over responsibilities with respect to ACH transactions. The Nested Third-Party Sender Rule amendment (the Rule) will define a Nested Third-Party Sender and will provide for the "chain of agreements" and responsibilities in Nested TPS arrangements. Specifically, the Rule will:

- Define a Nested Third-Party Sender as a Third-Party Sender that has an agreement with another Third-Party Sender to act on behalf of an Originator, and does not have a direct agreement with the ODFI.
- Require that Nested TPSs be addressed in ACH Origination agreements. An ODFI's Origination Agreement
  with a TPS must address whether the TPS can have Nested TPSs, and if so, "push down" the requirement for an
  Origination Agreement to exist between a TPS and a Nested TPS. A TPS must have an Origination Agreement
  with its Nested TPS(s).

These modifications will be required only for agreements entered into or renewed on or after the effective date of the rule, September 30, 2022. Agreements in place prior to the effective date of the Rule are not required to be modified. However, as a sound business practice, ODFIs should regularly review and update their Origination Agreements to include Nacha Operating Rules changes that impact Originator or Third-Party Sender obligations.

• Update other TPS obligations and warranties to identify and cover Nested TPSs.

The Rule will further provide that an ODFI must identify all Third-Party Senders that have Nested TPS relationships in Nacha's Risk Management Portal and must provide Nacha with the Nested TPS relationships for any of its TPSs upon request. The time frame for identifying TPSs with Nested TPSs in the Risk Management Portal will follow the same time frames as registering a TPS in the Portal. A TPS with Nested TPSs must be registered as such within the later of 30 days of transmitting the first entry, or within 10 days of the ODFI becoming aware of the Nested TPS. Upon request, an ODFI must provide Nacha with the Nested TPS relationships for any of its TPSs. The ODFI must update registration information within 45 days of any change to the information previously provided.

#### Impact to Participants

ODFIs: ODFIs that do not already address Nested TPSs in their agreements may need to modify their Origination Agreements to do so on a going forward basis after the effective date of the Rule, as well as expand their due diligence on TPS customers regarding Nested TPS relationships. ODFIs with TPS relationships will need to update their registrations in the Risk Management Portal to denote which TPS have Nested TPS relationships, and be prepared to

provide Nacha with such information, upon request. ODFIs remain responsible for providing required information to RDFIs (e.g., proof of authorization), regardless of the number of TPS involved in a transaction.

Third-Party Senders: Third-Party Senders with Nested TPS customers may need to modify their Origination Agreements to address the TPS and Nested TPS relationships on a going forward basis after the effective date of the Rule. Such TPSs agree to be responsible for its Nested TPSs' compliance with the Rules.

#### **Effective Date**

The Nested Third-Party Senders Rule will become effective on September 30, 2022. A six month grace period to March 31, 2023 will be provided to allow ODFIs to update TPS registrations to denote whether or not a TPS has Nested TPSs.

#### **Technical Summary**

Below is a summary of the impact of the Nested Third-Party Senders rule on the Nacha Operating Rules. Sections of the Rules that are affected by this amendment are also included and reflect rule language as it will read upon implementation in highlighted, italicized text.

- Article One, Subsection 1.2.2.1 (General Audit Requirements) Updates audit requirements to address Nested Third-Party Senders.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.2.2.1 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Originator) Updates to exclude ODFIs from having an Origination Agreement with an Originator when the Originator uses a TPS to originate entries.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.2.2.2 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Third-Party Sender) Updates to address Nested TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management) Updates ODFI risk management requirements regarding TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.1 (Third-Party Sender Agreements) New subsection to address TPSs agreements with their customers.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.1.1 (Third-Party Sender Origination Agreement with an Originator or a Nested Third-Party Sender)
   New subsection to require Origination Agreements between an Originator and a TPS; a TPS and a Nested TPS; and between Nested TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.1.2 (Chain of Nested Third-Party Senders) New subsection to address responsibilities of ODFIs and Third-Party Senders in the case of Nested TPS.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.2 (Third-Party Senders' Provision of Information to ODFIs) Updates to include Nested TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.3 (Warranty of and Indemnification by Third-Party Senders) Updates to include Nested TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.4 (Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders) Updates to include Nested TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.5 (Payment to ODFI by Third-Party Senders or Originators) Minor change to clarify discussion of returned debit entries.

- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.6 (Performance of Originator Responsibilities by Third-Party Senders) Updates to include Nested TPSs.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.7 (Performance of Third-Party Sender Responsibilities by Nested Third-Party Senders) New subsection to state that a TPS is jointly and severally liable with each of its Nested TPSs for the performance of TPS obligations.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.17.3.1 (ODFIs with Third-Party Senders) Updates to include Nested TPSs.
- Article Eight, Section 8.60 (Nested Third-Party Sender) New section to define Nested Third Party-Sender.
- Article Eight, Section 8.110 (Third-Party Sender) Revises definition to specifically include TPSs that act as intermediaries for other TPSs.

As approved September 16, 2021, effective September 30, 2022, the Rules will be amended as follows for changes related to Nested Third-Party Senders.

ARTICLE ONE

General Rules

#### **SECTION 1.2 Participating DFIs Must Comply with Rules**

#### SUBSECTION 1.2.2.1 General Audit Requirements

A Participating DFI must annually conduct, or have conducted, an audit of its compliance with these Rules. A Third-Party Service Provider or a Third-Party Sender that has agreed with a Participating DFI to process Entries must annually conduct, or have conducted, an audit of its compliance with these Rules. An annual audit must be conducted under these Rule Compliance Audit Requirements no later than December 31 of each year.

This requirement to conduct an audit relates solely to compliance with these Rules and is not limited to compliance with any specific rule or group of rules. This audit obligation does not address other audit considerations with regard to a financial institution's ACH policies, procedures, or regulatory compliance.

For a Third-Party Service Provider, these audit requirements apply only to the functions of ACH processing that it performs on behalf of a Participating DFI or a Third-Party Sender. For a Third-Party Sender, these audit requirements apply to its performance of any obligations of an ODFI under these Rules. References within these Rules to an audit of an ODFI's or RDFI's performance therefore also apply to a Third-Party Service Provider or Third-Party Sender acting in the capacities described above.

An audit of compliance with these Rules must be performed under the direction of the audit committee, audit manager, senior level officer, or independent (external) examiner or auditor of the Participating DFI, Third-Party Service Provider, or Third-Party Sender.

A Participating DFI must annually conduct, or have conducted, an audit of its compliance with these Rules. A Third-Party Sender, and a Third-Party Service Provider that processes Entries for a Participating DFI or a Third-Party Sender, must annually conduct, or have conducted, an audit of its compliance with these Rules. An annual audit must be conducted under these Rule Compliance Audit Requirements no later than December 31 of each year.

4

This requirement to conduct an audit relates solely to compliance with these Rules and is not limited to compliance with any specific rule or group of rules. This audit obligation does not address other audit considerations with regard to an entity's ACH policies, procedures, or regulatory compliance.

For a Third-Party Service Provider, these audit requirements apply only to the functions of ACH processing that it performs on behalf of a Participating DFI or a Third-Party Sender. For a Third-Party Sender, these audit requirements apply to its performance of any obligations of an ODFI (directly or through another Third-Party Sender) under these Rules. References within these Rules to an audit of an ODFI's or RDFI's performance therefore also apply to a Third-Party Service Provider or Third-Party Sender acting in the capacities described above.

An audit of compliance with these Rules must be performed under the direction of the audit committee, audit manager, senior level officer, or independent (external) examiner or auditor of the Participating DFI, Third-Party Service Provider, or Third-Party Sender.

#### ARTICLE TWO

Rights and Responsibilities of ODFIs, Their Originators, and Third-Party Senders

#### **SECTION 2.2 Prerequisites to Origination**

#### SUBSECTION 2.2.2.1 ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Originator

An ODFI must enter into an Origination Agreement with each Originator for which the ODFI will originate Entries. The Origination Agreement must include, at a minimum, each of the following:

An ODFI must enter into an Origination Agreement with each Originator for which the ODFI will originate, Entries except for the origination of Entries through a Third-Party Sender as provided by Subsection 2.2.2.2 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Third-Party Sender). The Origination Agreement must include, at a minimum, each of the following:

- (a) The Originator must authorize the ODFI to originate Entries on behalf of the Originator to Receivers' accounts;
- (b) The Originator must agree to be bound by these Rules;
- (c) The Originator must agree not to originate Entries that violate the laws of the United States;
- (d) Any restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated;
- (e) The right of the ODFI to terminate or suspend the agreement for breach of these Rules in a manner that permits the ODFI to comply with these Rules; and
- (f) The right of the ODFI to audit the Originator's compliance with the Origination Agreement and these Rules.

#### SUBSECTION 2.2.2.2 ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Third-Party Sender

An ODFI must enter into an Origination Agreement with each Third-Party Sender for which the ODFI will originate Entries. The Origination Agreement must include each of the following:

- (a) The Third-Party Sender, on behalf of the Originator, must authorize the ODFI to originate Entries on behalf of the Originator to Receivers' accounts;
- (b) The Third-Party Sender must agree to be bound by these Rules;
- (c) The Third-Party Sender must agree not to originate Entries that violate the laws of the United States;

- (d) Any restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated;
- (e) The right of the ODFI to terminate or suspend the agreement, or any Originator of the Third-Party Sender, for breach of these Rules in a manner that permits the ODFI to comply with these Rules;
- (f) The right of the ODFI to audit the Third-Party Sender's and its Originators' compliance with the Origination Agreement and these Rules; and
- (g) The Third-Party Sender must agree that, before permitting an Originator to originate any Entry directly or indirectly through the ODFI, it will enter into an agreement with the Originator that satisfies each of the requirements of Subsection 2.2.2.1 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Originator).

An ODFI must enter into an Origination Agreement with each Third-Party Sender that (i) Transmits Entries directly to the ODFI, or (ii) Transmits Entries to the ACH Operator via Direct Access on behalf of the ODFI. The Origination Agreement must include each of the following:

- (a) The Third-Party Sender, on behalf of the Originator, must authorize the ODFI to originate Entries on behalf of the Originator to Receivers' accounts;
- (b) The Third-Party Sender must agree to be bound by these Rules;
- (c) The Third-Party Sender must agree not to originate Entries that violate the laws of the United States;
  - (d) Any restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated;
- (e) Any restrictions on Nested Third-Party Senders;
  - (f) The right of the ODFI to terminate or suspend the agreement, or any Originator or Nested Third-Party Sender of the Third-Party Sender, for breach of these Rules in a manner that permits the ODFI to comply with these Rules;
  - (g) The right of the ODFI to audit the compliance with the Origination Agreement and these Rules by the Third-Party Sender, any Nested Third-Party Senders, and their respective Originators;
  - (h) The Third-Party Sender must agree that, before permitting an Originator to originate any Entry directly or indirectly through itself or the ODFI, it will enter into an agreement with the Originator that satisfies each of the requirements of Subsection 2.2.2.1 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Originator); and
  - (i) The Third-Party Sender must agree that, before permitting a Nested Third-Party Sender to originate any Entry directly or indirectly through itself or the ODFI, it will enter into an agreement with the Nested Third-Party Sender that satisfies each of the requirements of this Subsection as if the Third-Party Sender was the ODFI; and must agree to be responsible for the Nested Third-Party Sender's compliance with these Rules.

#### SUBSECTION 2.2.3 ODFI Risk Management

An ODFI must perform due diligence with respect to the Originator or Third-Party Sender sufficient to form a reasonable belief that the Originator or Third-Party Sender has the capacity to perform its obligations in conformance with these Rules.

An ODFI must perform due diligence with respect to the Originator, or with respect to the Third-Party Sender with which it has an Origination Agreement, sufficient to form a reasonable belief that the Originator or Third-Party Sender has the capacity to perform its obligations in conformance with these Rules.

#### In addition, the ODFI must:

- (a) assess the nature of the Originator's or Third-Party Sender's ACH activity and the risks it presents;
- (b) establish, implement, and periodically review an exposure limit for the Originator or Third-Party Sender; and
- (c) establish and implement procedures to:
  - (i) monitor the Originator's or Third-Party Sender's origination and return activity across multiple Settlement Dates;
  - (ii) enforce restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated; and
  - (iii) enforce the exposure limit.

# SECTION 2.15 Obligations of Third-Party Senders, and of ODFIs and Originators That Use Third-Party Senders (effective September 30, 2022, this section will be revised and replaced in its entirety)

An ODFI may originate Entries initiated by a Third-Party Sender, subject to compliance with these Rules, including Section 2.2 (Prerequisites to Origination).

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.1 Third-Party Senders' Provision of Information to ODFIs

A Third-Party Sender must disclose to the ODFI any other Third-Party Sender for which it Transmits Entries to the ODFI, prior to Transmitting Entries for the other Third-Party Sender.

A Third-Party Sender must, upon the ODFI's request, provide the ODFI with any information the ODFI reasonably deems necessary to identify each Originator or other Third-Party Sender for which the Third-Party Sender Transmits Entries. A Third-Party Sender must also, upon the ODFI's request, provide the ODFI with the information required by Subsection 2.17.3 (Third-Party Sender Registration) for purposes of the ODFI's registration of the Third-Party Sender with the National Association. The information must be provided to the ODFI by the Third-Party Sender within two Banking Days of receipt of the ODFI's request.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.2 Warranty of and Indemnification by Third-Party Senders

A Third-Party Sender initiating one or more Entries through an ODFI to a Receiver's account warrants to the ODFI that the Originator has agreed to assume the responsibilities of an Originator under these Rules. In any case where such Originator fails to perform its obligations as an Originator under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender authorizing such Entry indemnifies the ODFI from and against any and all claims, demands, losses, liabilities, and expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs, that result directly or indirectly from the failure of the Originator to perform its obligations as an Originator under these Rules.

In addition to the other warranties contained within these Rules, a Third-Party Sender also makes to the ODFI each of the warranties set forth at Subsection 2.4.1 (General ODFI Warranties) and Section 5.2 (Warranties of Gateway).

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.3 Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders

To the extent that a Third-Party Sender performs any of the obligations of an ODFI under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender must perform the requirements of these Rules otherwise applicable to the ODFI, including, but not limited to, monitoring the origination and return activity of its Originators across multiple Settlement Dates, enforcing restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated through its Originators, and enforcing, with respect to its Originators, the exposure limit set by the Third-Party Sender or ODFI as set forth in Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management), and warrants that it is legally able to do so. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of the obligations of the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve the ODFI of any of its obligations under these Rules.

7

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.4 Payment to ODFI by Third-Party Senders or Originators

A Third-Party Sender agrees to make payment to the ODFI for any credit Entries it originates and for any debit Entries returned by the RDFI. An Originator that utilizes a Third-Party Sender to authorize an ODFI to Transmit Entries agrees to make payment to the ODFI for any credit Entries originated and for any such Entries returned by the RDFI to the extent that the ODFI does not receive payment from the Third-Party Sender.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.5 Performance of Originator Responsibilities by Third-Party Senders

A Third-Party Sender shall be jointly and severally liable with each of its Originators for the retention and delivery to the ODFI or RDFI, as required by these Rules, of any Records, documentation, or data regarding records of authorization of Entries, copies of items, and copies of Eligible Source Documents.

### SECTION 2.15 Obligations of Third-Party Senders, and of ODFIs and Originators That Use Third-Party Senders (revised section)

An ODFI may originate Entries initiated by a Third-Party Sender, subject to compliance with these Rules, including Section 2.2 (Prerequisites to Origination).

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.1 Third-Party Sender Agreements

### SUBSECTION 2.15.1.1 Third-Party Sender Origination Agreement with an Originator or a Nested Third-Party Sender

In its performance of the obligations of an ODFI (as required by Section 2.15.3 (Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders)), a Third-Party Sender must enter into an Origination Agreement with either (a) the Originator that meets the requirements of Subsection 2.2.2.1 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Originator) or (b) a Nested Third-Party Sender that meets the requirements of Subsection 2.2.2.2 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Third-Party Sender), in each case prior to initiating Entries on behalf of the Originator or Nested Third-Party Sender.

If more than one Nested Third-Party Sender acts as an intermediary between the Originator and the Third-Party Sender that has the Origination Agreement with the ODFI, each Nested Third-Party Sender in the chain must enter into an Origination Agreement that meets the requirements of Subsection 2.2.2.2 (ODFI Must Enter Origination Agreement with Third-Party Sender) with the immediately adjacent, downstream Nested Third-Party Sender.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.1.2 Chain of Nested Third-Party Senders

An ODFI is responsible for each Nested Third-Party Sender in the chain of agreements between the ODFI and the Originator to the same extent as if each Nested Third-Party Sender had a direct agreement with the ODFI. A Third-Party Sender is responsible for each Nested Third-Party Sender in the chain of agreements between the Third-Party Sender and the Originator to the same extent as if each Nested Third-Party Sender had a direct agreement with the Third-Party Sender.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.2 Third-Party Senders' Provision of Information to ODFIs

A Third-Party Sender that has entered into an Origination Agreement with an ODFI or another Third-Party Sender must disclose to the ODFI or such other Third-Party Sender the information required at Subsection 2.17.3.1(a)-(f) for any Nested Third-Party Sender for which it Transmits Entries to the ODFI, prior to Transmitting Entries for the Nested Third-Party Sender.

A Third-Party Sender must, upon the request of the ODFI or other Third-Party Sender with which it has entered an Origination Agreement, provide the ODFI or such other Third-Party Sender with any additional information the ODFI or such other Third-Party Sender reasonably deems necessary to identify each Originator or Nested Third-Party Sender for which the Third-Party Sender Transmits Entries. A Third-Party Sender must also, upon the request of the ODFI or other Third-Party Sender with which it has entered an Origination Agreement, provide the ODFI or such other Third-Party Sender with the information required by Subsection 2.17.3(g)-(j) (Third-Party Sender Registration) for purposes of the ODFI's registration of the Third-Party Sender with the National Association. The information must be provided to the ODFI or such other Third-Party Sender within two Banking Days of receipt of the request.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.3 Warranty of and Indemnification by Third-Party Senders

Each Third-Party Sender initiating one or more Entries through an ODFI to a Receiver's account warrants to the ODFI that the Originator has agreed to assume the responsibilities of an Originator under these Rules. Each Third-Party Sender indemnifies the ODFI from and against any and all claims, demands, losses, liabilities, and expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs, that result directly or indirectly from the failure of the Originator to perform its obligations as an Originator in accordance with these Rules and from the failure of such Third-Party Sender or any of its Nested Third-Party Senders to perform their obligations in accordance with these Rules.

In addition to the other warranties contained within these Rules, a Third-Party Sender also makes to the ODFI each of the warranties set forth at Subsection 2.4.1 (General ODFI Warranties), Subsection 2.5.17.4 (Additional ODFI Warranties for Debit WEB Entries), and Section 5.2 (Warranties of Gateway).

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.4 Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders

To the extent that a Third-Party Sender performs any of the obligations of an ODFI under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender must perform the requirements of these Rules otherwise applicable to the ODFI, including, but not limited to, monitoring the origination and return activity of its Originators or its Nested Third-Party Senders across multiple Settlement Dates, enforcing restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated through its Originators, and enforcing, with respect to its Originators and its downstream Nested Third-Party Senders, the exposure limit set by the Third-Party Sender or ODFI as set forth in Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management), and warrants that it is legally able to do so. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of the obligations of the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve the ODFI of any of its obligations under these Rules.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.5 Payment to ODFI by Third-Party Senders or Originators

A Third-Party Sender agrees to make payment to the ODFI for any credit Entries it originates and for any debit Entries returned by the RDFI. An Originator that utilizes a Third-Party Sender to authorize an ODFI to Transmit Entries agrees to make payment to the ODFI for any credit Entries originated and for any debit Entries returned by the RDFI to the extent that the ODFI does not receive payment from the Third-Party Sender.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.6 Performance of Originator Responsibilities by Third-Party Senders

A Third-Party Sender shall be jointly and severally liable with each of its direct or indirect (via Nested Third-Party Senders) Originators for the retention and delivery to the ODFI or RDFI, as required by these Rules, of any Records, documentation, or data regarding records of authorization of Entries, copies of items, and copies of Eligible Source Documents.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.7 Performance of Third-Party Sender Responsibilities by Nested Third-Party Senders

A Third-Party Sender shall be jointly and severally liable with each of its Nested Third-Party Senders for the performance of such Third-Party Sender's obligations under these Rules.

#### SECTION 2.17 ODFI Reporting Requirements to National Association

#### SUBSECTION 2.17.3 Third-Party Sender Registration

#### SUBSECTION 2.17.3.1 ODFIs with Third-Party Senders

An ODFI must register with the National Association each Third-Party Sender for which it originates Entries, including 1) a Third-Party Sender that originates Entries through another Third-Party Sender customer of the ODFI; and 2) a Third-Party Sender that originates Entries through Direct Access. The ODFI must provide the following information to the National Association for each Third-Party Sender:

An ODFI must register with the National Association each Third-Party Sender for which it originates Entries, including 1) each Nested Third-Party Sender that originates Entries through another Third-Party Sender customer of the ODFI; and 2) each Third-Party Sender that originates Entries through Direct Access. The ODFI must provide the following information to the National Association for each Third-Party Sender:

(a) the ODFI's name;

- (b) the name, title, telephone number, email address, and street address for a contact person at the ODFI;
- (c) the name of the Third-Party Sender and its principal city and state location;
- (d) the Originating DFI Identification number(s) used in Entries Transmitted for the Third-Party Sender;
- (e) the Company Identification(s) of the Third-Party Sender; and
- (f) whether or not each registered Third-Party Sender originates for other Nested Third-Party Senders.

The ODFI must register the Third-Party Sender with the National Association within the later of 30 days of Transmitting the first Entry on behalf of the Third-Party Sender, or within 10 days of becoming aware that an unregistered customer for which the ODFI Transmits Entries is actually a Third-Party Sender. The ODFI must update the registration information on the Third-Party Sender within 45 days following any change to the information previously provided, including termination of the Third-Party Sender.

The ODFI must register each Third-Party Sender with the National Association within the later of 30 days of Transmitting the first Entry on behalf of the Third-Party Sender, or within 10 days of becoming aware that an unregistered customer for which the ODFI Transmits Entries is actually a Third-Party Sender or that the Third-Party Sender originates for other Nested Third-Party Senders. The ODFI must update the registration information on the Third-Party Sender within 45 days following any change to the information previously provided, including termination of the Third-Party Sender and whether the Third-Party Sender originates for other Nested Third-Party Senders.

If Nacha believes that a Third-Party Sender poses an escalated risk of (i) financial loss to one or more Participating DFIs, Receivers or Originators, (ii) violation of the Rules or applicable Legal Requirements, or (iii) excessive Returns, Nacha may request in writing supplemental registration information from the ODFI.

Upon the receipt of a written request by Nacha, the ODFI must provide within 10 Banking Days any of the following additional registration information about a Third-Party Sender that is requested:

- (g) the Third-Party Sender's doing-business-as name(s) if any, taxpayer identification number(s), street address and website address;
- (h) the name, title, telephone number, and email address for a contact person at the Third-Party Sender;
- (i) the name(s) and title(s) of the principal(s) of the Third-Party Sender;
- (j) the approximate number of Originators for which the Third-Party Sender Transmits Entries;
- (k) a statement as to whether the Third-Party Sender Transmits debit Entries, credit Entries, or both; and
- (l) the name of each Nested Third-Party Sender for which such Third-Party Sender Transmits Entries.

#### ARTICLE EIGHT

#### Definitions of Terms Used in These Rules

#### SECTION 8.60 "Nested Third-Party Sender" (new section)

a Third-Party Sender that (i) has an Origination Agreement with another Third-Party Sender to act on behalf of an Originator, and (ii) does not have a direct agreement with the ODFI itself. Unless otherwise expressly stated in these Rules, each reference to a Third-Party Sender includes a Nested Third-Party Sender.

#### SECTION 8.110 "Third-Party Sender"

a type of Third-Party Service Provider that acts as an intermediary in Transmitting Entries between an Originator and an ODFI, including through Direct Access, and acts on behalf of an Originator or another Third-Party Sender. A Third-Party Sender must have an Origination Agreement with the ODFI of the Entry. A Third-Party Sender is never the Originator for Entries it Transmits on behalf of another Organization. However, a Third-Party Sender of Entries may also be an Originator of other Entries in its own right.

a type of Third-Party Service Provider that acts as an intermediary on behalf of an Originator or another Third-Party Sender in Transmitting Entries between the Originator and the ODFI (or the ACH Operator on behalf of the ODFI via Direct Access), when there is not an Origination Agreement directly between the Originator and ODFI. A Third-Party Sender must have an Origination Agreement with an ODFI or with another Third-Party Sender.

A Third-Party Sender is never the Originator for Entries it Transmits on behalf of another Organization; however, a Third-Party Sender of Entries may also be an Originator of other Entries in its own right.

#### THIRD-PARTY SENDERS AND RISK ASSESSMENTS

#### Summary

Risk Assessments are defined and required in the Nacha Operating Rules for Financial Institutions and, by extension, for Third-Party Senders under their obligations to perform and warrant ODFI obligations. However, the Rules do not currently expressly state the Risk Assessment obligation for TPSs. The Third-Party Senders and Risk Assessments rule (the Rule) will require that a Third-Party Sender, whether or not it is Nested, conduct a Risk Assessment. Under the Rule, a TPS must implement, or have implemented, a risk management program based on its Risk Assessment. The Rule will clearly state that the obligation for the TPS to perform a Risk Assessment, as with the required Rules Compliance audit, cannot be passed on to another party. Each TPS must conduct or have conducted its own Risk Assessment.

#### **Impact to Participants**

*ODFIs*: Although ODFIs will not be required to review TPS Risk Assessments, they may choose to institute policies to encourage TPS compliance.

Third-Party Senders: Any Third-Party Sender that has not conducted an ACH Risk Assessment will be required to do so. Third-Party Senders that have relied on the Risk Assessments or Rules Compliance Audits of other Third-Party Senders will need to conduct their own Risk Assessment or Rules Compliance Audit.

#### **Effective Date**

The Third-Party Senders and Risk Assessments Rule will become effective on September 30, 2022. A six month grace period to March 31, 2023 will be provided to allow TPSs that have not conducted a Risk Assessment time to do so.

#### **Technical Summary**

Below is a summary of the impact of the Third-Party Senders and Risk Assessments rule on the Nacha Operating Rules. Sections of the Rules that are affected by this amendment are also included and reflect rule language as it will read upon implementation in highlighted, italicized text.

- Article One, Subsection 1.2.4 (Risk Assessments) Updates to include TPSs in the Risk Assessment requirements.
- Article Two, Subsection 2.15.3 (Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders) Expands to include requirement for TPSs to conduct a Risk Assessment and a Rules Compliance Audit and explicitly state that the TPS cannot rely upon the Risk Assessment or Rules Compliance Audit of another TPS.

As approved September 16, 2021, effective September 30, 2022, the Rules will be amended as follows for changes related to Third-Party Senders and Risk Assessments.

#### ARTICLE ONE

#### General Rules

#### SUBSECTION 1.2.4 Risk Assessments

A Participating DFI must:

A Participating DFI and a Third-Party Sender must:

- (a) conduct, or have conducted, an assessment of the risks of its ACH activities;
- (b) implement, or have implemented, a risk management program on the basis of such an assessment; and
- (c) comply with the requirements of its regulator(s) with respect to such assessment and risk management program.

#### ARTICLE TWO

Rights and Responsibilities of ODFIs, Their Originators, and Third-Party Senders

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.3 Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders

To the extent that a Third-Party Sender performs any of the obligations of an ODFI under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender must perform the requirements of these Rules otherwise applicable to the ODFI, including, but not limited to, monitoring the origination and return activity of its Originators across multiple Settlement Dates, enforcing restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated through its Originators, and enforcing, with respect to its Originators, the exposure limit set by the Third-Party Sender or ODFI as set forth in Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management), and warrants that it is legally able to do so. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of the obligations of the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve the ODFI of any of its obligations under these Rules.

To the extent that a Third-Party Sender performs any of the obligations of an ODFI under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender must perform the requirements of these Rules otherwise applicable to the ODFI, including, but not limited to: (a) the obligation to conduct, or have conducted, an audit of its compliance with these Rules, as required by Subsection 1.2.2 (Audits of Rules Compliance); (b) the obligation to conduct, or have conducted, an assessment of the risks of its ACH activities, as required by Subsection 1.2.4 (Risk Assessments); (c) monitoring the origination and return activity of its Originators across multiple Settlement Dates; (d) enforcing restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated through its Originators; and, (e) enforcing, with respect to its Originators, the exposure limit set by the Third-Party Sender or ODFI as set forth in Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management), and warrants that it is legally able to do so. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of the obligations of the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve any other Third-Party Sender of any of its obligations under these Rules.

# Combined Changes to Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders

This section shows the combined impact of the Nested Third-Party Senders and Third-Party Senders and Risk Assessment changes on Article Two, current Subsection 2.15.3 (Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders) of the Nacha Operating Rules. It includes rule language as it will read upon implementation.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.3 Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders

To the extent that a Third-Party Sender performs any of the obligations of an ODFI under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender must perform the requirements of these Rules otherwise applicable to the ODFI, including, but not limited to, monitoring the origination and return activity of its Originators across multiple Settlement Dates, enforcing restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated through its Originators, and enforcing, with respect to its Originators, the exposure limit set by the Third-Party Sender or ODFI as set forth in Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management), and warrants that it is legally able to do so. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of the obligations of the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve the ODFI of any of its obligations under these Rules.

#### SUBSECTION 2.15.4 Performance and Warranty of ODFI Obligations by Third-Party Senders

To the extent that a Third-Party Sender performs any of the obligations of an ODFI under these Rules, the Third-Party Sender must perform the requirements of these Rules otherwise applicable to the ODFI, including, but not limited to: (a) the obligation to conduct, or have conducted, an audit of its compliance with these Rules, as required by Subsection 1.2.2 (Audits of Rules Compliance); (b) the obligation to conduct, or have conducted, an assessment of the risks of its ACH activities, as required by Subsection 1.2.4 (Risk Assessments); (c) monitoring the origination and return activity of its Originators or its Nested Third-Party Senders across multiple Settlement Dates; (d) enforcing restrictions on the types of Entries that may be originated through its Originators; and (e) enforcing, with respect to its Originators and its downstream Nested Third-Party Senders, the exposure limit set by the Third-Party Sender or ODFI as set forth in Subsection 2.2.3 (ODFI Risk Management), and warrants that it is legally able to do so. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of the obligations of the ODFI under these Rules shall not relieve the ODFI of any of its obligations under these Rules. The performance by a Third-Party Sender of any of its obligations under these Rules.

#### **Minor Topics Rules Changes**

The Minor Topics Rules amendments include clarifications to the Meaningful Modernization Rules and other clarifications. These changes had little to no impact on ACH participants and no significant processing or financial impact. These amendments address changes related to:

- (1) Clarification on Standard Entry Class Code Flexibility and Subsequent Entries Initiated at Electronic Terminals
- (2) RDFI's Subsequent Request For Proof Of Authorization Must Be In Writing
- (3) Stop Payment Rules For Subsequent Entries
- (4) Other Clarifications on Subsequent Entries
- (5) Expiration of Stop Payment Orders on Entries to Non-Consumer Accounts
- (6) Clarification on ACH Operator Edit Effective Entry Date

Each of these rules changes became effective on September 17, 2021.

#### **KEY COMPONENTS OF RULE AMENDMENTS**

#### Clarification on Standard Entry Class Code Flexibility and Subsequent Entries Initiated at Electronic Terminals

This minor change clarified the intent of the Meaningful Modernization Rule. This change states that Subsequent Entries initiated at an "electronic terminal" (as that term is defined in Regulation E) must be identified using the Point-of-Sale (POS), Machine Transfer Entry (MTE), or Shared Network Entry (SHR) SEC Code, as applicable, regardless of the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained. The POS, MTE, or SHR format is required in order to convey information to identify the location of the terminal. This change added an additional exception to the Rules for SEC Code flexibility for Subsequent Entries.

• Article Two, Subsection 2.3.2.5 (Standing Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts)

#### 2. RDFI's Subsequent Request For Proof Of Authorization Must Be In Writing

This minor change clarified the intent of the Meaningful Modernization Rules. This change states that, where an ODFI has accepted, or has agreed to accept, a Return Entry in lieu of providing the RDFI with proof of authorization, and the RDFI still subsequently requests proof of the Receiver's authorization, the RDFI must make any subsequent request to the ODFI in writing.

- Article Two, Subsection 2.3.2.7 (Retention and Provision of the Record of Authorization)
- Article Two, Subsection 2.3.3.3 (Provision of Record of Authorization)

#### 3. Stop Payment Rules For Subsequent Entries

This minor change clarified the intent of the Meaningful Modernization Rules regarding an RDFI's obligation with respect to stop payments for Subsequent Entries. The change requires an RDFI to honor a stop payment order on a Subsequent Entry that is provided by a Receiver to the RDFI in such time and manner that the RDFI has a reasonable opportunity to act on the stop payment order prior to acting on the Subsequent Entry.

- Article Three, Subsection 3.7.1.1 (RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Recurring Entries)
- Article Three, Subsection 3.7.1.2 (RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Single and Subsequent Entries)

#### 4. Other Clarifications on Subsequent Entries

These changes incorporated additional clarifications to the Meaningful Modernization rules.

- Two minor changes pull through references to the defined term Subsequent Entry in two additional places in
  the Rules: Form of Receiver Authorization Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts (Article Two, Subsection
  2.3.2.2(a) and General Rule for Prearranged Payment and Deposit (PPD) Entry (Article Two, Subsection 2.5.12).
- Two minor updates to new subsections on TEL (Article Two, Subsection 2.5.15.2 Use of TEL Standard Entry Class Code for Subsequent Entries) and WEB (Article Two, Subsection 2.5.17.2 Use of WEB Standard Entry Class Code for Subsequent Entries) clarify the application of existing rules to Subsequent Entries using these Standard Entry Class Codes.
- One minor change to the general rule for PPD Entries (Article Two, Subsection 2.5.12 General Rule for PPD Entries (Prearranged Payment and Deposit Entry) to align the description of a PPD Entry with the updated definition in Article Eight.

#### 5. Expiration of Stop Payment Orders on Entries to Non-Consumer Accounts

This change was a minor modification to Rules language to incorporate current industry practices. The modification clarifies that the effective period for a stop payment order on a debit entry to a Non-Consumer account stated in the Rules reflects the minimum time period that such a stop payment order must remain in effect. The language was also changed to make clear that an RDFI may establish a longer effective period for these stop payment orders and to remove the requirement that a renewal of such a stop payment order must be in writing.

Article Three, Subsection 3.7.2.1 (Effective Period of Stop Payment Orders)

#### 6. Clarification on ACH Operator Edit – Effective Entry Date

This change revised the field description as it relates to Return Entries for clarity and aligned the Rules language with current ACH Operator file editing practices. The modification of the Effective Entry Date field description clarified that ACH Operators:

- Use the content of the Effective Entry Date field (as copied from the original Entry) to determine the appropriate Settlement Date for a Return Entry, dishonored Return Entry, and contested dishonored Return Entry.
- May choose to verify proper formatting of the Effective Entry Date field and, potentially, replace an invalid
  Effective Entry Date with the current processing date.
- Do not reject a Return Entry, dishonored Return Entry, or contested dishonored Return Entry because of an
  invalid date in the Effective Entry Date field.
- Appendix Three, Subpart 3.2.2 (Glossary of Data Elements Effective Entry Date)

#### IMPACT TO PARTICIPANTS

All Participants: All ACH Network participants benefit from Rules language that is consistent and clear, and that takes established industry practices into consideration. Each of these Minor Rules Topics changes serves to improve overall ACH processing efficiency by enhancing or clarifying certain areas within the Rules. Nacha does not expect ACH Network participants to incur any substantial costs associated with the implementation of these changes.

#### **TECHNICAL SUMMARY**

On the following pages is a summary of the impact of the Minor Rules Topics changes on the Nacha Operating Rules. Sections of the Rules that are affected by these amendments are also included and reflect the implemented rule language in highlighted, italicized text.

### 1. Clarification on Standard Entry Class Code Flexibility and Subsequent Entries Initiated at Electronic Terminals

ARTICLE TWO

Rights and Responsibilities of ODFIs, Their Originators, and Third-Party Senders

#### SUBSECTION 2.3.2.5 Standing Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts

An Originator may obtain a Standing Authorization from a Receiver for future Subsequent Entries to the Receiver's Consumer Account that require further affirmative action by the Receiver to initiate. In addition to the requirements of Subsection 2.3.2.2 (Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts), an Originator that obtains a Standing Authorization from a Consumer Receiver must clearly specify the action(s) that the Receiver can take to initiate a Subsequent Entry. A Subsequent Entry may be initiated in the manner(s) permitted in the corresponding Standing Authorization.

A Standing Authorization that is also an Oral Authorization must comply with the requirements of Subsection 2.3.2.4 (Oral Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts).

In any case where the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a Subsequent Entry involves the communication or confirmation of any of the Receiver's banking information (e.g., routing number, account number, PIN, or other identification symbol) via an Unsecured Electronic Network, the Originator must comply with the security requirements of Section 1.7 (Secure Transmission of ACH Information via Unsecured Electronic Networks).

At its discretion, and except as otherwise prohibited in these Rules, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry using the Standard Entry Class Code appropriate either to (a) the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained from the Receiver, or (b) the manner in which the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate the Subsequent Entry was communicated to the Originator. An Originator that obtains the Receiver's Standing Authorization as an Oral Authorization via a telephone call, or via the Internet or a Wireless Network, may not identify a Subsequent Entry using the PPD Standard Entry Class Code.

#### SUBSECTION 2.3.2.5 Standing Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts

An Originator may obtain a Standing Authorization from a Receiver for future Subsequent Entries to the Receiver's Consumer Account that require further affirmative action by the Receiver to initiate. In addition to the requirements of Subsection 2.3.2.2 (Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts), an Originator that obtains a Standing Authorization from a Consumer Receiver must clearly specify the action(s) that the Receiver can take to initiate a Subsequent Entry. A Subsequent Entry may be initiated in the manner(s) permitted in the corresponding Standing Authorization.

A Standing Authorization that is also an Oral Authorization must comply with the requirements of Subsection 2.3.2.4 (Oral Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts).

In any case where the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a Subsequent Entry involves the communication or confirmation of any of the Receiver's banking information (e.g., routing number, account number, PIN, or other identification symbol) via an Unsecured Electronic Network, the Originator must comply with the security requirements of Section 1.7 (Secure Transmission of ACH Information via Unsecured Electronic Networks).

At its discretion, and except as otherwise prohibited below in this Subsection 2.3.2.5, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry using the Standard Entry Class Code appropriate either to (a) the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained from the Receiver, or (b) the manner in which the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate the Subsequent Entry was communicated to the Originator.

A Subsequent Entry to a Consumer Account initiated at an "electronic terminal," as that term is defined in Regulation E, must be identified using the Point-of-Sale (POS), Machine Transfer Entry (MTE), or Shared Network Entry (SHR) Standard Entry Class Code, as applicable, regardless of the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained.

An Originator that obtains the Receiver's Standing Authorization either (a) as an Oral Authorization via a telephone call, or (b) via the Internet or a Wireless Network, may not identify a Subsequent Entry using the Prearranged Payment and Deposit (PPD) Standard Entry Class Code.

#### 2. RDFI's Subsequent Request For Proof Of Authorization Must Be In Writing

ARTICLE TWO

Rights and Responsibilities of ODFIs, Their Originators, and Third-Party Senders

#### SUBSECTION 2.3.2.7 Retention and Provision of the Record of Authorization

(a) An Originator must retain the original or a copy of each written authorization of a Receiver, or a readily and accurately reproducible Record evidencing any other form of authorization, for two years from the termination or revocation of the authorization.

With respect to a Standing Authorization, an Originator must retain the original or a copy of each Standing Authorization for two years following the termination or revocation of the authorization, as well as proof that the Receiver affirmatively initiated each payment in accordance with the terms of the Standing Authorization for two years following the Settlement Date of the Entry.

An Originator must retain the original or a copy of an Oral Authorization in the manner and for the time period required by Subsection 2.3.2.4 (Oral Authorization for Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts.)

- (b) Upon receipt of an RDFI's written request, the ODFI must
  - (1) provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record of the Receiver's authorization, including, with regard to a Standing Authorization, evidence of the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a Subsequent Entry in accordance with the terms of the Standing Authorization, to the RDFI within ten Banking Days without charge.

OR

(2) confirm to the RDFI in writing the ODFI's agreement to accept the Return of the Entry that is the subject of the RDFI's inquiry at any time within ten Banking Days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI, without regard to any other time limits on Returns under these Rules.

Where the ODFI has accepted, or has agreed to accept, the Return Entry, and the RDFI subsequently still requests evidence of authorization of the Entry, the ODFI must provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record to the RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's subsequent request to the ODFI for a copy of the Receiver's authorization.

Where the ODFI has accepted, or has agreed to accept, the Return Entry, and the RDFI subsequently still makes a written request for evidence of authorization of the Entry, the ODFI must provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record to the RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's written subsequent request to the ODFI for a copy of the Receiver's authorization.

(c) At the request of its ODFI, the Originator must provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record of the Receiver's authorization, including, with regard to a Standing Authorization, evidence of the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a Subsequent Entry in accordance with the terms of the Standing Authorization, to the ODFI for its use or for the use of an RDFI requesting the information.

The Originator must provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record in such time and manner as to enable the ODFI to deliver the authorization to a requesting RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's request.

The Originator must provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record in such time and manner as to enable the ODFI to deliver the authorization to a requesting RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's written request.

#### SUBSECTION 2.3.3.3 Provision of the Record of Authorization

For a CCD, CTX, or Inbound IAT Entry to a Non-Consumer Account:

- (a) Within ten Banking Days of receipt of an RDFI's written request for evidence of authorization of the Entry, the ODFI must either
  - (1) provide an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization, or
  - (2) provide the contact information for the Originator that, at a minimum, includes (i) the Originator's name, and (ii) the Originator's phone number or email address for inquiries regarding authorization of Entries, or
  - (3) confirm in writing the ODFI's agreement to accept the Return of the Entry that is the subject of the RDFI's inquiry at any time within ten Banking Days of providing the confirmation to the RDFI, without regard to any other time limits on Returns under these Rules.

Where the ODFI has accepted, or has agreed to accept, the Return Entry, and the RDFI subsequently still requests evidence of authorization of the Entry, the ODFI must provide the original, copy, or other accurate Record to the RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's subsequent request.

Where the ODFI has accepted, or has agreed to accept, the Return Entry, and the RDFI subsequently still makes a written request for evidence of authorization of the Entry, the ODFI must provide to the RDFI either an accurate Record evidencing the Receiver's authorization or the Originator's contact information within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's subsequent written request.

The ODFI must provide this information to the RDFI without charge.

- (b) At the request of its ODFI, the Originator must provide either
  - (1) an accurate record evidencing the Receiver's authorization, or
  - (2) contact information for the Originator that, at a minimum, includes (i) the Originator's name, and (ii) the Originator's phone number or email address for inquiries regarding authorization of Entries.

The Originator must provide the record or information to the ODFI for its use or for the use of an RDFI requesting the information in such time and manner as to enable the ODFI to deliver the information to the requesting RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's request.

The Originator must provide the record or information to the ODFI for its use or for the use of an RDFI requesting the information in such time and manner as to enable the ODFI to deliver the information to the requesting RDFI within ten Banking Days of the RDFI's written request.

#### 3. Stop Payment Rules For Subsequent Entries

ARTICLE THREE

Rights and Responsibilities of RDFIs and Their Receivers

#### SUBSECTION 3.7.1 RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Entries to Consumer Accounts

#### SUBSECTION 3.7.1.1 RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Recurring Entries

An RDFI must honor a stop payment order provided by a Receiver, either verbally or in writing, to the RDFI at least three Banking Days before the scheduled date of any debit Entry to a Consumer Account other than a Single Entry. An RDFI may in its discretion honor such a stop payment order received within such three Banking Day period. An RDFI shall have no liability or responsibility to any Originator, ODFI, or other Person having any interest in such Entry for honoring a stop payment order in accordance with this subsection.

An RDFI must honor a stop payment order provided by a Receiver, either verbally or in writing, to the RDFI at least three Banking Days before the scheduled date of any Recurring debit Entry to a Consumer Account. An RDFI may in its discretion honor such a stop payment order received within such three Banking Day period. An RDFI shall have no liability or responsibility to any Originator, ODFI, or other Person having any interest in such Entry for honoring a stop payment order in accordance with this subsection.

#### SUBSECTION 3.7.1.2 RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Single Entries

An RDFI must honor a stop payment order provided by a Receiver, either verbally or in writing, to the RDFI at such time and in such manner as to allow the RDFI a reasonable opportunity to act upon the order prior to acting on an ARC, BOC, POP, or RCK Entry, or a Single Entry IAT, PPD, TEL, or WEB Entry to a Consumer Account.

### SUBSECTION 3.7.1.2 RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Single and Subsequent Entries (new subsection title)

An RDFI must honor a stop payment order provided by a Receiver, either verbally or in writing, to the RDFI at such time and in such manner as to allow the RDFI a reasonable opportunity to act upon the order prior to acting on (a) an ARC, BOC, POP, or RCK Entry; (b) a Single Entry IAT, PPD, TEL, or WEB Entry to a Consumer Account; or (c) a Subsequent Entry.

#### 4. Other Clarifications on Subsequent Entries

ARTICLE TWO

Rights and Responsibilities of ODFIs, Their Originators, and Third-Party Senders

#### SUBSECTION 2.3.2 Form of Receiver Authorization for Entries to Consumer Accounts

#### SUBSECTION 2.3.2.2 Debit Entries to Consumer Accounts

The Originator of a debit Entry to a Consumer Account of the Receiver must obtain a written authorization that is signed or similarly authenticated by the Receiver, except as otherwise expressly permitted by these Rules.

In addition to the general requirements for an authorization, as defined under Subsection 2.3.1 (General Rule – Originator Must Obtain Authorization from Receiver), an authorization for a debit Entry to Consumer Account of the Receiver must, at a minimum, include:

- (a) Language regarding whether the authorization obtained from the Receiver is for a Single Entry, multiple Entries, or Recurring Entries;
- (a) Language regarding whether the authorization obtained from the Receiver is for a Single Entry, Recurring Entries, or one or more Subsequent Entries initiated under the terms of a Standing Authorization;
- b) The amount of the Entry(ies) or a reference to the method of determining the amount of the Entry(ies);
- (c) The timing (including the start date), number, and/or frequency of the Entries;
- (d) The Receiver's name or identity;
- (e) The account to be debited;
- (f) The date of the Receiver's authorization; and
- (g) Language that instructs the Receiver how to revoke the authorization directly with the Originator (including the time and manner in which the Receiver's communication with the Originator must occur). For a Single Entry scheduled in advance, the right of the Receiver to revoke the authorization must afford the Originator a reasonable opportunity to act on the revocation prior to initiating the Entry.

With respect to a Standing Authorization, these minimum standards for a consumer debit authorization may be met through a combination of the Standing Authorization and the Receiver's affirmative action to initiate a Subsequent Entry.

Where these Rules provide that authorization for an Entry may be obtained by notice to the Receiver, the Receiver's authorization may also be obtained by a signed, written authorization that meets the requirements of this subsection.

#### SUBSECTION 2.5.12 General Rule for PPD Entries (Prearranged Payment and Deposit Entry)

A PPD Entry is a credit Entry or debit Entry originated by an Organization to a Consumer Account of a Receiver based on a standing or a Single Entry authorization from the Receiver. An authorization for a debit PPD Entry must be in writing, and signed or similarly authenticated by the Receiver, as provided in Section 2.3 (Authorization and Notice of Entries).

A PPD Entry is a credit Entry or debit Entry originated by an Organization to a Consumer Account of a Receiver based on an authorization from the Receiver. An authorization for a debit PPD Entry must be in writing, and signed or similarly authenticated by the Receiver, as provided in Section 2.3 (Authorization and Notice of Entries).

#### SUBSECTION 2.5.15 Specific Provisions for TEL Entries (Telephone-Initiated Entry)

#### 2.5.15.2 Use of TEL Standard Entry Class Code for Subsequent Entries

At its discretion, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry as a debit TEL Entry if the Receiver's affirmative action for the initiation of the Subsequent Entry is communicated by the Receiver to the Originator orally via a telephone call, regardless of the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained. The requirements of Subsection 2.5.15.3 (Authorization of TEL Entries) and Subsection 2.5.15.5 (Verification of Receiver's Routing Number) do not apply to such a TEL Entry.

At its discretion, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry as a debit TEL Entry if the Receiver's affirmative action for the initiation of the Subsequent Entry is communicated by the Receiver to the Originator orally via a telephone call, regardless of the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained. The requirements of Subsection 2.5.15.3 (Authorization of TEL Entries) do not apply to a Subsequent Entry that is a debit TEL Entry unless the related Standing Authorization was communicated orally via a telephone call. The requirements of Subsection 2.5.15.5 (Verification of Receiver's Routing Number) apply to a Subsequent Entry that is a debit TEL Entry

only if the routing number was communicated via (a) an Oral Authorization via the telephone, or (b) the Internet or a Wireless Network, regardless of whether the routing number was communicated in connection with the Standing Authorization or Subsequent Entry.

#### SUBSECTION 2.5.17 Specific Provisions for WEB Entries (Internet-Initiated/Mobile Entry)

#### SUBSECTION 2.5.17.2 Use of WEB Standard Entry Class Code for Subsequent Entries

At its discretion, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry as a debit WEB Entry if the Receiver's affirmative action for the initiation of the Subsequent Entry is communicated by the Receiver to the Originator via the Internet, regardless of the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained. The requirements of Subsection 2.5.17.3 (Annual Audit Requirements for Debit WEB Entries) and 2.5.17.6 (Verification of Routing Numbers) do not apply to such a debit WEB Entry.

At its discretion, an Originator may identify a Subsequent Entry as a debit WEB Entry if the Receiver's affirmative action for the initiation of the Subsequent Entry is communicated by the Receiver to the Originator via the Internet or a Wireless Network, regardless of the manner in which the Standing Authorization was obtained. The requirements of Subsection 2.5.17.6 (Verification of Routing Numbers) apply to a Subsequent Entry that is a debit WEB Entry only if the routing number was communicated via (a) an Oral Authorization via the telephone, or (b) the Internet or a Wireless Network, regardless of whether the routing number was communicated in conjunction with the Standing Authorization or Subsequent Entry.

#### 5. Expiration of Stop Payment Orders on Entries to Non-Consumer Accounts

ARTICLE THREE

Rights and Responsibilities of RDFIs and Their Receivers

#### SUBSECTION 3.7.2 RDFI Obligation to Stop Payment of Entries to Non-Consumer Accounts

#### SUBSECTION 3.7.2.1 Effective Period of Stop Payment Orders

A written stop payment order regarding any debit Entry initiated or to be initiated to a Non-Consumer Account will remain in effect until the earliest of:

- (a) The withdrawal of the stop payment order by the Receiver;
- (b) the return of the debit Entry; or,
- (c) six months from the date of the stop payment order, unless it is renewed in writing.

At a minimum, a written stop payment order regarding any debit Entry initiated or to be initiated to a Non-Consumer Account will remain in effect until the earliest of:

- (a) The withdrawal of the stop payment order by the Receiver;
- (b) the return of the debit Entry; or,
- (c) six months from the date of the stop payment order.

An RDFI may extend the effective period of a stop payment order beyond the minimum period described above.

#### 6. Clarification on ACH Operator Edit – Effective Entry Date

#### APPENDIX THREE

#### **ACH Record Format Specifications**

#### **SUBPART 3.2.2 Glossary of Data Elements**

Effective Entry Date: 6 Positions - Company/Batch Header Record - Required (all batches)

The Effective Entry Date is the Banking Day specified by the Originator on which it intends a batch of Entries to be settled.

For credit Entries, the Effective Entry Date must be either the same Banking Day as the Banking Day of processing by the Originating ACH Operator (the processing date) for Same Day Entries, or one or two Banking Days following the Originating ACH Operator's processing date for other Entries.

For debit Entries, the Effective Entry Date must be either the same Banking Day as the processing date for Same Day Entries, or one Banking Day following the Originating ACH Operator's processing date for other Entries.

A batch of Entries containing an Effective Entry Date beyond the designated number of days allowed is Rejected by the ACH Operator and returned to the ODFI.

A batch of Entries containing an Effective Entry Date that is stale (i.e., is a Banking Day that is in the past, or is the current Banking Day but is not submitted timely to an ACH Operator for same-day processing and settlement) is settled at the next available settlement opportunity.

A batch of Entries containing invalid Effective Entry Date information (for example, if the field is blank or zero, partially blank or partially non-numeric, contains an incomplete date, contains day numbers higher than 31, or contains month numbers higher than 12) is settled at the next available settlement opportunity. The Originating ACH Operator inserts the Banking Day of processing or the next Banking Day as the Effective Entry Date, whichever corresponds to the next available settlement opportunity.

ENR: For Automated Enrollment Entries, this field must be space filled. Automated Enrollment Entries cannot be Same Day Entries.

Return Entries and Notifications of Change: The ACH Operator does not edit this field.

Notifications of Change: The ACH Operator does not edit this field.

Return Entries: The ACH Operator uses the content of this field (as copied from the original Entry) to determine the appropriate Settlement Date for a Return Entry, dishonored Return Entry, and contested dishonored Return Entry. The ACH Operator may verify that the Effective Entry Date is properly formatted and may replace existing content with the current processing date if the Effective Entry Date is not valid. The ACH Operator does not reject a Return Entry, dishonored Return Entry, or contested dishonored Return Entry if the Effective Entry Date is invalid.

The scheduled Settlement Date is inserted by the Receiving ACH Operator. See the definition of "Settlement Date" in this Appendix Three.

### Network Administration Fees

The Nacha Operating Rules require each Participating Depository Financial Institution that transmits or receives ACH entries (commercial and Federal Government) to pay an annual fee and a per-entry fee to cover costs associated with the administration of the ACH Network. These Network Administration Fees apply to all entries subject to the requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules, whether such entries are transmitted via an ACH Operator, sent directly from one Participating DFI to another, or sent through another entity. The Network Administration Fees have been established by the Nacha Board of Directors and are reviewed and modified, as appropriate, on an annual basis.

#### NETWORK ADMINISTRATION FEES AND DATA REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The accompanying chart provides information on the amount of the annual and per-entry fees for the 2022 calendar year. The ACH Operators collect the annual fees and per-entry fees on behalf of Nacha for entries sent from one Participating DFI to another Participating DFI through the ACH Operators.

Financial institutions are required to report and Nacha collects directly the per-entry fees for ACH entries not sent through the ACH Operators, but that are sent as part of direct send or "on-we" arrangements. A direct send or "on-we" arrangement is one in which a Participating DFI sends a payment file that uses the Nacha formats and/or is covered by the Nacha Operating Rules, where that file is not processed by an ACH Operator, but instead is exchanged with another non-affiliated Participating DFI, either directly or through another entity. This definition applies regardless of how interbank settlement is accomplished.

Participating DFIs with direct send or "on-we" volume exceeding 5 million entries annually are obligated to file the requisite reporting with Nacha quarterly. Participating DFIs with direct send volume below this threshold are obligated to file with Nacha annually. These financial institutions are required to submit transaction volume data and any associated fees directly to Nacha using Form N-7 (2022). Any Participating DFI whose direct send or "on we" volume of entries originated or received exceeds 5 million for any quarter ending March 31, June 30, September 30, or December 31, 2022 must submit the above data and fees on a quarterly basis thereafter. The submission deadlines for quarterly filers are April 30, July 31, and October 31, 2022, and January 31, 2023. Participating DFIs that exceed the threshold during the calendar year must aggregate all prior quarters' fees in their current quarter's Form N-7 (2022) payment. Participating DFIs whose direct send volume is below this threshold must submit the above data and fees for calendar year 2022 by January 31, 2023.

## Nacha 2022 Schedule of Fees

#### **ACH Network Administration Fees**

This Schedule of Fees has been established by the Nacha Board of Directors for calendar year 2022 in accordance with the requirements of the Nacha Operating Rules, Article One (General Rules), Section 1.13 (Network Administration Fees).

- Per-Entry Fee (January 1-December 31) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ .000185

### NETWORK ADMINISTRATION FEES — FILING REQUIREMENTS FOR PARTICIPATING DEPOSITORY FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Form N-7 (2022) is provided for the purposes of reporting and submitting payment of Network Administration Fees, as required by the Nacha Operating Rules, on ACH entries that are transmitted or received under a direct send or "on-we" arrangement. These reporting requirements are not applicable to Participating DFIs whose entries are processed exclusively through an ACH Operator, where all applicable transaction volume will be reported to and fees collected by the ACH Operators on behalf of Nacha.

#### Who Must File

Any Participating DFI that transmits or receives entries that use the Nacha formats and/or are covered by the Nacha Operating Rules, where those entries are not processed by an ACH Operator, but instead are exchanged with another non-affiliated Participating DFI, either directly or through another entity, during the 2022 calendar year.

#### Who Does Not Have to File

Any Participating DFI that transmits and receives 100% of its ACH entries during 2022 through an ACH Operator or with affiliated Participating DFIs does not need to file Form N-7 (2022). All applicable Network Administration Fees are billed and collected on Nacha's behalf by the ACH Operator, and appear on your customer statement as "Nacha Admin Network Fee/Entry" and "Nacha Admin Network Fee/Month."

#### When and Where to File

Any Participating DFI whose direct send or "on-we" volume of entries originated and received exceeds 5 million for any quarter ending March 31, June 30, September 30, or December 31, 2022 must file on a quarterly basis thereafter. The submission deadlines for quarterly filers are April 30, July 31, and October 31, 2022, and January 31, 2023. Participating DFIs that exceed this threshold during the calendar year must aggregate all prior quarters' fees in the current quarter's payment. Participating DFIs whose direct send or "on-we" volume is below the threshold must submit their calendar year 2022 data and fees by January 31, 2023.

Completed forms and payment must be received by Nacha no later than the above deadlines. Submit forms electronically to N7Form@nacha.org.

Payment via ACH credit is preferred. The ACH credit must be initiated by the organization filing Form N-7. UPIC Routing & Transit # 021052053, Acct # 59058945. Use CCD format for single filing. Complete in Batch Header (1) Company Name (2) Company Entry Description (specify Form N-7 (2022)).

If paying by check, please make the check payable to Nacha and mail to: Nacha, Attn: Finance Department, 2550 Wasser Terrace, Suite 400, Herndon, VA 20171.

#### Form Instructions

- Line 1. Enter legal name of Participating DFI.
- Line 2. Enter mailing address of Participating DFI.
- Line 3a. List the number of ACH entries transmitted and received by the Participating DFI that were not processed by an ACH Operator but were exchanged with another non-affiliated Participating DFI, either directly or through another entity, for the applicable period. Entries should be sorted by routing number of the non-affiliated DFI and include debits, credits and entries of non-value. If there are more routing numbers than spaces available, attach another sheet. Total columns and add together to calculate the grand total.

Line 3b. Enter the grand total from line 3a.

- Line 4. Represents the 2022 per entry fee of \$.000185
- Line 5. Multiply line 3b by line 4 [example: (line 3b) 100,000 x (line 4) \$.000185 = (line 5) \$18.50]
- Line 6. Payment due is equal to the amount on line 5. Indicate payment method. If amount on line 5 is less than one dollar, submit the completed form only; no payment is due.

#### **Still Need Additional Information?**

Downloadable Forms and Instructions are available at https://www.nacha.org/content/network-administration-fees or contact Nacha, 800-487-9180 or 703-561-1100 or email: N7Form@nacha.org.

#### FORM N-7 (2022)

Select Filing Period and Deadline (check all that apply):

		Period	Filing Deadline	
	For annual filers:	☐ December 31, 2022	January 31, 2023	
	For quarterly filers:	☐ March 31, 2022	April 30, 2022	
		$\square$ June 30, 2022	July 31, 2022	
		☐ September 30, 2022	October 31, 2022	
		☐ December 31, 2022	January 31, 2023	
<b>1.</b> Fir	nancial Institution Name			
<b>2.</b> Bu	asiness Address			
_				

#### **3.** Direct Send Information

a. 2022 direct send ACH entries by routing number of non-affiliated Participating DFI (see instructions)

#### **DIRECT SEND DETAIL**

ROUTING NUMBER	ENTRIES RECEIVED	ENTRIES ORIGINATED		
TOTALS				
GRAND TOTAL (TOTAL RECEIVED + TOTAL ORIGINATED)				

#### FORM N-7 (2022)

#### (continued)

	b. 2022 total direct send ACH entries (see instructions)	
4.	2022 per entry fee	x \$.000185
5.	Uncollected 2022 Network Administrative Fees (line 3b x line 4)	\$
6.	Payment Due: (Amount on line 5) Date of ACH credit  (If less than \$1.00, no payment due, submit form only)	or Check
	eclare that I have examined this form and to the best of my knowld complete.	wledge and belief, it is true, correct
Sig	mature	Date
Pri	nted Name	
Tit	le	
Fin	nancial Institution Name	
Em	nail Address	Phone Number
Sub	omit completed form to: N7Form@nacha.org	
Sub	omit payment. Payment via ACH credit preferred:	
	The ACH credit must be initiated by the organization filing Form N-# 59058945. Use CCD format for single filing. Complete in Batch Ho Description (specify Form N-7 (2022)).	9

If sending a check, please make the check payable to Nacha and mail to: Nacha, Attn: Finance Department, 2550 Wasser Terrace, Suite 400, Herndon, VA 20171.